



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
619.231.1466 FAX 619.234.3407

01-22-15P04:15 RCVD

Agenda

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

9:00 a.m.

James R. Mills Building
Board Meeting Room, 10th Floor
1255 Imperial Avenue, San Diego

This information will be made available in alternative formats upon request. To request an agenda in an alternative format, please call the Clerk of the Board at least two working days prior to the meeting to ensure availability. Assistive Listening Devices (ALDs) are available from the Clerk of the Board/Assistant Clerk of the Board prior to the meeting and are to be returned at the end of the meeting.

ACTION RECOMMENDED

1. Roll Call
2. Approval of Minutes - December 11, 2014 Approve
3. Public Comments - Limited to five speakers with three minutes per speaker. Others will be heard after Board Discussion items. If you have a report to present, please give your copies to the Clerk of the Board.
4. Elect Vice Chair, Chair Pro Tem, and Committee Appointments (Sharon Cooney) Elect
Action would: (1) elect a Vice Chair and a Chair Pro Tem for 2015; and (2) consider the nominating slate proposed by the Ad Hoc Nominating Committee for the appointment of representatives to MTS committees for 2015 and vote to appoint representatives to those committees.

Please SILENCE electronics
during the meeting

1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000, San Diego, CA 92101-7490 • (619) 231-1466 • www.sdmts.com

Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) is a California public agency comprised of San Diego Transit Corp., San Diego Trolley, Inc., San Diego and Arizona Eastern Railway Company (nonprofit public benefit corporations), and San Diego Vintage Trolley, Inc., a 501(c)(3) nonprofit corporation, in cooperation with Chula Vista Transit. MTS is the taxicab administrator for seven cities. MTS member agencies include the cities of Chula Vista, Coronado, El Cajon, Imperial Beach, La Mesa, Lemon Grove, National City, Poway, San Diego, Santee, and the County of San Diego.



CONSENT ITEMS

6. Revisions to Board Policy No. 16 to Establish Procedures for Filing Claims and Institution and Maintenance of Lawsuits for Damage to or Destruction of MTS Property and to Establish Procedures for Settlement of Such Lawsuits Approve
Action would approve and adopt the updated Board Policy No. 16.
7. Investment Report - November 2014 Information
8. Update the List of San Diego Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) Employees Authorized to Take Action Related to Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) Accounts Approve

Action would approve Resolution No. 15-1 and Resolution No. 15-2 to update the list of MTS employees authorized to take action related to the LAIF investment accounts, administered by the State Treasurer, in the name of the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System and San Diego Transit Corporation.
9. Investment Consulting Services for the San Diego Transit Corporation (SDTC) Retirement Plans - Contract Award Approve
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to: (1) execute, as agent for the Retirement Boards of the SDTC Employees' Retirement Plans (Plan), MTS Doc. No. G1705.0-14 with RVK Inc. (RVK) for the provision of investment consulting services for the Plan for a five-year base period with five one-year optional terms (for a total of 10 years); and (2) exercise each option year at the Retirement Boards' discretion.
10. Temporary Staffing Services - Creation of On-Call List and Contract Awards Approve
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to: (1) execute MTS. Doc. Nos. G1778.0-15 through G1786.0-15 with nine (9) Temporary Staffing firms (AppleOne, Kforce Technology, Lawton Group, Modis, Network Solutions, PrideStaff, Randstad Technologies, Thornburg & Littecken, LLC dba GLR & ISR, and Yoh) for the provision of Temporary Staffing Services for a three (3)-year base period with two (2) one-year option terms (for a total of five years); and (2) exercise each option year at the CEO's discretion.
11. Temporary Staffing for Fare Systems Manager - Sole Source Approve
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to ratify the existing Purchase Order (PO); and extend the current engagement with Contraflow Consulting for two (2) years to provide temporary staffing services for a Fare Systems Manager.
12. Hosted Text Messaging System - Contract Amendment Approve
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Amendment No. 4 to MTS Doc. No. G1326.0-10 with MIS Sciences, Corporation (MIS) for an additional expenditure authority of \$74,459.00.
13. Revisions to Board Policy No. 48 (Transit Service Discrimination Complaints Procedure) Approve
Action would approve and adopt the proposed revisions to Policy No. 48 Transit Service Discrimination Complaints Procedure.
14. 2015 State and Federal Legislative Programs Approve
Action would approve staff recommendations for 2015 federal and state legislative programs.

CLOSED SESSION

24. None.

Oral Report of Final Actions Taken in Closed Session

NOTICED PUBLIC HEARINGS

25. None.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

30. MTS Transit Service Fixed-Route and Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Bus Services - Contract Award (Bill Spraul and Jeff Codling) Approve
Action would: (1) execute MTS Doc. No. B0614.0-14 (in substantially the same format as Attachment A) with Transdev Services, Inc. (Transdev) for the provision of fixed-route, express, and BRT bus services for a six year base period with two (2) three-year optional performance periods exercisable exclusively at MTS's discretion, beginning on July 1, 2015 and ending on June 30, 2027; and (2) exercise the option periods at his discretion, if deemed to be in the best interest of MTS; and (3) waive Policy No. 41 and authorize the CEO, at his discretion, to execute amendments to increase the not-to-exceed amount of the contract to pay for increased service levels or services, so long as such costs/increases have either been (a) approved by the Board as part of the MTS Operating Budget or the MTS Capital Improvement Project budget process, or (b) will be reimbursed by a third party.
31. San Diego Transit Corporation (SDTC) Employee Retirement Plan's Actuarial Valuation as of July 1, 2014 (Robert McCrory and Anne Harper of Cheiron Inc. and Larry Marinesi) Approve
Action would receive the SDTC Employee Retirement Plan's (Plan) actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2014, and adopt the pension contribution amount of \$12,489,757 (38.65 percent) for fiscal year 2016.
32. Enterprise Resource Planning/Transit Asset Management Implementation Services Contract Award (Larry Marinesi and Fred LaCroix) Approve
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. No. G1731.0-15, with Labyrinth Solutions, Inc. for the provision of software implementation services (totaling \$7,612,500 including contingency) for the Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)/Transit Asset Management (TAM) Project with the option to exercise the Budget Planning module exclusively at the CEO's discretion.

REPORT ITEMS

45. Pacific Imperial Railroad (PIR) Desert Line Agreement - Status Update (Karen Landers) Information
46. Operations Budget Status Report for November 2014 (Mike Thompson) Information
60. Chairman's Report Information
61. Audit Oversight Committee Chairman's Report Information
62. Chief Executive Officer's Report Information

63. Board Member Communications

64. Additional Public Comments Not on the Agenda

If the limit of 5 speakers is exceeded under No. 3 (Public Comments) on this agenda, additional speakers will be taken at this time. If you have a report to present, please furnish a copy to the Clerk of the Board. Subjects of previous hearings or agenda items may not again be addressed under Public Comments.

65. Next Meeting Date: February 12, 2015

66. Adjournment

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101

MINUTES

December 11, 2014

1. Roll Call

Chairman Mathis called the Board meeting to order at 9:07 a.m. A roll call sheet listing Board member attendance is attached.

2. Approval of Minutes

Mr. Cunningham moved to approve the minutes of the November 11, 2014, MTS Board of Directors meeting. Ms. Bragg seconded the motion, and the vote was 14 to 0 in favor with the City of La Mesa representative absent.

3. Public Comments

Arleen Galinski – Ms. Galinski said she lives in Santee on Mission Gorge Road and does not have public transportation to get to the Santee trolley station. She requested for a small bus to pick up the senior citizens near the mobile home park for transportation to the Santee trolley station. Chairman Mathis stated that the MTS Planning staff will be notified to contact her about this request.

CONSENT ITEMS

6. Blue Line Closed-Circuit Television (CCTV) System Upgrades - Contract Award

Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer to execute MTS Doc. No. PWL158.0-15 with Electro Specialty Systems (ESS) for the provision of services for the installation of MTS Blue Line CCTV system upgrades.

7. Electric Service Provider (ESP) Contract Award

Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to: (1) execute the following documents with Noble Americas Energy Solutions, LLC (Noble) for the provision of ESP services for a three-year base period with one three-year optional term (for a total of six years): Attachment A: Direct Access Services Agreement (Ref: MTS Doc. No. G1728.0-15); Attachment B: Amendment to the Direct Access Services Agreement; Attachment C: Addendum for Day Ahead Price, Fixed Volume Electricity with Schedule of Hourly Quantities; and (2) exercise the optional term at the CEO's discretion.

8. San Diego State University (SDSU) Fire and Safety Monitoring Services - Contract Award

Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. No. L1237.0-15 with Simplex Grinnell (Simplex) on a sole-source basis for fire and safety monitoring services at the SDSU trolley station for a five-year period.

9. Elevator and Escalator Maintenance and Repair Services - Contract Award

Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to: (1) Execute MTS Doc. No. PWG153.0-15 with ThyssenKrupp Elevator (TKE) for San Diego Transit Corporation (SDTC) and San Diego Trolley, Inc. (SDTI) elevator and escalator maintenance and repair services for a

three-year base period with two one-year options (total of five years); and (2) Exercise each option year at the CEO's discretion.

10. Investment Report - October 2014
11. Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Paratransit Services - Contract Amendment
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Amendment No. 2 to MTS Doc. No. G1205.0-10 with First Transit, Inc. (FTI) for an additional expenditure authority of \$17,446,884.00.
12. Semiannual Uniform Report of Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Awards or Commitments and Payments
13. Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 Grant Application
Action would: (1) Adopt Resolution No. 14-13 authorizing the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to submit an application for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2013 and FFY 2014 funding through the FTA Section 5310, Enhanced Mobility for Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program; and (2) Adopt Resolution No. 14-14 certifying that there are no private, nonprofit organizations readily available to provide the same complementary paratransit service in MTS's service area, a requirement in order to receive FTA Section 5310 funds.
14. Transfer of Eleven (11) Retired Paratransit Vehicles
Action would approve the staff recommendation to donate ten (10) retired paratransit vehicles including one (1) 2006 El Dorado vehicle, and nine (9) 2008 Star Craft vehicles to Facilitating Access to Coordinated Transportation (FACT) to assist non-profit service providers in the MTS Service Area. One (1) 2006 El Dorado will be donated to the Arnold E. Betcher Veterans of Foreign Wars Post 3788. The recipients will be agencies that provide services to persons with disabilities that may qualify for ADA paratransit services.
15. Audit Report - Compass Card Inventory Overage
16. Audit Report - Information Technology Disaster Recovery
17. Unallocated Transportation Development Act (TDA) Funds for Transit-Related Projects
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to approve the use of \$941,626 in the City of El Cajon's unallocated TDA funds currently held by the County of San Diego (County). These TDA funds will cover the cost of asphalt replacement at Marshall Avenue in front on the El Cajon Transit Center.
18. Agreement for the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System - Joint Agency Task Force
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to approve the proposed Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, City of El Cajon, City of La Mesa, City of National City and City of Chula Vista regarding the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System - Joint Agency Task Force (JATF).

Board Member Comments

Mr. Alvarez inquired if staff could briefly report on consent agenda item number 12: "Semiannual Uniform Report of Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Awards or Commitments and Payments". Karen Landers, General Counsel, briefly discussed the DBE consent agenda item. She stated that every six months, MTS is required to report to the FTA on DBE realization for

contracts awarded or completed during that six month period. Ms. Landers also said that every three years MTS goes through a process to set DBE goals. Mr. Alvarez asked if there are any local requirements related to DBE goals. Ms. Landers replied there are no local requirements for DBE goals. Mr. Alvarez inquired if MTS has voluntary goals for local funds. Ms. Landers stated that MTS has a race neutral goal for DBEs and base the goals off of the Federal requirements. Mr. Alvarez asked how often analysis is done to determine if the DBE goal should be higher. Ms. Landers replied that the analysis is done every three years. She noted that over the three year period, the cumulative percentage is expected to be approximately 4%.

Action on Recommended Consent Items

Mr. Alvarez moved to approve Consent Agenda Item Nos. 6 – 18. Mr. Minto seconded the motion, and the vote was 14 to 0 in favor with the City of La Mesa representative absent.

CLOSED SESSION

24. Closed Session Items

The Board convened to Closed Session at 9:17 a.m.

- a. CLOSED SESSION - CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS (pursuant to Government Code section 54956.8) AND CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - PENDING LITIGATION (pursuant to Government Code section 54956.9(d)(1))
Property: Assessor Parcel No. 550-500-23, City of San Diego; 555-011-17, City of National City; 571-090-12, City of Chula Vista; 576-523-04, City of Lemon Grove
Case: Metropolitan Transit System vs. Union Pacific Railroad, et al., SDSC Case No. 37-2014-00084616-CU-EI-CTL
Agency Negotiators: Paul Jablonski, Chief Executive Officer; Karen Landers, General Counsel; and Tim Allison, Manager of Real Estate Assets
Negotiating Parties: Union Pacific Railroad
Under Negotiation: Price and Terms of Payment

The Board reconvened to Open Session at 9:23 a.m.

Oral Report of Final Actions Taken in Closed Session

Karen Landers, General Counsel, reported the following:

- a. The Board approved a settlement with 14 to 0 in favor, with the representative from the City of La Mesa absent.

NOTICED PUBLIC HEARINGS

- 25. None.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

- 30. None.

REPORT ITEMS

45. Pacific Imperial Railroad (PIR) Desert Line Agreement - Status Update (Karen Landers)

Mr. Jablonski provided a brief report on the PIR status update. He stated that MTS has been working with both PIR and Baja Railroad to try and start active negotiations between the two parties. Mr. Jablonski said there will be a meeting hosted at the US Consulate in Tijuana on Monday, December 15, 2014. This meeting will consist of principals of Baja Railroad, PIR and Admicarga, as well as a mediator to help guide the meeting. He noted that the mediator is attorney Miguel Leff, and has experience in binational business agreements. Mr. Jablonski stated that he will report back to the Board on the results of the upcoming meeting.

Ms. Salas commented that she hopes the topic of economic benefits will be discussed at the meeting. Mr. Jablonski replied that topic is one of the main interests and will be a part of the discussion. Ms. Salas stated that the MTS Board should also look at this matter not only as a revenue agreement, but as an economic development opportunity. Ms. Landers commented that the next Board meeting will not be until January 29, 2015, so an update will be provided to the Board before the next meeting if progress is made.

46. Operations Budget Status Report for October 2014 (Mike Thompson)

Mike Thompson, Director of Financial Planning and Analysis, provided a report on the operations budget status for October 2014. He discussed the comparison to the budget for October 31, 2014 through fiscal year 2015. He reviewed the results of the consolidated operating budget including total revenue and expenses. Mr. Thompson noted that energy costs have been higher than expected. He also discussed on-going concerns including sales tax subsidy revenue, State of California budget, passenger levels and energy prices.

Mr. Cunningham inquired if there is an anticipated offset for the reduction in gas and diesel prices. Mr. Thompson replied that will depend on CNG prices. He noted that gas and diesel are very small expenses for MTS, and CNG is a much larger expense. Mr. Cunningham inquired if MTS was buying blocks of electricity costs rather than paying monthly rates. Mr. Thompson replied that MTS purchases the electricity commodity at market rates directly from the State of California exchange.

Mr. Minto inquired if the CNG prices are reported per gallon. Mr. Thompson replied that CNG is priced per therm. Mr. Minto asked if CNG is still the most economical option. Mr. Thompson said staff evaluates the prices every year to determine the best option and CNG is still a much better option than gas, even with gas prices going down. Mr. Roberts commented that MTS was previously required by the State to pick a path, and selected the CNG path.

60. Chairman's Report

Chairman Mathis mentioned the hand out provided to all Board members regarding mandatory ethics training. Ms. Landers noted that all Board members should provide a copy of their ethics training if previously completed with their own respective agency. Chairman Mathis stated that the nominating committee met before today's Board meeting and made recommendations for committee representatives. The Board will vote to approve the recommendations at the January 2015 Board meeting.

61. Audit Oversight Committee Chairman's Report

There was no Audit Oversight Committee Chairman's report.

63. Board Member Communications (Taken out of order)

Mr. Alvarez commented that he recently met with people from the City of Tecate. He said they asked about potential support with installing a trolley system. They want to work with MTS to see if they can purchase old equipment. Mr. Alvarez asked who they should be directed to on this matter. Mr. Jablonski stated they can speak directly to him.

62. Chief Executive Officer's Report

Mr. Jablonski reported that he and three other MTS staff members traveled to Portland to visit its transit authority, TriMet, and to discuss collaboration on the next generation of fare collection. They also toured TriMet's new operating facility as well as its tram system. Mr. Jablonski also reported on MidCoast. He stated that the FTA ruled in our favor to exercise the option to purchase additional LRVs for MidCoast.

Chairman Mathis also noted that Ernie Ewin will come back to MTS and work as the Audit Oversight Committee Chairman for 2015.

64. Additional Public Comments on Items Not on the Agenda


There were no additional public comments.

65. Next Meeting Date

The next regularly scheduled Board meeting is January 29, 2015.

66. Adjournment

Chairman Mathis adjourned the meeting at 9:47 a.m.



Chairperson
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

Filed by:

Approved as to form:



Clerk of the Board
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System



General Counsel
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

Attachment: Roll Call Sheet

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
ROLL CALL

MEETING OF (DATE): December 11, 2014

CALL TO ORDER (TIME): 9:07 a.m.

RECESS: _____

RECONVENE: _____

CLOSED SESSION: 9:17 a.m.

RECONVENE: 9:23 a.m.

PUBLIC HEARING: _____

RECONVENE: _____

ORDINANCES ADOPTED: _____


ADJOURN: 9:47 a.m.

BOARD MEMBER	(Alternate)	PRESENT (TIME ARRIVED)	ABSENT (TIME LEFT)
ALVAREZ	☒ (Zapf) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
BRAGG	☒ (Bilbray) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
COLE	☒ (Zapf) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
CUNNINGHAM	☒ (Mullin) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
EMERALD	☐ (Zapf) ☒	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
EWIN	☐ (Arapostathis) ☐		
GASTIL	☐ (Jones) ☒	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
GLORIA	☒ (Zapf) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
MATHIS	☒	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
MCCLELLAN	☒ (Ambrose) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
MINTO	☒ (McNelis) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
RIOS	☒ (Sotelo-Solis) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
ROBERTS	☒ (Cox) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
SALAS	☒ (Ramirez) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.
WOIWODE	☒ (Sandke) ☐	9:07 a.m.	9:47 a.m.

SIGNED BY THE CLERK OF THE BOARD:



CONFIRMED BY THE GENERAL COUNSEL:



REQUEST TO SPEAK FORM

ORDER REQUEST RECEIVED

PLEASE SUBMIT THIS COMPLETED FORM (AND YOUR WRITTEN STATEMENT) TO THE CLERK OF THE BOARD PRIOR TO DISCUSSION OF YOUR ITEM

1. INSTRUCTIONS

This Request to Speak form must be filled out and submitted in advance of the discussion of your item to the Clerk of the Board (please attach any written statement to this form). Communications on hearings and agenda items are generally limited to three minutes per person unless the Board authorizes additional time; however, the Chairperson may limit comment to one or two minutes each if there are multiple requests to speak on a particular item. General public comments on items not on the agenda are limited to three minutes. Please be brief and to the point. No yielding of time is allowed. Subjects of previous hearings or agenda items may not again be addressed under General Public Comments.

(PLEASE PRINT)

Date	Jan 28/15		
Name	Abdul Mohamed		
Address	4061 39th St		
Telephone			
Organization Represented	Mid City CAN		
Subject of Your Remarks	LCTOP		
Regarding Agenda Item No.			
Your Comments Present a Position of:	☐	SUPPORT	☐ OPPOSITION

2. TESTIMONY AT NOTICED PUBLIC HEARINGS

At Public Hearings of the Board, persons wishing to speak shall be permitted to address the Board on any issue relevant to the subject of the Hearing.

3. DISCUSSION OF AGENDA ITEMS

The Chairman may permit any member of the public to address the Board on any issue relevant to a particular agenda item.

4. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENTS ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Public comment on matters not on the agenda will be limited to five speakers with three minutes each, under the Public Comment Agenda Item. Additional speakers will be heard at the end of the Board's Agenda.

REMEMBER: Subjects of previous hearings or agenda items may not again be addressed under General Public Comments.

California Legislature

January 22, 2015

Chairman Harry Mathis
Metropolitan Transit System Board
1255 Imperial Ave., Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101

Re: Funding for the Youth Opportunity Pass Transit Program

Chairman Mathis,

We are writing to express our support for the Youth Opportunity Pass transit program and to urge you to include funding for this important program in your Cap and Trade Low Carbon Transit Operations (LCTOP) funding plan to the CalTrans that is due on February 1st.

We have heard from many of our residents, especially those from disadvantaged communities, about how important safe, convenient, and dependable mode of public transportation is to parents and their children. Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) support would address safety needs for many vulnerable students who must travel through unsafe areas in order to get back and forth from school.

The Youth Opportunity Pass pilot is currently being implemented in four inner-city high schools in the San Diego Unified School District (SDUSD) and providing free Compass Passes, good on buses and trolleys, to over 500 low-income students who have difficulty accessing educational, recreational, and economic opportunities. The City of San Diego and SDUSD jointly founded the pilot in 2013 and SDUSD continued funding for a second year. There is a professional evaluation of the program currently in progress to measure academic performance, ridership, safety, and economic opportunity.

In 2014, Senate Bill 862 requires a minimum of 50 percent of the LCTOP funds to be used to benefit disadvantaged communities. The transit program investment has the potential to expand to other areas of the MTS coverage area and serve as a major asset in the future transit



Re: Funding for the Youth Opportunity Pass Transit Program


Page 2

customers for MTS. It is also an important way to reduce greenhouse gases by reducing these students' dependence on individual automobile travel.

We know an investment like this can work on a large scale. Following the introduction of the Zip Card transit program in London, "the average number of bus trips made by 12-17 year olds increased by 35 percent. Additionally, this resource also allows more flexibility in the schedules of youth and their family, as demonstrated when the introduction of "Free Muni for Low-Income Youth" program in San Francisco saw a dramatic increase in participation in after-school programs.

With the support of MTS, along with partnership with San Diego Unified School District and San Diego-area legislators, we can all ensure that our students can travel safely throughout San Diego.

Sincerely,



LORENA GONZALEZ
Assemblywoman, 80th District




MARTY BLOCK
Senator, 39th District



BEN HUESO
Senator, 40th District

CalEnviroScreen2.0 Final: Top 25th Percentile Census Tracts, County of San Diego, Countywide Ranking



EHC, 2014.


Source: CalEPA, August 2014.

Map Base Layers: TIGER, SanGIS.

LCTOP Interim Guidelines – GGRF

1. Install new transit stops/stations that connect to bike paths/pedestrian paths.
2. Upgrade transit stops/stations to support active transportation and encourage ridership (e.g., bikesharing facilities; bicycle racks/lockers; covered benches; energy efficient lighting)
3. Upgrade transit vehicles to support active transportation and encourage ridership (e.g., bicycle racks on buses; bicycle storage on rail cars)

D. Enhancement Projects

- 
1. Convert/retrofit diesel vehicles and equipment to zero-emission
 2. Free or reduced-fare transit passes/vouchers (this is a good opportunity to partner with educational institutions, low-income housing developers near transit, or other groups within your jurisdiction, to enhance access to transit)
 3. Technology (e.g., zero-emission or hybrid buses, rail electrification, hybrid ferries)
 4. Retrofit transit vehicles to meaningfully improve fuel efficiency (e.g., anti-idling systems; regenerative braking for trains)

Transit operations and maintenance investments made in one year may be included in subsequent years' project plans for the useful life of the project. For example, if a transit operator uses LCTOP funds to expand transit service in one year, future years' projects may include the continuation of that same service, through the funding of related operations or maintenance costs.

Transit capital investments that include the purchase of new zero-emission vehicles may be presumed to meet the service enhancement requirements of Public Resource Code 75230 (d).

For eligible recipients who operate ferry services, funds may be spent in support of new or expanded ferry services, consistent with the requirements of statute and these guidelines.

11. Useful Life

To be eligible, capital projects must have a useful life not less than that typically required for capital assets (rolling stock, infrastructure, rail infrastructure, equipment) pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law, (Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 16720) of part 3 of Division 4 of Title 2) specifically subdivision (a) of Section 16727. Buses and rail rolling stock, including paratransit vehicles, are considered to be equipment with a useful life of two years or more. Documentation of useful life is required in the Project Description and Allocation Request form.

12. Transit Plan

Projects **must** be consistent with the project lead's most recent short-range transit plan, regional plan, or publicly-adopted plan (including a transportation improvement program) that programs funds for transit projects. If the project lead is in a Metropolitan Planning Organization area, the project should also be consistent with the Sustainable Communities Strategy, as required by

REQUEST TO SPEAK FORM

ORDER REQUEST RECEIVED

PLEASE SUBMIT THIS COMPLETED FORM (AND YOUR WRITTEN STATEMENT) TO THE CLERK OF THE BOARD PRIOR TO DISCUSSION OF YOUR ITEM

1. INSTRUCTIONS

This Request to Speak form must be filled out and submitted in advance of the discussion of your item to the Clerk of the Board (please attach any written statement to this form). Communications on hearings and agenda items are generally limited to three minutes per person unless the Board authorizes additional time; however, the Chairperson may limit comment to one or two minutes each if there are multiple requests to speak on a particular item. General public comments on items not on the agenda are limited to three minutes. Please be brief and to the point. No yielding of time is allowed. Subjects of previous hearings or agenda items may not again be addressed under General Public Comments.

(PLEASE PRINT)

Date	1-28-15			
Name	Maria Cortez			
Address	4236 Marlborough Ave			
Telephone	619-283-7815			
Organization Represented	Mid-city can			
Subject of Your Remarks	LC top			
Regarding Agenda Item No.				
Your Comments Present a Position of:	☐	☐	SUPPORT	☐
				OPPOSITION

2. TESTIMONY AT NOTICED PUBLIC HEARINGS

At Public Hearings of the Board, persons wishing to speak shall be permitted to address the Board on any issue relevant to the subject of the Hearing.

3. DISCUSSION OF AGENDA ITEMS

The Chairman may permit any member of the public to address the Board on any issue relevant to a particular agenda item.

4. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENTS ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Public comment on matters not on the agenda will be limited to five speakers with three minutes each, under the Public Comment Agenda Item. Additional speakers will be heard at the end of the Board's Agenda.

REMEMBER: Subjects of previous hearings or agenda items may not again be addressed under General Public Comments.



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 4

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

ELECT VICE CHAIR, CHAIR PRO TEM, AND COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS
(SHARON COONEY)

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors:

- 1) elect a Vice Chair and a Chair Pro Tem for 2015; and
- 2) consider the nominating slate (Attachment A) proposed by the Ad Hoc Nominating Committee for the appointment of representatives to MTS committees for 2015 and vote to appoint representatives to those committees.

Budget Impact

None.

DISCUSSION:

Public Utilities Code Section 120100 requires the Board of Directors, annually at its first meeting in January, to elect a Vice Chair who shall preside in the absence of the Chair. Policies and Procedures No. 22, "Rules of Procedure," also provides for the election of a Chair Pro Tem to serve in the absence of the Chair and Vice Chair. In 2014, Mr. Ron Roberts served as Vice Chair, and Mr. Ernie Ewin served as Chair Pro Tem.

The Vice Chair and Chair Pro Tem nomination and election procedures pursuant to Robert's Rules of Order are as follows:

1. The Chairman of the Board opens the agenda item.
2. The Chairman requests nominations from the floor. Nominations do not require a second.
3. The Chairman closes the nominations.



4. The Chairman invites the candidate(s) to address the Board for 3 minutes.
5. The Chairman asks for any Board discussion.
6. The Chairman calls for the vote on each motion for each candidate.
7. The vote is taken on the motion(s) for each candidate based upon the order in which they were nominated. The vote continues until a candidate is elected.

In addition, each year the Board makes appointments to the various committees, including the Executive Committee, the Audit Oversight Committee, the Budget Development Committee, the Public Security Committee, the Joint Committee on Regional Transit (JCRT), the Taxicab Committee, the Los Angeles-San Diego Rail Corridor Agency (LOSSAN), the Accessible Services Advisory Committee (ASAC), the Airport Authority Advisory Committee, the SANDAG Board, and SANDAG committees. Membership of the Executive Committee is dictated by Board Policy 22. Similarly, membership on the Audit Oversight Committee is dictated by Board Policy 22 which designates all members of the Executive Committee as members of the Audit Oversight Committee but allows the appointment of other Board members to that Committee at the Board's discretion. Board Policy 22 requires the Executive Committee to appoint the representative and alternate to the SANDAG Transportation Committee at its first meeting in January. The Executive Committee appointed Harry Mathis (primary) and Lorie Bragg (alternate) on January 22.



Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachment: A. Proposed MTS Nominating Slate for 2015

2015 SLATE OF MTS COMMITTEES AND OUTSIDE AGENCY APPOINTMENTS

Accessible Services Advisory Committee (ASAC)	Lorie Bragg – Chair
Airport Authority Advisory Committee	Harry Mathis – Committee Representative Ron Roberts – Alternate
Audit Oversight Committee	Ernie Ewin – Chair Harry Mathis – Committee Representative Ron Roberts – County Representative (Alternate: Greg Cox) Todd Gloria – City of San Diego Representative (Alternate: Marti Emerald) Lorie Bragg – South Bay Representative (Alternate: Mona Rios) Jim Cunningham – East County Representative (Alternate: Bob McClellan)
Budget Development Committee	Harry Mathis – Committee Representative Bob McClellan – Committee Representative Ron Roberts – Committee Representative Myrtle Cole – Committee Representative John Minto – Committee Representative
Executive Committee	Harry Mathis – Chair Ron Roberts – County Representative (Alternate: Greg Cox) Todd Gloria – City of San Diego Representative (Alternate: Marti Emerald) Lorie Bragg – South Bay Representative (Alternate: Mona Rios) Jim Cunningham – East County Representative (Alternate: Bob McClellan)
Joint Committee on Regional Transit (JCRT)	Harry Mathis – Committee Representative Jim Cunningham – Committee Representative George Gastil – Committee Representative
Los Angeles - San Diego Rail Corridor Agency (LOSSAN)	George Gastil – Committee Representative Mona Rios – Alternate
Public Security Committee	Jim Cunningham – Committee Representative Harry Mathis – Committee Representative John Minto – Committee Representative Mona Rios – Committee Representative Lorie Zapf – Committee Representative
SANDAG Board	Harry Mathis – Advisory Representative Mona Rios – Alternate
SANDAG Regional Planning Committee	Mona Rios – Committee Representative Myrtle Cole – Alternate
SANDAG Transportation Committee	Harry Mathis – Committee Representative Lorie Bragg – Alternate (Chosen by the Executive Committee)
Taxicab Advisory Committee	Myrtle Cole – Chair Bob McClellan – Alternate
Vice Chair Chair Pro Tem	Ron Roberts – Vice Chair Todd Gloria – Chair Pro Tem



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 6

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

REVISIONS TO BOARD POLICY NO. 16 TO ESTABLISH PROCEDURES FOR FILING CLAIMS AND INSTITUTION AND MAINTENANCE OF LAWSUITS FOR DAMAGE TO OR DESTRUCTION OF MTS PROPERTY AND TO ESTABLISH PROCEDURES FOR SETTLEMENT OF SUCH LAWSUITS

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors approve and adopt the updated Board Policy No. 16 (Attachment A).

Budget Impact

None. Today's action does not authorize any specific expenditure. Payment of individual claims are accounted for in the annual budget process based on the estimated cost to evaluate, defend and compromise legal claims each fiscal year.

DISCUSSION:

Board Policy No. 16 authorizes the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to file administrative claims and to initiate and maintain lawsuits on behalf of the MTS Board to recover for damage to or destruction of MTS property. Currently, the policy requires any claims or lawsuits over \$25,000 to be brought to the Board for approval. Government Code section 935.4 allows a local public entity to authorize an employee to compromise or settle claims if the amount paid does not exceed \$50,000. The proposed amendments to Board Policy No. 16 would raise the delegated settlement authority of the CEO to the Government Code limit of \$50,000. These changes are consistent with changes approved by the Board to Board Policy No. 51 (Claims Against the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System, A



California Public Agency, or its Subsidiaries, San Diego Transit Corporation or San Diego Trolley, Inc.) on October 30, 2014.



Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachment: A. Proposed Board Policy No. 16 (redline version)

1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Policies and Procedures

No. 16

Board Approval: 2/12/04 1/28/15

SUBJECT:

LEGAL ACTION BY OR AGAINST THE BOARD

PURPOSE:

To establish procedures for filing claims and institution and maintenance of lawsuits for damage to or destruction of MTS property and to establish procedures for settlement of such lawsuits.

BACKGROUND:

MTS owns the capital facilities and equipment used for operation of the trolley as well as other property. Damage to or destruction of that property may require legal action.

Under Public Utilities Code 120201, MTS may sue and be sued, except as provided by law, in all actions and proceedings, in all courts and tribunals of competent jurisdiction. There is no law that prevents MTS from filing claims or lawsuits for damage to its property.

POLICY:

16.1 Filing of Administrative Claims; Litigation

The Chief Executive Officer is authorized to file administrative claims and to initiate and maintain lawsuits on behalf of the Board to recover for damage to or destruction of MTS property. The Chief Executive Officer shall report to the Board concerning all claims and lawsuits filed on behalf of the Board.

16.2 Settlement of Lawsuits

The Chief Executive Officer shall have the authority to settle claims or lawsuits for \$2550,000 per claim or lawsuit or less without Board approval. The Chief Executive Officer shall report to the Board concerning all settlements made for \$2550,000 or less. Prior Board approval shall be required to settle any claim or lawsuit for more than \$2550,000.

DDarro/JGarde

POLICY-16.LEGAL ACTION BY OR AGAINST THE BOARD

2/23/04



Original Policy approved on 10/26/81.
Policy revised on 2/7/85.
Policy revised/renumbered on 2/12/04.
Policy revised on 1/28/15.



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 7

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

JANUARY 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

INVESTMENT REPORT – NOVEMBER 2014

INFORMATIONAL ONLY

Budget Impact

None.

DISCUSSION:

Attachment A comprises a report of the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) investments as of November 30, 2014. The combined total of all investments has decreased month to month from \$222.2 million to \$215.3 million. This \$6.9 million decrease is attributable to a \$4.0 million partial release of retention funds to Siemens and expenditures of \$3.4 million for acquisition of capital assets, partially offset by \$4.1 million received for State Transit Assistance (STA) funding, as well as normal timing differences in other payments and receipts.

The first column provides details about investments restricted for capital improvement projects and debt service, which are related to the 1995 lease and leaseback transactions. The funds restricted for debt service are structured investments with fixed returns that will not vary with market fluctuations if held to maturity. These investments are held in trust and will not be liquidated in advance of the scheduled maturities. These restricted funds will be liquidated to satisfy the outstanding debt obligation in full by the end of the calendar year 2015.

The second column, unrestricted investments, reports the working capital for MTS operations allowing payments for employee payroll and vendors' goods and services.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul C. Jablonski', is written over a horizontal line.

Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com
Attachment: A. Investment Report for November 2014

1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000, San Diego, CA 92101-7490 • (619) 231-1466 • www.sdmts.com

Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) is a California public agency comprised of San Diego Transit Corp., San Diego Trolley, Inc., San Diego and Arizona Eastern Railway Company (nonprofit public benefit corporations), and San Diego Vintage Trolley, Inc., a 501(c)(3) nonprofit corporation, in cooperation with Chula Vista Transit. MTS is the taxicab administrator for seven cities. MTS member agencies include the cities of Chula Vista, Coronado, El Cajon, Imperial Beach, La Mesa, Lemon Grove, National City, Poway, San Diego, Santee, and the County of San Diego.



**San Diego Metropolitan Transit System
Investment Report
November 30, 2014**

	<u>Restricted</u>	<u>Unrestricted</u>	<u>Total</u>	Average rate of return
Cash and Cash Equivalents				
JP Morgan Chase - concentration account	-	12,151,609	12,151,609	0.00%
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	-	12,151,609	12,151,609	
Cash - Restricted for Capital Support				
US Bank - retention trust account	4,758,582	-	4,758,582	N/A *
San Diego County Investment Pool Proposition 1B TSGP grant funds	3,856,634	22,671	3,879,305	
Total Cash - Restricted for Capital Support	8,615,216	22,671	8,637,887	
Investments - Working Capital				
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	19,745,588	58,511,046	78,256,634	0.261%
Total Investments - Working Capital	19,745,588	58,511,046	78,256,634	
Investments - Restricted for Debt Service				
US Bank - Treasury Strips - market value (Par value \$39,474,000)	39,443,938	-	39,443,938	
Rabobank - Payment Undertaking Agreement	76,816,295	-	76,816,295	7.69%
Total Investments Restricted for Debt Service	116,260,233	-	116,260,233	
Total cash and investments	<u>\$ 144,621,037</u>	<u>\$ 70,685,326</u>	<u>\$ 215,306,363</u>	

N/A* - Per trust agreements, interest earned on retention account is allocated to trust beneficiary (contractor)



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 8

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

JANUARY 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

UPDATE THE LIST OF SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM (MTS)
EMPLOYEES AUTHORIZED TO TAKE ACTION RELATED TO LOCAL AGENCY
INVESTMENT FUND (LAIF) ACCOUNTS

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors approve Resolution No. 15-1 (Attachment A) and Resolution No. 15-2 (Attachment B) to update the list of MTS employees authorized to take action related to the LAIF investment accounts, administered by the State Treasurer, in the name of the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System and San Diego Transit Corporation.

DISCUSSION:

MTS invests excess funds into two accounts at LAIF, which currently pays a favorable rate of interest. Attachment A contains a list of MTS employees to be authorized to take action related to the investment account at LAIF in the name of the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System. Attachment B contains a list of MTS employees to be authorized to take action related to the investment account at LAIF in the name of the San Diego Transit Corporation. As LAIF requires agency adopted Resolutions, staff is requesting that the Board of Directors approve Resolution No. 15-1 and Resolution No. 15-2.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul C. Jablonski', is written over a horizontal line.

Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachment: A. Resolution No. 15-1
B. Resolution No. 15-2



SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM

RESOLUTION NO. 15-1

Resolution Regarding the LAIF Investment Account in the name of San Diego Metropolitan Transit System, also known as San Diego Metropolitan Transit Development Board

WHEREAS, section 16429.1 was added to the California Government code to create a Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) in the State Treasury for the deposit of money of a local agency for the purposes of investment by the State Treasurer; and

WHEREAS, San Diego Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) has established an account with the LAIF in accordance with Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the purpose of investment in the best interests of San Diego Metropolitan Transit System; and

WHEREAS, MTS desires to update the list of individuals authorized to take action related to the LAIF account;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

Section 1. The following MTS officers holding the titles specified below or their successors in office are each hereby authorized to order the deposit or withdrawal of monies in the LAIF and may execute and deliver any and all documents necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purposes of this resolution and the transactions contemplated hereby:

<u>Paul Jablonski</u> (NAME)	<u>Karen Landers</u> (NAME)	<u>Larry Marinesi</u> (NAME)
---------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------

<u>Chief Executive Officer</u> (TITLE)	<u>General Counsel</u> (TITLE)	<u>Chief Financial Officer</u> (TITLE)
---	-----------------------------------	---

_____ (SIGNATURE)	_____ (SIGNATURE)	_____ (SIGNATURE)
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

<u>Erin Dunn</u> (NAME)	<u>Mike Thompson</u> (NAME)
----------------------------	--------------------------------

<u>Controller</u> (TITLE)	<u>Director of Financial Planning & Analysis</u> (TITLE)
------------------------------	---

_____ (SIGNATURE)	_____ (SIGNATURE)
----------------------	----------------------

Section 2. This resolution shall remain in full force and effect until rescinded by the MTS Board of Directors by resolution and a copy of the resolution rescinding this resolution is filed with the State Treasurer's Office.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors this ____ day of _____ 2014,
by the following vote:

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

ABSTAINING:

Chairperson
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

Filed by:

Approved as to form:

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

Office of the General Counsel
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM

RESOLUTION NO. 15-2

Resolution Regarding the Additional LAIF Investment Account in the name of San Diego Transit Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

WHEREAS, section 16429.1 was added to the California Government code to create a Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) in the State Treasury for the deposit of money of a local agency for the purposes of investment by the State Treasurer; and

WHEREAS, San Diego Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) has established an account in the name of San Diego Transit Corporation with LAIF in accordance with Government Code section 16429.1 et. seq. for the purpose of investment in the best interests of San Diego Metropolitan Transit System; and

WHEREAS, MTS desires to update the list of individuals authorized to take action related to the LAIF account in the name of San Diego Transit Corporation;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

Section 1: The following MTS officers holding the titles specified below or their successors in office are each hereby authorized to order the deposit or withdrawal of monies in the LAIF and may execute and deliver any and all documents necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purposes of this resolution and the transactions contemplated hereby:

<u>Paul Jablonski</u> (NAME)	<u>Karen Landers</u> (NAME)	<u>Larry Marinesi</u> (NAME)
<u>Chief Executive Officer</u> (TITLE)	<u>General Counsel</u> (TITLE)	<u>Chief Financial Officer</u> (TITLE)
_____ (SIGNATURE)	_____ (SIGNATURE)	_____ (SIGNATURE)
<u>Erin Dunn</u> (NAME)	<u>Mike Thompson</u> (NAME)	
<u>Controller</u> (TITLE)	<u>Director of Financial Planning & Analysis</u> (TITLE)	
_____ (SIGNATURE)	_____ (SIGNATURE)	

Section 2. This resolution shall remain in full force and effect until rescinded by the MTS Board of Directors by resolution and a copy of the resolution rescinding this resolution is filed with the State Treasurer's Office.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors this ____ day of _____ 2014,
by the following vote:

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

ABSTAINING:

Chairperson
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

Filed by:

Approved as to form:

Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

Office of the General Counsel
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 9

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

INVESTMENT CONSULTING SERVICES FOR THE SAN DIEGO TRANSIT
CORPORATION (SDTC) RETIREMENT PLANS - CONTRACT AWARD

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to:

- 1) execute, as agent for the Retirement Boards of the SDTC Employees' Retirement Plans (Plan), MTS Doc. No. G1705.0-14 (in substantially the same format as Attachment A) with RVK Inc. (RVK) for the provision of investment consulting services for the Plan for a five-year base period with five one-year optional terms (for a total of 10 years); and
- 2) exercise each option year at the Retirement Boards' discretion.

Budget Impact

The total estimated cost of this agreement would not exceed \$1,037,796.87. This amount includes a maximum annual Consumer Price Index (CPI) adjustment of 3%. The funding of this expense will be provided by the Plan.

DISCUSSION:

Through the San Diego Transit Corporation, MTS participates in the funding of the Plan as a legacy pension plan for SDTC employees. As of June 30, 2014, the Plan has 873 retirees receiving benefits, 648 active employees and 229 vested inactive members.

Effective May 1, 2011, employees in the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 465 (IBEW) bargaining unit hired after May 1, 2011 participate in a separate defined contribution IRC 401(a) plan, and effective November 1, 2012, employees in the Amalgamated Transit Union, Local 1309 (ATU) bargaining unit hired after November 1, 2012 participate in a separate defined contribution IRC 401(a) plan.



The Plan is managed by Retirement Boards which have plenary authority and fiduciary responsibility for the investment of Plan assets and administration of the Plan. The IBEW Retirement Board consists of three members from MTS and three members from the IBEW. The ATU Retirement Board consists of three members from MTS and three members from the ATU and the Noncontract Retirement Board consists of three members from MTS.

MTS's contributions to the Plan are governed by its collective bargaining agreements with the ATU and IBEW. As of July 1, 2014, total contributions as a percent of payroll total 39.147% which are shared between the employer and employees (employee contributions total 5% from ATU employees, 4% from IBEW employees and 4% for non-contract employees).

An Actuarial Valuation is prepared every year to provide visibility into the funding of the Plan and an Actuarial Experience Study is prepared every four years to help guide the investment strategy for the Plan. The Retirement Boards and the MTS Board receive annual reports each year detailing the investment performance of the Plan investments.

Since MTS and employee contributions are the sole sources of funding for the Plan, and employee contributions are capped, it is in MTS's best interests to help the Plan control costs and to work closely with the Retirement Boards' chosen investment consultant. Therefore, the MTS procurement department worked with the Retirement Boards and conducted a competitive process to select a new investment consultant. It is proposed that MTS enter into the contract, as the designated agent and contract administrator for the Retirement Board.

MTS Policy No. 52, governing procurement of services, requires a formal competitive process for procurements exceeding \$100,000.

On May 16, 2014, MTS issued, on behalf of the Retirement Boards, a Request for Proposals (RFP) for Investment Consulting Services for the Plan to secure a multiyear contract and provide professional services, on behalf of the Plans for employees of SDTC. The services include review of Plan asset allocation, provide monthly performance reports, provide searches for investment managers, review existing investment guidelines and investment policies, report annually to the MTS Board of Directors, and administer quarterly updates that include investment manager presentations.

On June 25, 2014, MTS received a total of four (4) proposals from the following:

1. Meketa Investment Group, Carlsbad, CA 92008
2. Milliman, Inc., San Francisco, CA 94108
3. RVK, Portland, OR 97204
4. Wurts & Associates, Seattle, WA 98104

A selection committee consisting of Retirement Board representatives from the MTS Finance department, ATU, and IBEW met and rated the proposals. The ratings were based on the following criteria:

1. Organizational Structure, Qualifications and Experience of Firm	15%
2. Proposed Staffing and Management Plan	15%
3. Proposed Methodology and Work Plan	30%
4. Cost/Price	<u>40%</u>
Total	100%

All proposals were deemed responsive and responsible except for Wurts & Associates, whose cost proposal was deemed incomplete. After the evaluation, RVK received the highest overall scores. RVK's proposal illustrated a broad and clear understanding of the scope of work and offered a highly knowledgeable and expert management team. RVK's was the only proposal that advanced to the next phase of the evaluation, which included interviews and negotiations.

Based on the committee's evaluation of the technical proposal, discussions, and analysis of the price offered, it was determined that RVK's proposal is fair and reasonable and represents the best overall value for the Plan. During the Best and Final Offer (BAFO) phase, RVK also further reduced its price proposal by \$66,953 (or roughly 6%) from the original price of \$1,104,750.00 to \$1,037,796.87. Additionally, the Plan will save approximately \$22,750 (20%) annually with the implementation of this contract with RVK.

On December 23, 2014, the SDTC Pension Investment Committee voted to recommend that the Board authorize the CEO of MTS, as agent for the Retirement Boards, to execute the contract with RVK.

The following table represents the final scores and rankings for all proposers:

PROPOSER NAME	TOTAL AVG. TECH. SCORE	AVG. COST SCORE	TOTAL SCORE (Tech + Cost) Total Possible Points: 100	RANKING
MEKETA INVESTMENT GROUP	41	34.17	75.17	2
MILLIMAN, INC.	31	37.91	68.91	3
RVK, INC.	57	40.00	97.00	1



Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachments: A. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract G1705.0-14
B. Cost Summary

STANDARD SERVICES AGREEMENT

G1705.0-14
 CONTRACT NUMBER
 OPS 960
 FILE NUMBER(S)

DRAFT

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____ 2014, in the state of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, solely in its capacity as agent for the Retirement Boards ("Retirement Boards") of the San Diego Transit Corporation (SDTC) Retirement Plans, and the following contractor, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: RVK, Inc. Address: 1211 SW 5th Avenue, Ste. 900
 Form of Business: Corporation Portland, OR 97204
 (Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.)
 Telephone: 503-221-4200
 Authorized person to sign contracts: Rebecca A. Gratsinger CEO, Senior Consultant, Principal
 Name Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS services, as follows:

Investment consulting services for the San Diego Transit Corporation (SDTC) Retirement Plans as set forth in the MTS Scope of Work (attached as Exhibit A), RVK Inc.'s Proposal dated June 23, 2014 and Best and Final Offer (BAFO) dated August 4, 2014 (attached as Exhibit B), in accordance with the Standard Services Agreement, including Standard Conditions Services (attached as Exhibit C).

The contract term is for up to a 10-year period (5-year base with five 1-year options exercisable at Retirement Board's sole discretion). Base period shall be effective October 1, 2014, through September 30, 2019, and Option Years 1 through 5 shall be effective October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2024. Payment terms shall be net 30 days from invoice date.

Annual fees for the first two years shall be fixed and will be adjusted thereafter by the *lesser* of 3%, or the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U) for the previous 12 months. The estimated total contract cost shall not exceed \$482,077.31 for the base period and \$555,719.56 for the option years for a total of \$1,037,796.87.

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM,
 solely as agent for the Retirement Boards

CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION

By: _____
 Chief Executive Officer

Approved as to form:

By: _____
 Office of General Counsel

Firm: _____

By: _____
 Signature

Title: _____

AMOUNT ENCUMBERED

BUDGET ITEM

FISCAL YEAR

\$ 482,077.31 – Base

\$ 555,719.56 – Options

\$1,037,796.87 – Total

Paid out of SDTC Pension Fund

15-24

By: _____
 Chief Financial Officer

Date



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
 San Diego, CA 92101-7490
 (619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

COST SUMMARY

INVESTMENT CONSULTING SERVICES – SDTC PENSION PLAN RFP MTS DOC. NO. G1705.0-14

COMPANY NAME	TOTAL COST
Meketa Investment Group	\$ 1,215,000.00
Milliman, Inc.	\$ 1,095,000.00
RVK, Inc. *	\$ 1,037,796.87

* RVK's offer was found to be the most advantageous and of the greatest value to MTS.





1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 10

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

TEMPORARY STAFFING SERVICES – CREATION OF ON-CALL LIST AND
CONTRACT AWARDS

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to:

- 1) execute MTS Doc. Nos. G1778.0-15 through G1786.0-15 (in substantially the same format as Attachments A through I) with nine (9) Temporary Staffing firms (Apple One, Kforce Technology, Lawton Group, Modis, Network Solutions, PrideStaff, Randstad Technologies, Thornburg & Littecken, LLC dba GLR & ISR, and Yoh) for the provision of Temporary Staffing Services for a three (3)-year base period with two (2) one-year option terms (for a total of five years); and
- 2) exercise each option year at the CEO's discretion.

Budget Impact

The total cost of each of Temporary Staffing Services agreement will be rate-based and will depend on actual usage of temporary staffing services by MTS. As individual work orders are issued under each Temporary Staffing Services contract, funds from the requesting department's operating or capital budget (as applicable) will be encumbered. Individual work orders exceeding the CEO's authority (\$100,000) will be brought to the Board for approval.

DISCUSSION:

MTS currently solicits three (3) quotes as temporary staffing services needs arise. Such needs may come from prolonged illness, leaves of absence, periods of unusually high workload, needs for specialized skill sets and supplemental staffing for projects which



may not warrant the recruitment of full time staff. As MTS's needs have significantly grown, staff believes that the Agency will gain time and labor efficiencies and achieve significant cost savings by shifting from the current reactive (i.e., procure as needed) to a more proactive (i.e., on-call service provider) position.

MTS pays a billable rate (employee's hourly rate plus a general and administrative fee in the form of a percentage markup) for each temporary employee. Should circumstances change and MTS desire to convert a temporary employee to full time staff, a previously negotiated conversion rate is applied. On occasion, MTS also seeks the assistance of temporary staffing consultants in recruitments for hard-to-fill positions and a previously negotiated direct hire rate is applied.

On April 22, 2014, staff issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) for Temporary Staffing Services to develop a list of on-call providers for the following categories:

1. Information Technology (IT) Staffing
2. General Staffing

On June 13, 2014, MTS received a total of fourteen (14) proposals from the following:

1. 22nd Century
2. Adecco
3. AppleOne
4. Comforce
5. IQ Pipeline
6. Kforce Technology
7. Lawton Group (DBE)
8. Modis
9. Network World Solutions
10. PrideStaff (DBE)
11. Randstad Technologies
12. Sayva
13. Thornburg & Litteken, LLC
14. Yoh

All were deemed responsive and responsible and were evaluated on the following criteria:

1.	Qualifications, Related Experience, and References of Proposer	15%
2.	Proposed Staffing, Organization, and Management Plan	10%
3.	Work Plan	35%
4.	Cost (Rates)	40%
Total		100%

MTS interviewed all fourteen (14) candidate firms and shortlisted nine (9). MTS requested revised proposals followed by Best and Final Offers. The proposed On-Call List of nine (9) Temporary Staffing firms, and associated markup rates and fees, is as follows:

General Staffing Services On-Call List:

		AppleOne	Kforce	Lawton (DBE)	Pridestaff (DBE)	Thornburg & Litteken LLC (dba GLR & ISR)
Markup Rate		43%	48%	36%	36%	43%
Conversion fee	0 – 90 days	15%	20%	15%	10%	18%
	91 – 180 days	0%	10%	0%	0%	9%
	After 180 days	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Direct Placement Fee		15%	20%	15%	15%	18%

IT Staffing On-Call List:

		Kforce	Networld Solutions	Modis	Randstad	Thornburg & Litteken LLC (dba GLR & ISR)	Yoh
Markup Rate		48%	20%	50%	45%	43%	45%
Conversion fee	0 – 90 days	20%	25%	18%	18%	18%	18%
	91 – 180 days	10%	20%	12%	15%	9%	10%
	After 180 days	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Direct Placement Fee		20%	15%	18%	18%	18%	18%

A cost analysis using current internet published market rates and a comparison of markups paid by other agencies revealed that rates differ based on multiple costing considerations such as profit, overhead (including administration, sourcing, recruiting, payroll taxes, background checks, workers compensation insurance and unemployment insurance) and measures to address market driven difficulties in recruiting and retaining high quality candidates in IT. Based on this analysis, staff determined that the rates presented by the nine firms were no different from prevailing market rates, and thus, were deemed fair and reasonable.

Procurement and Human Resources department staff will establish a rotation schedule for work order requests from each On-Call list. Assignments will be distributed as evenly as possible, but consideration may be given to a particular firm based on the expertise and experience of temporary employees available for assignment. Finding the best available temporary employee for each assignment will be the priority in any placement. Depending on the pool of available temporary employees and the difficulty or ease of filling a temporary staffing need, an individual firm's markup rate/cost may also be a factor in distributing work orders amongst the On-Call list.



Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachments: A. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract G1778.0-15
B. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract G1779.0-15
C. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract G1780.0-15
D. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract G1781.0-15
E. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract G1782.0-15
F. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract G1783.0-15
G. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract G1784.0-15
H. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract G1785.0-15
I. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract G1786.0-15

**ATTACHMENT A
(DRAFT)**

G1778.0-15 CONTRACT NUMBER Various FILE/PO NUMBER(S)
--

**STANDARD PROCUREMENT AGREEMENT
FOR
TEMPORARY STAFFING SERVICES**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____ 2014, in the State of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, and the following, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: Kforce Technology Address: 4275 Executive Square, Suite 250
 Form of Business: Corporation La Jolla, CA 92037
 (Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.) Telephone: (858) 550-1638

Authorized person to sign contracts: Stephen M. Audifferen Market Manager
 Name Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this Agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS, as follows:

On-call Temporary Staffing Services, as specified in the Scope of Work (attached as Exhibit A), Kforce Technology's cost proposal dated December 2, 2014 (attached as Exhibit B), and in accordance with the Standard Conditions Procurement, including the Standard Procurement Agreement (attached as Exhibit C), and the Federal Requirements (attached as Exhibits D).

The contract term is for up to a three (3)-year base period and two (2) 1-year option terms, exercisable at MTS' sole discretion, for a total of five years. Base period shall be effective February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2018; and option years shall be effective February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2020, if exercised by MTS.

Payment terms shall be net 30 days from invoice date. The rates shall be per the percentages shown in Exhibit B.

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM		CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION
By: _____ Chief Executive Officer	Firm: _____	
Approved as to form:	By: _____ Signature	
By: _____ Office of General Counsel	Title: _____	
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED	BUDGET ITEM	FISCAL YEAR
\$ N/A	Various (per department)	FY 15 – FY 21

By: _____ Date
 Chief Financial Officer

(___ total pages, each bearing contract number)

SA-PROCUREMENT
DATE

**ATTACHMENT A
(DRAFT)**

G1779.0-15 CONTRACT NUMBER Various FILE/PO NUMBER(S)
--

**STANDARD PROCUREMENT AGREEMENT
FOR
TEMPORARY STAFFING SERVICES**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____, 2015, in the State of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, and the following, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: Modis Address: 4747 Executive Drive, Suite 240
 Form of Business: Corporation San Diego, CA 92121
 (Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.) Telephone: (858) 410-1111

Authorized person to sign contracts: Keenan Field Business Development Manager
 Name Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this Agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS, as follows:

On-call Temporary Staffing Services, as specified in the Scope of Work (attached as Exhibit A), Modis' cost proposal dated December 4, 2014 (attached as Exhibit B), and in accordance with the Standard Services Agreement, including Standard Conditions Services (attached as Exhibit C) and Federal Requirements (attached as Exhibit D).

The contract term is for up to a three (3)-year base period and two (2) 1-year option terms, exercisable at MTS' sole discretion, for a total of five years. Base period shall be effective February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2018; and option years shall be effective February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2020, if exercised by MTS.

Payment terms shall be net 30 days from invoice date. The markup rates shall be per the percentages shown in Exhibit B.

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM		CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION
By: _____ Chief Executive Officer		Firm: _____
Approved as to form:		By: _____ Signature
By: _____ Office of General Counsel		Title: _____
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED	BUDGET ITEM	FISCAL YEAR
\$ N/A	Various (per department)	FY 15 – FY 21

By: _____ Date
 Chief Financial Officer

(____ total pages, each bearing contract number)

SA-PROCUREMENT
DATE

**ATTACHMENT A
(DRAFT)**

G1780.0-15 CONTRACT NUMBER Various FILE/PO NUMBER(S)
--

**STANDARD PROCUREMENT AGREEMENT
FOR
TEMPORARY STAFFING SERVICES**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____ 2015, in the State of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, and the following, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: Networld Solutions, Inc.

Address: 8316 Clairemont Mesa Blvd.,

Suite 210

Form of Business: Corporation
(Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.)

San Diego, CA 92111

Telephone: (760) 427-0790

Authorized person to sign contracts:

Darryl Turner

Director, Technical Services

Name

Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this Agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS, as follows:

On-call Temporary Staffing Services, as specified in the Scope of Work (attached as Exhibit A), Networld Solutions' cost proposal dated November 19, 2014 (attached as Exhibit B), and in accordance with the Standard Services Agreement, including Standard Conditions Services (attached as Exhibit C) and Federal Requirements (attached as Exhibit D).

The contract term is for up to a three (3)-year base period and two (2) 1-year option terms, exercisable at MTS' sole discretion, for a total of five years. Base period shall be effective February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2018; and option years shall be effective February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2020, if exercised by MTS.

Payment terms shall be net 30 days from invoice date. The markup rates shall be per the percentages shown in Exhibit B.

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM		CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION
By: _____ Chief Executive Officer		Firm: _____
Approved as to form:		By: _____ Signature
By: _____ Office of General Counsel		Title: _____
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED	BUDGET ITEM	FISCAL YEAR
\$ N/A	Various (per department)	FY 15 – FY 21

By: _____
Chief Financial Officer

Date

(___ total pages, each bearing contract number)

SA-PROCUREMENT
DATE

**ATTACHMENT A
(DRAFT)**

G1781.0-15
CONTRACT NUMBER

Various
FILE/PO NUMBER(S)

**STANDARD PROCUREMENT AGREEMENT
FOR
TEMPORARY STAFFING SERVICES**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____ 2015, in the State of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, and the following, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: Randstad Technologies

Address: 4660 La Jolla Village Dr., Suite 800

Form of Business: Limited Partnership
(Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.)

San Diego, CA 92122

Telephone: (800) 431-2187

Authorized person to sign contracts:

Luke McDonough
Name

Managing Director
Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this Agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS, as follows:

On-call Temporary Staffing Services, as specified in the Scope of Work (attached as Exhibit A), Randstad's cost proposal dated December 3, 2014 (attached as Exhibit B), and in accordance with the Standard Services Agreement, including Standard Conditions Services (attached as Exhibit C) and Federal Requirements (attached as Exhibit D).

The contract term is for up to a three (3)-year base period and two (2) 1-year option terms, exercisable at MTS' sole discretion, for a total of five years. Base period shall be effective February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2018; and option years shall be effective February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2020, if exercised by MTS.

Payment terms shall be net 30 days from invoice date. The markup rates shall be per the percentages shown in Exhibit B.

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM		CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION
By: _____ Chief Executive Officer		Firm: _____
Approved as to form:		By: _____ Signature
By: _____ Office of General Counsel		Title: _____
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED	BUDGET ITEM	FISCAL YEAR
\$ N/A	Various (per department)	FY 15 – FY 21

By: _____
Chief Financial Officer

Date

(___ total pages, each bearing contract number)

SA-PROCUREMENT
DATE

**ATTACHMENT A
(DRAFT)**

G1782.0-15 CONTRACT NUMBER Various FILE/PO NUMBER(S)
--

**STANDARD PROCUREMENT AGREEMENT
FOR
TEMPORARY STAFFING SERVICES**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____ 2015, in the State of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, and the following, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: <u>Thornburg & Littenken, LLC (dba GLR & ISR)</u>	Address: <u>13280 Evening Creek Dr. South,</u> <u>Suite 225</u>
Form of Business: <u>LLC</u> (Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.)	<u>San Diego, CA 92128</u>
	Telephone: <u>(800) 426-1202 Ext 261</u>

Authorized person to sign contracts:	<u>Anthony Thornburg</u>	<u>President</u>
	Name	Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this Agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS, as follows:

On-call Temporary Staffing Services, as specified in the Scope of Work (attached as Exhibit A), Thornburg & Littenken, LLC's cost proposal dated December 4, 2014 (attached as Exhibit B), and in accordance with the Standard Services Agreement, including Standard Conditions Services (attached as Exhibit C) and Federal Requirements (attached as Exhibit D).

The contract term is for up to a three (3)-year base period and two (2) 1-year option terms, exercisable at MTS' sole discretion, for a total of five years. Base period shall be effective February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2018; and option years shall be effective February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2020, if exercised by MTS.

Payment terms shall be net 30 days from invoice date. The markup rates shall be per the percentages shown in Exhibit B.

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM		CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION
By: _____ Chief Executive Officer		Firm: _____
Approved as to form:		By: _____ Signature
By: _____ Office of General Counsel		Title: _____
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED	BUDGET ITEM	FISCAL YEAR
\$ N/A	Various (per department)	FY 15 – FY 21

By: _____ Chief Financial Officer	Date _____
--------------------------------------	------------

(____ total pages, each bearing contract number)

SA-PROCUREMENT
DATE

**ATTACHMENT A
(DRAFT)**

G1783.0-15 CONTRACT NUMBER Various FILE/PO NUMBER(S)
--

**STANDARD PROCUREMENT AGREEMENT
FOR
TEMPORARY STAFFING SERVICES**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____, 2015, in the State of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, and the following, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: <u>Yoh Services LLC</u>	Address: <u>9605 Scranton Road, Suite 610</u>
Form of Business: <u>LLC</u> (Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.)	<u>San Diego, CA 92121</u>
	Telephone: <u>(858) 245-4629</u>

Authorized person to sign contracts:	<u>Tammy Browning</u>	<u>Sr. Vice President</u>
	Name	Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this Agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS, as follows:

On-call Temporary Staffing Services, as specified in the Scope of Work (attached as Exhibit A), Yoh's cost proposal dated December 4, 2014 (attached as Exhibit B), and in accordance with the Standard Services Agreement, including Standard Conditions Services (attached as Exhibit C) and Federal Requirements (attached as Exhibit D).

The contract term is for up to a three (3)-year base period and two (2) 1-year option terms, exercisable at MTS' sole discretion, for a total of five years. Base period shall be effective February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2018; and option years shall be effective February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2020, if exercised by MTS.

Payment terms shall be net 30 days from invoice date. The markup rates shall be per the percentages shown in Exhibit B.

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM		CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION
By: _____ Chief Executive Officer	Firm: _____	
Approved as to form:	By: _____ Signature	
By: _____ Office of General Counsel	Title: _____	
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED	BUDGET ITEM	FISCAL YEAR
\$ N/A	Various (per department)	FY 15 – FY 21

By: _____ Chief Financial Officer	Date _____
--------------------------------------	------------

(___ total pages, each bearing contract number)

SA-PROCUREMENT
DATE

**ATTACHMENT A
(DRAFT)**

G1784.0-15 CONTRACT NUMBER Various FILE/PO NUMBER(S)
--

**STANDARD PROCUREMENT AGREEMENT
FOR
TEMPORARY STAFFING SERVICES**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____ 2015, in the State of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, and the following, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: <u>AppleOne</u>	Address: <u>1999 W. 190th Street</u>
Form of Business: <u>Corporation</u> (Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.)	<u>Torrance, CA 90504</u>
	Telephone: <u>(310) 750-3400</u>

Authorized person to sign contracts:	<u>Linda Madigan</u>	<u>Sr. Vice President</u>
	Name	Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this Agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS, as follows:

On-call Temporary Staffing Services, as specified in the Scope of Work (attached as Exhibit A), AppleOne's cost proposal dated December 4, 2014 (attached as Exhibit B), and in accordance with the Standard Services Agreement, including Standard Conditions Services (attached as Exhibit C) and Federal Requirements (attached as Exhibit D).

The contract term is for up to a three (3)-year base period and two (2) 1-year option terms, exercisable at MTS' sole discretion, for a total of five years. Base period shall be effective February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2018; and option years shall be effective February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2020, if exercised by MTS.

Payment terms shall be net 30 days from invoice date. The markup rates shall be per the percentages shown in Exhibit B.

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM		CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION
By: _____ Chief Executive Officer	Firm: _____	
Approved as to form:	By: _____ Signature	
By: _____ Office of General Counsel	Title: _____	
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED	BUDGET ITEM	FISCAL YEAR
<u>\$ N/A</u>	<u>Various (per department)</u>	<u>FY 15 – FY 21</u>

By: _____ Chief Financial Officer	Date _____
--------------------------------------	------------

(____ total pages, each bearing contract number)

SA-PROCUREMENT
DATE

**ATTACHMENT A
(DRAFT)**

G1785.0-15 CONTRACT NUMBER Various FILE/PO NUMBER(S)
--

**STANDARD PROCUREMENT AGREEMENT
FOR
TEMPORARY STAFFING SERVICES**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____, 2015, in the State of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, and the following, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: The Lawton Group Address: 4747 Viewridge Ave, Suite 210
 Form of Business: Corporation San Diego, CA 92123
 (Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.) Telephone: (858) 232-0712

Authorized person to sign contracts: Shannon Erdell Sr. Contracts and Project Manager
 Name Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this Agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS, as follows:

On-call Temporary Staffing Services, as specified in the Scope of Work (attached as Exhibit A), The Lawton Group's cost proposal dated December 1, 2014 (attached as Exhibit B), and in accordance with the Standard Services Agreement, including Standard Conditions Services (attached as Exhibit C) and Federal Requirements (attached as Exhibit D).

The contract term is for up to a three (3)-year base period and two (2) 1-year option terms, exercisable at MTS' sole discretion, for a total of five years. Base period shall be effective February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2018; and option years shall be effective February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2020, if exercised by MTS.

Payment terms shall be net 30 days from invoice date. The markup rates shall be per the percentages shown in Exhibit B.

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM		CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION	
By: _____		Firm: _____	
Chief Executive Officer			
Approved as to form:		By: _____	
		Signature	
By: _____		Title: _____	
Office of General Counsel			
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED	BUDGET ITEM	FISCAL YEAR	
\$ N/A	Various (per department)	FY 15 – FY 21	

By: _____ Date
 Chief Financial Officer

(____ total pages, each bearing contract number)

SA-PROCUREMENT
DATE

**ATTACHMENT A
(DRAFT)**

G1786.0-15
CONTRACT NUMBER

Various
FILE/PO NUMBER(S)

**STANDARD PROCUREMENT AGREEMENT
FOR
TEMPORARY STAFFING SERVICES**

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____ 2015, in the State of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, and the following, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: PrideStaff

Address: 8950 Villa La Jolla Drive, Suite A127

Form of Business: Corporation

La Jolla, CA 92037

(Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.)

Telephone: (858) 453-7823

Authorized person to sign contracts:

Christine Rupp
Name

Owner
Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this Agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS, as follows:

On-call Temporary Staffing Services, as specified in the Scope of Work (attached as Exhibit A), PrideStaff's cost proposal dated December 3, 2014 (attached as Exhibit B), and in accordance with the Standard Services Agreement, including Standard Conditions Services (attached as Exhibit C) and Federal Requirements (attached as Exhibit D).

The contract term is for up to a three (3)-year base period and two (2) 1-year option terms, exercisable at MTS' sole discretion, for a total of five years. Base period shall be effective February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2018; and option years shall be effective February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2020, if exercised by MTS.

Payment terms shall be net 30 days from invoice date. The markup rates shall be per the percentages shown in Exhibit B.

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM		CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION
By: _____ Chief Executive Officer		Firm: _____
Approved as to form:		By: _____ Signature
By: _____ Office of General Counsel		Title: _____
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED	BUDGET ITEM	FISCAL YEAR
\$ N/A	Various (per department)	FY 15 – FY 21
By: _____ Chief Financial Officer		Date

(___ total pages, each bearing contract number)

SA-PROCUREMENT
DATE



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 11

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

TEMPORARY STAFFING FOR FARE SYSTEMS MANAGER – SOLE SOURCE

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to ratify the existing Purchase Order (PO); and extend the current engagement with Contraflow Consulting for two (2) years to provide temporary staffing services for a Fare Systems Manager.

Budget Impact

The total estimated cost of this contract would not exceed \$390,400 (original PO amount of \$97,600 plus the two-year extension amount of \$292,800) and will be funded through the Compass Card budget under 532-50701.

DISCUSSION:

Since July 2013, MTS has taken over responsibilities of managing the regional Compass Card fare collection program from the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) which includes call center operations, all relevant back-office technology maintenance and support, program management, and the exploration of future fare technologies.

In June 2014, MTS issued a PO with Contraflow Consulting to provide temporary staffing services for a Fare Systems Manager as part of MTS's effort of transitioning Compass Card program management functions from SANDAG to MTS.

The responsibilities of the Fare Systems Manager include, but are not limited to, the ongoing program administration of fare technology and systems, as well as identification and development of the best-in-class future fare technology system for MTS.



Due to the complex and sophisticated nature of MTS's fare technology and systems, it has been determined that it is in the best interest of MTS to extend the current engagement with Contraflow Consulting. The Fare Systems Manager has a strong technical background, has gained familiarity with MTS's fare programs, and has knowledge specific to MTS practices allowing for continuity to the fare program. The Fare Systems Manager is a critical position, which will serve as the staff expert to help ensure the continued transition of the Compass program and successful implementation of the next fare collection systems for MTS.

As this is a sole source procurement, staff performed a cost analysis comparing market rates to the current pricing (Attachment A), and determined Contraflow Consulting's price to be fair and reasonable.



Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachment: A. Cost Analysis

1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

TEMPORARY STAFFING FOR FARE SYSTEMS MANAGER – SOLE SOURCE

COST ANALYSIS

Description	Annual	Monthly	Hourly
MTS's Estimated Salary Range	\$69,951 - \$111,676	\$9,723	\$53.69
Current rate - Contraflow Consulting (Brittany Petersen)	\$73,600 (plus \$24,000 for lodging/ travel expense = \$97,600 total for 8 mos.)	\$12,200 (\$9,200 + \$3,000 lodging/travel expense)	\$53.08
2013 Transit Salary Survey	\$115,000	\$9,583	\$55.29
2011 Transit Salary Survey	\$92,873	\$7,739	\$44.65
2010 Transit Salary Survey	\$109,000	\$9,083	\$52.40
2009 Transit Salary Survey	\$140,000	\$11,666	\$67.31
2008 Transit Salary Survey	\$150,000	\$12,500	\$72.12

(Source: TransitTalent.com–Salary Survey; Golden Gate Bridge Highway & Transportation District)





1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 12

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

HOSTED TEXT MESSAGING SYSTEM – CONTRACT AMENDMENT

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors (Board) authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Amendment No. 4 to MTS Doc. No. G1326.0-10 (in substantially the same form as Attachment A) with MIS Sciences, Corporation (MIS) for an additional expenditure authority of \$74,459.00.

Budget Impact

This contract amendment will increase the total contract value by \$74,459.00, from \$260,661.00 (which includes an Amendment made under the CEO's authority) to \$335,120.00. Funding is through the Information Technology annual operating budget, account number 661-53910.

DISCUSSION:

In January 2011, MTS entered into a contract with MIS for one base-year and four option-years for the provision of a custom texting number or "short code", GOMTS, and hosted text messaging services to provide real time bus and trolley arrival data. The requested Amendment No. 4 is intended to exercise the final option year of the contract.

In addition to the annual fee for the GOMTS short code, the original contract included up to 1,000,000 text messages per year, plus an option to purchase additional text messages in increments of 500,000. Customer utilization of the service has resulted in a volume of nearly 5,000,000 text messages per year. Due to this significant increase from original projections, service costs have increased beyond the original authorized contract amount.



Therefore, due to the increased usage of text messaging services, staff recommends the Board authorize the CEO to execute Amendment No. 4 with MIS for an additional expenditure authority of \$74,459 which includes a \$12,000 annual fee for the SMS short code and \$62,459 for up to 5,000,000 text messages during option year 4 (February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016).



Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachment: A. Draft MTS Doc. No. G1326.4-10

January 28, 2015

MTS Doc. No. G1326.4-10

MIS Sciences, Corporation
Atn: Jeff Willis
2550 North Hollywood Way, Ste. 104
Burbank, CA 91505

Subject: AMENDMENT NO. 4 TO MTS DOC. NO. G1326.0-10 HOSTED TEXT MESSAGING
SYSTEM

This shall serve as Amendment No. 4 to our agreement for a Hosted Text Messaging system as further described below.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

Continue to provide a hosted text messaging system in accordance with the terms and conditions of the original agreement. Provide 5,000,000 annual text messages and renew MTS SMS short code and provisioning.

SCHEDULE

The period of performance shall be from February 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016.

PAYMENT

Option Year 4 shall consist of the following as per the contract pricing pages (Exhibit A): fixed annual fee of \$12,000.00 for the SMS short code and provisioning and \$62,459.00 for up to 5,000,000 text messages. As a result of this Amendment, the total contract price has increased by \$74,459.00 from \$260,661.00 to \$335,120.00.

All other conditions remain unchanged. If you agree with the above, please sign below, and return the document marked "Original" to the Contracts Administrator at MTS. The other copy is for your records.

Sincerely,

Agreed:

Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Jeff Willis, Vice President
MIS Sciences, Corporation

Date: _____



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 13

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

REVISIONS TO BOARD POLICY NO. 48 (TRANSIT SERVICE DISCRIMINATION
COMPLAINTS PROCEDURE)

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors approve and adopt the proposed revisions to Policy No. 48
Transit Service Discrimination Complaints Procedure (Attachment A).

Budget Impact

None.

DISCUSSION:

Board Policy No. 48 sets forth the procedures for filing, investigating and making determinations on transit service discrimination complaints. Per the requirements of the FTA, ADA and Title VI, these procedures must be established for both ADA and Title VI discrimination complaints. Currently the policy only expressly relates to Title VI complaints alleging discrimination based on race, color or national origin. Although in practice MTS uses these grievance procedures for ADA complaints, the proposed amendments to Board Policy No. 48 would formally include ADA complaints alleging discrimination on the basis of disability. Other revisions include: clarifying the role of the Office of General Counsel as the responsible department for implementing the complaint procedures; providing a more detailed description of the investigation procedures; and establishing a right to appeal to the Chief Executive Officer.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul C. Jablonski', is written over a horizontal line.

Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com
Attachment: A. Proposed Revisions to Board Policy No. 48



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
619.231.1466 Fax: 619.234.3407

Policies and Procedures

No. 48

SUBJECT:

Board Approval: 5/13/041/28/15

TRANSIT SERVICE DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS PROCEDURES

PURPOSE:

To carry out [Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 \(ADA\)](#) and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI), the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) recommends that transit agencies adopt a procedure in which complaints alleging discrimination in provision of transit service are filed, investigated, and a determination made. This policy sets forth such procedures.

BACKGROUND:

It is the policy of the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System, hereinafter "MTS"; its subsidiaries, San Diego Transit Corporation (SDTC), San Diego Trolley, Inc. (SDTI); and its contractors to follow the established procedure for handling [all alleged transit service ADA discrimination complaints on the basis of disability and](#) all alleged transit service [Title VI](#) discrimination complaints on the basis of race, color, or national origin, hereinafter "complaints".

The responsibility for the implementation of the discrimination complaint procedures is assigned to the Office of General Counsel. [Contact information for the Office of General Counsel is as follows:](#)

[San Diego Metropolitan Transit System](#)
[Attn: Staff Attorney – Regulatory Compliance](#)
[1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000](#)
[San Diego, CA 92101.](#)
[Tel.: 619-814-1559](#)

All management personnel within MTS, SDTC, and SDTI are expected to support and implement the following procedures.

PROCEDURES:



Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) is a California public agency and is comprised of San Diego Transit Corporation and San Diego Trolley, Inc. nonprofit public benefit corporations, in cooperation with Chula Vista Transit and National City Transit. MTS is the taxicab administrator for eight cities and the owner of the San Diego and Arizona Eastern Railway Company. MTS member agencies include: City of Chula Vista, City of Coronado, City of El Cajon, City of Imperial Beach, City of La Mesa, City of Lemon Grove, City of National City, City of Poway, City of San Diego, City of Santee, and the County of San Diego.

- 48.1 All complaints must be in writing and signed by the complainant or his/her representative, hereinafter "complainant", before any action will be taken. A written complaint is necessary to provide a clear record of the issue to be investigated and to help define the scope of the investigation. If complainant is unable to complete the form in writing due to a disability or limited-English proficiency, upon request, reasonable accommodations will be made.

~~The complaint must be filed within 180 days from the time of the alleged discrimination.~~ The complaints shall provide all pertinent facts and circumstances surrounding the alleged discrimination that will help the MTS Chief Executive Officer reach a decision allow a thorough review and/or investigation. The complainant may use MTS's ADA or Title VI Complaint Form to submit their complaint, as seen in Exhibit A, B, C and D of this Policy.

The complaint should be filed within 180 calendar days from the time of the alleged discrimination. A complaint may be administratively closed when received later than this deadline if evidence of the alleged discrimination no longer exists to properly investigate the complaint.

- 48.2 Upon receipt of a written complaint, the Office of General Counsel will document and assign the complaint to investigating staff for further investigation. Within 10 working days after receipt, the investigating staff will begin investigating the complaint. The investigating staff may use the following resources when available to complete its investigation of the complaint: reviewing video footage, incident reports and employee reports and interviewing applicable personnel.

In instances where additional information is needed, the investigating staff will contact the complainant in writing or where appropriate, in a format accessible to the complainant. Failure of the complainant to provide the requested information by a certain date may result in the administrative closure of the complaint or a delay in complaint resolution.

~~MTS will provide the complainant or his/her representative with a written acknowledgement within 10 working days of receipt of the complaint.~~

~~The MTS Chief Executive Officer will review~~Based upon all the information available from both parties (i.e., the complainant and the identified agency or department) the investigating staff will prepare a written response subject to review and approval by the Office of General Counsel. The investigating staff will use its best efforts to provide a written response of its determination on the matter to the complainant within 90 working days after receipt of complaint. If noncompliance with ADA or Title VI is determined, a recommendation on remedial action will be made. If no violation of ADA or Title VI is determined, the complaint will be administratively closed by MTS.
~~to determine if the complaint has sufficient merit to warrant further investigation.~~

~~Should further investigation be warranted, the MTS Chief Executive Officer shall proceed with an informal hearing from all sides of the issue.~~

- 48.3 ~~Upon completion of the hearing, the MTS Chief Executive Officer will evaluate all information received and make a final determination on the matter. If noncompliance with Title VI is determined, a recommendation on remedial action will be made. The complainant may appeal the determination from investigating staff to the Chief Executive Officer within 10 working days after receipt.~~

Within 15 working days after receipt of an appeal, the Chief Executive Officer will evaluate all information received and respond in writing, and, where appropriate, in a format accessible to the complainant, with a final determination of the complaint.

- 48.4 ~~The complainant or his/her representative may appeal MTS's final determination to the FTA, who is dissatisfied with the final determination of the Chief Executive Officer may submit their complaint to the FTA at the address below no later than 180 days after the date of the alleged discrimination, unless the time for filing is extended by the FTA.~~

United States Department of Transportation
Federal Transit Administration
Office of Civil Rights, Region IX
201 Mission St., Suite 1650
San Francisco, California 94105-1839

LTresc/SChamp/JGarde
POLICY.48.TRANSIT SVC DISCRIM COMPLAINTS PROCEDURES
7/21/06

This policy was adopted 3/12/98.

Policy revised on 5/13/04.

Policy revised on 1/28/15.

Attachments: Exhibit A – Title VI Complaint Form – English
Exhibit B – Title VI Complaint Form – Spanish
Exhibit C – ADA Complaint Form – English
Exhibit D – ADA Complaint Form - Spanish



Title VI Complaint Form

Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act requires that "No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance."

If you believe you have been discriminated against by MTS, you may file a signed, written complaint within 180 days of the date of alleged discrimination. You may use the form below, which includes the necessary information to process your claim. When completed, please return this form to the Metropolitan Transit System, Title VI Officer, 1255 Imperial Avenue #1000, San Diego, CA 92101.

SECTION 1: BASIC INFORMATION

COMPLAINANT'S INFORMATION

A Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City/State/Zip: _____
 Telephone Number: _____

VICTIM'S INFORMATION (if other than above)

B Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City/State/Zip: _____
 Telephone Number: _____

C Date of alleged discrimination: _____

D Do you believe that the reason for the alleged discrimination:

- ☐ Race/Color
☐ National Origin

E Have you filed this complaint with any other federal, state, or local agency; or with any federal or state court?

- ☐ No
☐ Yes →

If yes, mark all appropriate boxes: ☐ Local agency ☐ Federal agency
☐ State agency ☐ Federal court ☐ State court

Contact information for the agency/court where the complaint was filed:

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City/State/Zip: _____
 Telephone Number: _____

SECTION 2: EVENT DETAILS

Describe in your own words the alleged discrimination. Please explain what happened and whom you believe was responsible. Provide all details and pertinent facts and circumstances surrounding the alleged discrimination that will help MTS investigate your complaint. You may use the back of this form if additional space is required. (You may also attach any written materials or other information that you think is relevant to your complaint.)

[illegible]

SECTION 3: SIGNATURE

Complainant's Signature: _____ Date: _____



Formulario de queja de Título VI

El Título VI del Decreto de los Derechos Civiles de 1964 dispone que “ninguna persona en los Estados Unidos debe ser excluida de participar en, negada de los beneficios de sus servicios en base a su raza, color u origen étnico, o ser sujeto(a) a discriminación bajo cualquier programa o actividad que reciba ayuda económica federal.”

Si cree que ha sufrido discriminación, puede presentar una queja por escrito y firmada en un plazo de 180 días de la fecha de la presunta discriminación. Puede utilizar el formulario a continuación, que incluye la información necesaria para procesar su queja. Cuando termine, favor de entregar este formulario a Metropolitan Transit System, Title VI Officer, 1255 Imperial Avenue #1000, San Diego, CA 92101.

SECCIÓN 1: INFORMACIÓN BÁSICA

DATOS DEL RECLAMANTE

Nombre: _____

Dirección _____

Ciudad/Estado/Código postal: _____

Número telefónico: _____

DATOS DE LA VÍCTIMA (si es diferente del anterior)

Nombre: _____

Dirección _____

Ciudad/Estado/Código postal: _____

Número telefónico: _____

Fecha de la presunta discriminación: _____

Cree que la razón para la presunta discriminación es debido a:

☐ Raza/Color

☐ Origen étnico

¿Ha entregado esta queja a cualquier otro organismo local, estatal, o federal o con cualquier tribunal estatal o federal?

☐ No

☐ Sí

De ser así, marque todas las cajas apropiadas: ☐ Organismo local ☐ Organismo federal
☐ Organismo estatal ☐ Tribunal federal ☐ Tribunal estatal

Información de contacto para el organismo/tribunal donde se presentó la queja:

Nombre: _____

Dirección: _____

Ciudad/Estado/Código postal: _____

Número telefónico: _____

SECCIÓN 2: DETALLES DEL EVENTO

Describe en sus propias palabras la presunta discriminación. Favor de explicar qué fue lo que sucedió y quién cree que es responsable. Proporcione todos los detalles y hechos pertinentes, y circunstancias en torno a la presunta discriminación que ayudarán a MTS a investigar su queja. Puede utilizar el reverso de este formulario si requiere espacio adicional. (También puede añadir cualquier material escrito u otra información que considere relevante a su queja.)

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. The paper appears to be a standard notebook page or a sheet of stationery. There is no handwriting or other markings on the page.

SECCIÓN 3: FIRMA

Firma del reclamante: _____ Fecha: _____



ADA Complaint Form

MTS is committed to ensuring that our implementation of public transportation services is fully compliant with Title II of the American Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Any person who believes there may be either a(n): 1) **ACCESSIBILITY ISSUE** (e.g., physical barriers) or 2) **DISCRIMINATION BASED ON DISABILITY** may file a signed, written ADA complaint with MTS.

Please mail or deliver this form to: Metropolitan Transit System, General Counsel, 1255 Imperial Avenue #1000, San Diego, CA 92101.

SECTION 1: BASIC INFORMATION OF COMPLAINANT

<u>PERSON SUBMITTING COMPLAINANT INFORMATION</u>	<u>COMPLAINANT'S INFORMATION (only if different than the person submitting the complaint)</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City/State/Zip: _____	City/State/Zip: _____
Telephone Number: _____	Telephone Number: _____
Email Address: _____	Email Address: _____

SECTION 2: INCIDENT DETAILS

<u>ACCESSIBILITY COMPLAINT</u>	<u>DISCRIMINATION BASED ON DISABILITY COMPLAINT</u>
1) Date, if any, when accessibility issue occurred? _____	1) Date of alleged discrimination based on disability? _____
2) Location of Accessibility Issue: Bus/Trolley Station? _____ Bus/Trolley Stop? _____ Bus/Trolley Route or Number? _____ Other? _____	2) Have you filed this complaint with any other federal, state or local agency; or with any federal or state court? NO? _____ YES? _____
3) Describe in detail the incident below in SECTION 3.	3) If yes, please provide the contact information for the agency/court where the complaint was filed? Agency/Court Name? _____ Address? _____ _____ Telephone Number? _____
	4) If yes, please provide the applicable complaint number, if known. _____
	5) Describe in detail the incident below in SECTION 3.

SECTION 3: EVENT DETAILS

ACCESSIBILITY ISSUE: If there is an accessible issue, please explain how, when, where, and why you believe MTS is not accessible to persons with disabilities. You may attach additional pages if additional space is required. You may also attach any written materials or other information that you think is relevant to your complaint.

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON DISABILITY: If there is alleged discrimination based on disability, please explain what happened and whom you believe was responsible. Provide all details, pertinent facts and circumstances surrounding the alleged discrimination that will help MTS investigate your complaint. Specific details includes: dates, times, route numbers, bus numbers and locations. You may attach additional pages if additional space is required. You may also attach any written materials or other information that you think is relevant to your complaint.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. The paper appears to be a standard notebook page or a sheet of stationery.

SECTION 4: SIGNATURE

Complainant's Signature: _____ Date: _____



Formulario de cumplimiento ADA

MTS está comprometido a asegurar que nuestra implementación de servicios de transporte público cumpla totalmente con Título II de la Ley de Estadounidenses con Discapacidades y la Sección 504 de la Ley de Rehabilitación de 1973.

Cualquier persona que cree que podrá haber un:

- 1) **ASUNTO DE ACCESIBILIDAD** (p. ej., barreras físicas) o 2) **DISCRIMINACIÓN A BASE DE DISCAPACIDAD**

puede presentar una denuncia ADA escrita y firmada con MTS.

Favor de mandar este formulario por correo o entréguelo a: Metropolitan Transit System, General Counsel, 1255 Imperial Avenue #1000, San Diego, CA 92101.

SECCIÓN 1: INFORMACIÓN BÁSICA DE LA DENUNCIA

<u>PERSONA PRESENTANDO LA INFORMACIÓN SOBRE LA DENUNCIA</u>	<u>INFORMACIÓN SOBRE EL QUERELLANTE (solo si es diferente que la persona presentando la denuncia)</u>
Nombre: _____	Nombre: _____
Dirección: _____	Dirección: _____
Ciudad/Estado/Código postal: _____	Ciudad/Estado/Código postal: _____
Número telefónico: _____	Número telefónico: _____
Correo electrónico: _____	Correo electrónico: _____

SECCIÓN 2: DETALLES DEL INCIDENTE

<u>DENUNCIA DE ACCESIBILIDAD</u>	<u>DISCRIMINACIÓN BASADA EN DENUNCIA DE DISCAPACIDAD</u>
1) ¿Fecha, si existe, cuando sucedió el asunto de accesibilidad? _____	1) ¿Fecha de alegada discriminación basada en discapacidad? _____
2) Ubicación de asunto de accesibilidad: ¿Estación de autobús/Trolley? _____ ¿Parada de autobús/Trolley Stop? _____ ¿Número o ruta de autobús/Trolley? _____ ¿Otro? _____	2) ¿Has presentado esta denuncia con cualquier otra agencia federal, estatal o local; o cualquier corte federal o estatal? ¿NO? _____ ¿SÍ? _____
3) Describir en detalle el incidente al seguir en la SECCIÓN 3.	3) ¿Si es que sí, favor de proporcionar la información de contacto para la agencia/corte donde se presentó la denuncia? ¿Nombre de la agencia/corte? _____ ¿Dirección? _____ _____ ¿Número telefónico? _____

	4) Si es que sí, favor de proporcionar el número aplicable de la denuncia, si lo sabe. 5) Describe en detalle el incidente al seguir en la SECCIÓN 3.
--	---

SECCIÓN 3: DETALLES DEL EVENTO

ASUNTO DE ACCESIBILIDAD: Si hay un asunto de accesibilidad, favor de explicar cómo, cuándo, dónde y como usted cree que MTS no es accesible a personas con discapacidades. Puede incluir hojas adicionales si se requiere espacio adicional. Usted también puede incluir cualquier material escrito u otra información que usted cree que es relevante a su denuncia.

DISCRIMINACIÓN A BASE DE DISCAPACIDAD: Si hay alegada discriminación a base de discapacidad, favor de explicar que pasó y quien usted cree que es responsable. Proporcionar todos los detalles, hechos pertinentes y circunstancias relacionadas a la alegada discriminación que ayudará MTS a investigar su denuncia. Detalles específicos incluyen: fechas, horarios, números de ruta, números de autobús y ubicaciones. Usted puede incluir hojas adicionales si requiere espacio adicional. Usted puede también incluir cualquier material escrito u otra información que usted crea ser relevante a su denuncia.

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

SECCIÓN 4: FIRMA

Firma del querellante: _____ Fecha: _____



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 14

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

2015 STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE PROGRAMS

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors approve staff recommendations for 2015 federal and state legislative programs (see Attachments A and B).

Budget Impact

None.

DISCUSSION:

Federal Update

Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) and Federal Reauthorization

The Administration continues to work toward compliance with the regulatory changes required by MAP-21, the current iteration of the surface transportation act. Changes to the New Starts process, formulation of regulatory guidance relative to asset management systems, safety and performance measures, a requirement for a voting transit member on the metropolitan planning organization governing board, and application of the new funding alignments in MAP-21 required extensive effort by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and Department of Transportation (DOT) to gain compliance prior to the expiration of MAP-21. MTS staff participated in workshops and webinars and provided formal comment to help ensure that regulatory guidelines were in keeping with the agency's objectives. MTS will continue to advocate in this area, and will seek positive policies in reauthorization of the surface transportation bill.

Leaders in the transportation arena have begun laying out broad agendas, with the knowledge that there is a deadline of May 31, 2015 when the current MAP-21 authorization expires. Senate leaders of the Environment and Public Works and Commerce Committees are meeting to map out plans, while the Chair and Ranking Member of the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee laid out their



tentative timeline of items. Chairman Bill Shuster (R-PA) and Ranking Member Peter DeFazio (D-OR) said that the committee plans to take up an Amtrak bill as a start. It is expected to be similar to what the Committee passed in November. Next the Committee will move on to a Federal Aviation Administration authorization and the surface transportation bill. Chairman Shuster indicated that his hope is for Ways and Means to take up the issue of funding for the Highway Trust Fund by early spring and then his committee would be able to act.

Federal Budget

The budget process in 2014 was relatively calm compared to the previous year. President Barack Obama submitted his fiscal year 2015 budget request for \$3.9 trillion in spending to Congress on March 4, but it was widely understood to be symbolic rather than the basis for building a final budget. After various Congressional attempts at a budget proposal failed a continuing appropriations resolution was passed in September to fund the federal government from October 1 through December 11, 2014. A new term was coined to define the funding strategy for the period after December 11 through the end of the fiscal year: "cromnibus", a combination of a long-term omnibus spending bill and a shorter-term continuing resolution.

Tax Extenders

Hopes for a longer-term extension and even permanency for certain provisions in the year-end tax extenders package fell apart right before the Thanksgiving holiday. Instead, the tax extenders legislation that finally passed retroactively renews the following energy provisions through the end of 2014:

- Tax credits for biodiesel and renewable diesel fuels
- A 50 cents-per gallon tax credit for alternative fuel and alternative fuel mixtures
- A 30 percent credit for installing vehicle refueling property for alternative fuel, such as pumps for ethanol or liquefied natural gas.

Competitive Grant Awards

MTS was highly successful in its application for a Ladders of Opportunity Initiative competitive grant. Of the available \$100 million, MTS received \$18 million to replace its diesel fleet. This grant will make it possible to replace the vehicles in East County when the maintenance facility is completed and can accommodate Compressed Natural Gas vehicles.

MTS also was awarded a \$1 million grant from the Transit Security Grant Program to fund its enhanced security program.

114th Congress

Republicans control both the House and Senate in the 114th Congress. The Senate makeup is 54 Republicans, 44 Democrats and two independents who caucus with the Democrats, while the GOP controls 246 seats in the House and Democrats have 188.

There is also already one vacancy in the House as a result of the resignation of a New York Republican Michael Grimm, who pleaded guilty to tax evasion at the end of 2014. There are 58 freshman Members of Congress in the House and 13 new Senators.

Early agenda items for both chambers include authorization for the Keystone pipeline, renewal of terrorism risk insurance, and Homeland Security funding for the remainder of FY2015. Homeland Security was the only appropriations bill that was not folded into the year-long omnibus and instead is being funded by a continuing resolution through February.

Personnel Changes at Department of Transportation (DOT)

Federal Railroad Administrator (FRA) Joe Szabo ended his appointment this month and Sarah Feinberg, formerly Chief of Staff to DOT Secretary Foxx, is moving over to become the Acting Administrator. She is likely to be formally nominated for the position. Her departure from Foxx's office has opened up a position that Dorval Carter, Deputy Administrator of the FTA, will be filling. FTA has not announced who will fill his role at the FTA.

President Obama renominated Therese McMillan as FTA Administrator after the Senate failed to act on her nomination in the last Congress. McMillan has been in the acting administrator position since Peter Rogoff left the FTA last year. Though her individual nomination is not considered controversial, at this point it is unclear as to how Senate Republicans plan to tackle President Obama's nominees.

State Year in Review

Cap and Trade and the Greenhouse Reduction Fund

The 2014-15 State Budget provides \$832 million to the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GHGRF) from Cap-and-Trade auction proceeds to support existing and pilot programs that will reduce GHG emissions and benefit disadvantaged communities. The Administration's stated goals for the expenditure plan is to "reduce emissions through several programs, including ones modernizing the state's rail system (including both high-speed rail and public transit), encouraging sustainable community development with an emphasis on public transportation and affordable housing, restoring forests in both urban and rural settings, increasing energy, water, and agricultural efficiency and creating incentives for additional recycling."

The Low-Carbon Transit Operations Program (LCTOP) is one of several programs funded as part of the FY 2014-15 State Budget investing Cap and Trade auction revenues in projects that reduce GHG emissions in the state. The LCTOP provides operating and capital assistance for transit agencies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve mobility. It will be administered through the California State Transportation Agency (CalSTA).

The LCTOP will receive \$25 million in 2014-15, and 5 percent of ongoing Cap and Trade auction revenues beginning in FY 2015-16. Funding will flow according to the existing State Transit Assistance (STA) program formula which comes to MTS directly. Pursuant to SB 862 [Chapter 36, Statutes of 2014], eligible projects for the program include new or expanded bus or rail services, expanded intermodal transit facilities, and may include

equipment acquisition, fueling, and maintenance, and other costs to operate those services or facilities. Other allowable expenses include stop amenities, improved access to stations, and fare subsidies.

Another program that transit operators are eligible for under Cap and Trade is the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP). Grants under this program will be awarded competitively statewide by the California Department of Transportation and the California Transportation Commission (CTC). Funding for the TIRCP in the FY 2015 budget was \$25 million. The TIRCP is to have 10% of auction proceeds going forward and the CTC intends to program more than the budgeted amount in its first competitive solicitations.

Guidelines for all of the Cap and Trade programs continue to be promulgated and refined and MTS staff is participating with CalSTA, Caltrans, the CTC and the California Air Resources Board. Staff will address the additional revenue from the GHGRF in the MTS budgeting process.

Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA) and 13 (c)

A significant state and federal advocacy effort was required in 2013 to address a nearly year-long disagreement between the State of California and the Federal Department of Labor (DOL) which caused the suspension of federal funding to transit operators. Federal law dating back to the 1960's intended to protect collective bargaining rights of transit agency workers was interpreted by the DOL as pre-empting 2012 California state law that reformed state and local agency pensions (PEPRA). This determination had the effect of holding up nearly \$1.6 billion in pending construction and preventive maintenance grants to transit agencies throughout California in the remainder of the upcoming year. The Sacramento Regional Transit District and the State challenged the DOL interpretation in court, and the State provided all transit agencies a 15 month "grace period" from the California pension reform law so that the distribution of federal transit grants could resume. An extension of this exemption was passed in 2014 pending resolution of the legal issues.

Sacramento RTD gained a favorable ruling in its suit at the end of 2014. The State and transit agencies will monitor DOL's response to this ruling and any impacts it may have on future funding or retirement policy.

State Funding

The state fully funded its obligations under the State Transit Assistance program in 2014.

Bus Axle Weights

California state law limits single bus-axle weights to 20,500 pounds on roads other than interstate highways. California state law in regards to bus axle weight limits was set in 1975. Since the 1970s, the weight of transit buses has increased by several thousand pounds—primarily due to implementation of government regulations—which add weight to the bus, such as the extra equipment needed to meet the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accessibility requirements and the use of alternative fuels like compressed

natural gas (CNG). Therefore, most California transit buses exceed the state limit when carrying passengers.

In 2012, the California Transit Association (CTA) in conjunction with MTS sought a legislative remedy that would better reflect the weight of buses today. Assembly Bill 1706 (Eng) provided an exemption from the state's axle weight limit for all existing transit fleets and all bus procurements completed before the end of 2012. MTS's two bus procurements were approved in December 2012, in time to benefit from the exemption. State transit agencies were tasked with gaining a more permanent solution which would be satisfactory for all stakeholders. In 2013 MTS continued to work with stakeholders to refine the state regulations, however no resolution was achieved and these efforts continued in 2014. Meanwhile, AB 1720 (Bloom) was signed into law in August, to take effect January 1, 2015 and extend for one year the temporary transit bus procurement provisions originally established in AB 1706. In addition, transit agencies and the CTA joined the national Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP) to study state and federal weight limits. The draft report was completed in late 2014. The study's findings will be used to inform the negotiations regarding state regulations in 2015.

Taxicab Surveillance Cameras

In 2013, MTS included seeking changes to the Vehicle Code to permit the use of continuous video recorders inside of taxicabs in its state legislative program. In 2012 Senate Bill 1534 was a vehicle to accomplish this change and while it was extensively debated in Committee it ultimately failed to move to the floor. No legislative sponsor was identified last year. MTS will continue to support municipal efforts to gain legislation to permit the use of continuous video recorders inside taxicabs.

Calendar Year 2015 Legislative Program

The draft state and federal legislative programs (Attachments A and B) are attached for review. Staff is not recommending changes (other than to reflect fully funded capital projects) to the programs approved for calendar year 2014. Upon approval by the MTS Board, these programs will be used to define MTS legislative advocacy efforts in calendar year 2015. Staff will return to the Board for approval of any amendments that are required to address unforeseen events or policy initiatives.



Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, sharon.cooney@sdmts.com

Attachments: A. Draft Federal Legislative Program
B. Draft State Legislative Program

DRAFT**San Diego Metropolitan Transit System (MTS)
2015 Federal Legislative Program****I. Transit Funding**

- Oppose legislation that would reduce direct funding to transit agencies or transportation funding in general.
- Seek a permanent compressed natural gas tax credit program for transit operators.
- Support legislation that would help offset the impact on transit budgets caused by increases in fuel costs.
- Support legislation that would generate new revenue for transit projects and operating costs.
- Support legislation to bring funding to railroad corridors.
- Seek funding for railroad bridge and infrastructure rehabilitation.
- Seek funding to offset the costs associated with implementation of hybrid and alternative technologies in the transit fleet.
- In partnership with interested cities, seek funding dedicated to grade-separation projects.
- Seek programs in the defense appropriation process that would help offset the cost to provide transit services for military facilities.
- Oppose attempts to discontinue federal funding for school paratransit services or for nonemergency medical transport.
- Oppose actions by the General Services Administration that might adversely impact transit functions at the San Ysidro Border and seek funding to mitigate any changes to transit facilities currently used or owned by MTS.

II. Public Safety

1. Oppose attempts to create duplicative state rail safety regulatory agencies.
2. Seek stiffer federal criminal penalties for vandalism or theft of transit property.
3. Support legislation that increases funding for transit security projects and personnel.
4. Support legislation that provides reimbursement to transit operators for lost employee work hours due to emergency preparedness and antiterrorism training.
5. Oppose legislation or regulations that would have an adverse impact on transit agencies' ability to provide safe transportation to their customers.
6. Support legislation that assists transit operators to carry out their responsibilities as first responders to emergency situations.
7. Support efforts to enhance the transit agency's ability to coordinate with other local emergency personnel for disaster response and evacuation preparedness.

III. Regulatory Matters

1. Support legislation that would facilitate the delivery of capital projects.
2. Oppose unfunded mandates that impact transit operators.
3. Support efforts to increase competition in the fuel market.
4. Support legislation that would require manufacturers of wheelchairs and scooters to notify customers prior to purchase of any vehicles that are larger than what the Americans with Disabilities Act requires transit agencies to accommodate for boarding.
5. Oppose proposals that limit the use of eminent domain for public transportation projects.
6. Monitor and respond to legislation in the areas of finance, employment, and safety that could affect agency governance or operations, including issues related to contractors.

7. Support efforts to ensure that climate change legislation recognizes that transit investment can help achieve emission reduction goals, and seek inclusion of transit funding in any climate change legislation.
8. Oppose efforts to enlarge the universe of paratransit service eligibility to classifications of individuals that could effectively be served through fixed-route services.
9. Monitor and respond to attempts to alter access guidelines in a way that would financially burden transit operators without providing funding.
10. Oppose regulatory interpretations of Title VI that are not in keeping with the policy's intent or which cause actions by transit agencies that constitute unfunded mandates.
11. Seek a national standard for weight limit exemptions for transit buses that is consistent with the weight of buses on the market today and that takes into account the weight of equipment required to address federal mandates.

IV. Support for Legislative Programs of Other Agencies or Organizations

1. Support the legislative programs of other agencies, such as SANDAG, NCTD or other jurisdictions, where consistent with the MTS legislative program.
2. Support provisions in the legislative programs of organizations, such as the California Transit Association and American Public Transportation Association, where consistent with the MTS legislative program.

V. Capital Projects

1. Seek funding for the following capital projects:
 - Mid Coast Trolley Extension
 - MTS Bus Replacement Vehicles

DRAFT

**San Diego Metropolitan Transit System (MTS)
2015 State Legislative Program**

I. Transit Funding

1. Seek legislation to expedite the allocation of state infrastructure bond funding designated for transit operators/projects.
2. Oppose legislation that would reduce direct funding to transit agencies, or transportation funding in general; support legislation that would generate new revenue for transit projects and operating costs.
3. Oppose legislation that would expand the use of Transportation Development Act (TDA) funds to non-transit purposes not currently covered by statute.
4. Support legislation that would help offset the impact on transit budgets caused by increases in fuel costs.
5. In partnership with interested cities, seek funding dedicated to grade-separation projects.
6. Seek legislation to exempt transit agencies from state sales tax.

II. Transit-Oriented Development

1. Seek legislation to expedite the allocation of state infrastructure bond funding for transit-oriented development and support legislation that provides funding incentives for mixed-use projects and transit-oriented development.
2. Support legislation that aids transit operators' efforts to create transit-oriented development.

III. Public Safety

1. Seek actions that would expedite the allocation of the \$1 billion in Proposition 1B bond funding designated for transit security projects.
2. Oppose legislation or regulations that would have an adverse impact on transit agencies' ability to provide safe transportation to their customers.
3. Support efforts to enhance penalties for crimes against transit staff or related to transit property.
4. Seek legislation that would protect the records of transit code compliance officers to the same degree as sworn officers.
5. Seek legislation that would permit transit agencies to adjudicate code violations.
6. Seek legislation that would allow agencies to pass an ordinance to allow national criminal background checks for taxicab operators.
7. Seek legislation that would remove Vehicle Code restrictions on the placement of video and audio recorders inside taxicabs.

IV. Climate Change

1. Advocate for favorable implementation of AB 32.
2. Oppose efforts to require actions by the transit operators in support of state climate change initiatives that constitute unfunded mandates.

V. Regulatory Matters

1. Support legislation that would facilitate the delivery of transit capital projects—especially through the availability of alternative procurement practices, such as design build.
2. Oppose unfunded mandates that impact transit operators.
3. Support legislation that would require manufacturers of wheelchairs and scooters to notify customers prior to purchase of any vehicles that are larger than what the Americans with Disabilities Act requires transit agencies to accommodate for boarding.
4. Oppose legislation that adversely limits the use of eminent domain for public transportation projects.
5. Support legislation that would remedy Bonanno v. Central Contra Costa Transit Authority, which is a case that substantially broadened the liability exposure of transit agencies.
6. Seek relief from regulations which prevent MTS from providing service in the most cost efficient way possible.
7. Monitor and respond to efforts to regulate MTS operations.
8. Seek clarification of regulations governing the disposition of real property purchased with TDA funds to prevent using the property for nontransit purposes.
9. Oppose efforts to eliminate or restrict transit exemption provisions in the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA); seek legislative clarification that service and fare adjustments are always exempt from CEQA.
10. Seek a long term exemption from weight restrictions for all transit buses.

VI. Labor Relations

1. Monitor and respond to legislation relating to personnel matters.
2. Support legislation that protects the integrity of collective bargaining agreements, and oppose efforts to mandate benefits or working conditions.
3. Monitor and respond to legislation designed to clarify provisions of the Public Employees Pension Reform Act of 2012.

VII. Support Legislative Programs of Other Agencies or Organizations

1. Support the legislative programs of other agencies, such as SANDAG and NCTD, where consistent with the MTS legislative program.
2. Support provisions in the legislative programs of organizations, such as the California Transit Association and American Public Transportation Association, where consistent with the MTS legislative program.



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 30

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

MTS TRANSIT SERVICE FIXED-ROUTE AND BUS RAPID TRANSIT (BRT) BUS
SERVICES – CONTRACT AWARD (BILL SPRAUL AND JEFF CODLING)

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to:

- 1) Execute MTS Doc. No. B0614.0-14 (in substantially the same format as Attachment A) with Transdev Services, Inc. (Transdev) for the provision of fixed-route, express, and BRT bus services for a six-year base period with two (2) three-year optional performance periods exercisable exclusively at MTS's discretion, beginning on July 1, 2015 and ending on June 30, 2027; and
- 2) Exercise the option periods at his discretion, if deemed to be in the best interest of MTS; and
- 3) Waive Policy No. 41 and authorize the CEO, at his discretion, to execute amendments to increase the not-to-exceed amount of the contract to pay for increased service levels or services, so long as such costs/increases have either been (a) approved by the Board as part of the MTS Operating Budget or the MTS Capital Improvement Project budget process, or (b) will be reimbursed by a third party.

Budget Impact

The total value of this agreement is not to exceed \$735,434,815.00, broken down as follows:



Base Period	Annual Base Budget
Year 1	\$ 49,720,729
Year 2	\$ 51,264,707
Year 3	\$ 56,654,986
Year 4	\$ 58,431,347
Year 5	\$ 59,847,786
Year 6	\$ 60,985,805
Base Period Totals	\$336,905,360
Option Period 1	
Year 1	\$ 62,789,973
Year 2	\$ 64,411,312
Year 3	\$ 65,843,298
Option Period 1 Totals	\$193,044,583
Option Period 2	
Year 1	\$ 67,080,701
Year 2	\$ 68,343,506
Year 3	\$ 70,060,665
Option Period 2 Totals	\$205,484,872
Grand Totals	\$735,434,815

Funding will be included in each respective fiscal year's operating budget. Attachment B displays a further projected cost breakdown for the base period of fiscal years 2016 through 2021.

DISCUSSION:

In line with public transit industry best practices, and to sustain long-term operating efficiency and reduce long-term operating costs, MTS engages with a third party to operate certain segments of its public transit operations. Included in those segments are the South Bay and Central San Diego Service, the East County Fixed Route and Rural Lifeline Service, the Commuter Express Service and the BRT Service.

Services will include fixed purchased transportation mileage based unit costs, bus stop maintenance and facility landscaping of 3,513 bus stops, transit center power washing, performance surety costs, performance bonuses, rural bus fuel pass through costs, and other miscellaneous pass-through costs as defined in the contract. If unanticipated service growth in future years drives expenses higher than what has been estimated above, budget adjustments would be subject to approval by the CEO and the Board via the annual budget process. Routine increases in service levels will also be submitted to the Board together with any associated budgetary increases or additional spend authority needs as part of the periodic service level change process.

The contract has stipulations for responsible wage and health benefits consistent with MTS Policy 31, Section 31.10.

MTS will be providing the necessary equipment and facilities for the contracted services. These will consist of heavy-duty 40-foot buses, midsize 30-foot and 32-foot buses, and express 45-foot diesel and compressed natural gas-powered (CNG) transit buses along with two major operations, maintenance and fueling facilities.

In June 2014, staff issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) to solicit offers. Transdev (formerly Veolia) of Silver Springs, Maryland, and First Transit Incorporated (First Transit) of Cincinnati, Ohio, submitted their responses. Both were deemed responsive to the requirements and both were deemed responsible relative to the management skills requirements, as well as the technical capacity and financial stability aspects of the solicitation.

A committee consisting of in-house transit operations and financial management experts evaluated the proposals first on their technical offerings, then by their cost offerings. As required by Section 4.6 of the California Labor Code, a 10% bidding preference was also made available to the proposer who agrees to retain at least 90% of existing employees. Both proposers offered to retain current employees if awarded a contract, therefore both qualified for the 10% preference. Proposals were evaluated based on the following:

Criteria	Available Grade
Qualifications of Firm	25 %
Staffing, Project Organization and Management Plan	25 %
Proposed Work Plan	25 %
Cost/Price	25 %
Compliance with CA Labor Code §4.6	10 %

The evaluation resulted in a total score for Transdev of 99.00 and a total score for First Transit of 83.71 (out of 110 possible points).

First Transit and Transdev were both interviewed by the evaluation team on their technical approach, management plan, and financial stability. Negotiations were also held and multiple detailed analyses of cost proposals were conducted to ascertain the validity of cost and pricing assumptions, as well as, to subject such cost projections to varying levels of cost reasonableness testing.

Transdev received the highest overall rankings in nearly every category by the members of the committee. Transdev's proposal included: a clear understanding of the Scope of Work, innovation and new ideas, and a number of cost-saving alternatives based on their experience and detailed knowledge of the system. Additionally, Transdev's proposal included a highly experienced local management team, staffing above the minimum requirements, and the lowest overall cost. For comparison purposes, the fiscal year (FY) 2015 budgeted contract amount for MTS's contracted fixed-route services is projected to be \$48,452,054 with a per revenue mileage rate of \$5.115 and total projected revenue miles of 9,153,524. The new contract, beginning FY 2016, will decrease the revenue mile rate and increase the total projected revenue miles. This contract is an improvement with the FY 2016 projected contract amount to be \$49,720,729 with a revenue mileage rate of \$5.0401 and total projected revenue miles of 9,462,830.

Contract employees operating out of the South Bay facility are represented by the International Brotherhood of Teamsters Local 683. Employees operating out of the East County facility are represented by both the International Brotherhood of Teamsters Local 683 (maintenance staff) and the Amalgamated Transit Union (ATU) Local 1309 (drivers). Transdev has committed that this representation will continue. Transdev's selection for award of the operating contract is also supported by both unions.

As a result, staff recommends that Transdev be awarded the successor to the current contract.

MTS Policy 41 (Signature Authority) requires staff to first seek Board of Directors' approval for all procurements exceeding \$100,000. Staff requests that the Board waive this requirement and authorize the CEO to approve amendments above the \$100,000 limit. This will provide staff the flexibility to timely address operational matters that may lead to contract amendments that are typically first submitted to the Board prior to their execution or to issue an amendment to the agreement to accommodate extra work that is reimbursable by a third party. Events that may trigger an amendment include service level increases beyond estimates agreed upon in the contract; implementation of new routes; increases in operating frequency of certain routes; need for construction related or emergency related bus bridges; or any other event that may require MTS to provide emergency public transportation. Cases where MTS may need to amend the contract to accommodate third party reimbursable activities may include SANDAG projects or projects by other local agencies or private developers. Amendments issued under the waiver will be reported under Agenda Item 62 (CEO's Report) at the monthly Board of Directors' meetings.

At its meeting on January 22, 2015, the Executive Committee reviewed this action item and voted 5 to 0 to recommend that the Board of Directors adopt the staff recommendation.



Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachment: A. Draft MTS Doc. No. B0614.0-14
B. Projected Cost Breakdown FY16-FY21

Projected Cost Breakdown FY16 - FY21

EXPENSE	7/1/15- 6/30/16	7/01/16- 6/30/17	7/01/17- 6/30/18	7/01/18- 6/30/19	7/01/19- 6/30/20	7/01/20- 6/30/21	TOTAL
Initial Startup Bonus (A)	\$90,000	\$0					\$90,000
Performance Surety	\$2,665	\$2,665	\$2,665	\$2,665	\$2,665	\$2,665	\$15,990
Variable Revenue Mile Cost	\$47,693,515	\$49,300,019	\$54,586,678	\$56,324,993	\$57,705,128	\$58,723,251	\$324,333,584
<i>Projected Revenue Miles/Fiscal Year</i>	<i>9,462,830</i>	<i>9,557,458</i>	<i>10,994,133</i>	<i>11,104,074</i>	<i>11,215,115</i>	<i>11,327,266</i>	
RSMPH Adjustment (A)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Stand by Service Cost	\$786,667	\$826,058	\$873,239	\$896,356	\$917,442	\$941,416	\$5,241,178
Performance Bonuses (A)	\$720,000	\$720,000	\$720,000	\$720,000	\$720,000	\$720,000	\$4,320,000
Bus Stop Maintenance and Landscaping Cost	\$125,823	\$155,366	\$213,163	\$219,344	\$225,705	\$232,251	\$1,171,652
Transit Center Powerwashing	\$122,059	\$125,599	\$129,241	\$132,989	\$136,846	\$211,222	\$857,956
Fuel: Estimated Pass Through (A)	\$30,000	\$35,000	\$40,000	\$45,000	\$50,000	\$55,000	\$255,000
Other Pass Through Costs (A)	\$150,000	\$100,000	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$100,000	\$620,000
Total Projected Costs	\$49,720,729	\$51,264,707	\$56,654,986	\$58,431,347	\$59,847,786	\$60,985,805	\$336,905,360

MTS Bus Contract Services Fixed Route Procurement Award

MTS Board of Directors
January 28, 2015



2

Fixed Route Bus Service Overview

- About half of MTS' fixed route bus service is operated via a contract - currently Transdev (formerly Veolia)
 - Includes urban fixed route, commuter, and rural bus
 - Operates from South Bay and East County facilities
 - 9 million annual revenue miles
 - 311 total buses
 - 750 employees - All drivers and mechanics are represented by ATU or Teamsters



3

Fixed Route Contract Procurement

- Existing contract January 2, 2007 - June 30, 2015
- RFP development 2013-2014 - Researched best practices from peer systems
- RFP issued June 20, 2014 - Generated interest from 6 transportation firms
- Received 2 proposals (from industry market leaders):
 - **Transdev Services, Inc.** - Currently operates the fixed route bus service contract
 - **First Transit, Inc.** - Currently operates our minibus and paratransit contracts



Negotiated Procurement Process

- Proposals reviewed and determined that both were responsible and responsive bids
- Proposals reviewed by Evaluation Committee of internal experts from various departments:
 - Operations, Maintenance, Passenger Services, Finance, Planning and Procurement
- Interviews and Negotiations
- Both qualitative and price considerations used to determine recommendation for award



Proposal Evaluation

- Technical proposal evaluation criteria
 - 25% - Qualifications of the Firm
 - 25% - Work Plan
 - 25% - Staffing and Management Plan
 - 25% - Cost/Price
- Ranking of Firms (Total Average Scores)
 - 99.0 - Transdev Services, Inc.
 - 83.7 - First Transit, Inc.

(Both include 10 points for meeting California Labor Code 4.6)



6

Cost Savings

- Transdev cost is 2.0% less than competitor
- Difference is \$14.5 Million over 12 years
- Rate in the first year is 1.8% lower than the current rate of \$5.115 (including MPH escalator)



7

Budget Impact

Base Period (6 yrs)	\$336,905,360
Option Period 1 (3 yrs)	\$193,044,583
Option Period 2 (3 yrs)	\$205,484,872
Total (12 years)	\$735,434,815



Fixed-Route Contract

- 9.5m estimated annual revenue miles in first year
- New South Bay Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) service starting approximately FY18
- 10.9m estimated annual revenue miles post-South Bay Rapid service implementation
- Flexibility for future service growth or reductions
- Additional unit pricing provided for large events and potential future minibuses operations



MTS Responsibilities

- MTS continues to provide operations and maintenance facilities, fueling stations, and buses
- MTS continues to pay for bus fuel and facility utilities
- MTS continues to provide schedules, service design, and service quality standards



10

Contractor Responsibilities

- Contractor staffs and operates the service per contract requirements
- Contractor maintains facilities and buses
- Comprehensive facilities maintenance plan and dedicated staffing required of contractor
- Bus stop maintenance, facility landscaping, and transit center power washing work included



11

Fixed-Route Contract Highlights

- New bonus and penalty strategies to ensure compliance with high service quality standards
 - Employee performance incentive program with 100% of bonuses going directly to the employees
 - New performance measurement categories added to emphasize Safety, Customer Service and OTP
- New full-time Quality Assurance Ambassadors to enhance quality and customer service



12

Transdev Proposal Highlights

- New dedicated Customer Service Manager position
- New Integrated Giro Hastus Scheduling and Dispatching System
- New Social Media Customer Service Outreach and Feedback Program
- New Regional Zone Supervision Plan
- Real Time Information Technology Improvements for Road Supervisors and Ambassadors (tablets)



13

Labor Provisions

- Includes MTS Policy 31 requirements regarding responsible wages and health benefits
- Compliant with California Labor Code 4.6 (retention of existing workforce)
- Teamsters and ATU labor unions will continue to represent employees
- Both Teamsters and ATU have indicated support for the selection of Transdev for this agreement via letters of support



14

Fixed-Route Contract Timeline

- Proposed Contract Award Jan 28, 2015
- Planned Notice to Proceed Feb 2015
- Service Start Date July 1, 2015



15

Recommendations

- Award contract to Transdev Services, Inc. for the provision of fixed-route bus services for a 6-year base period with two (2) three-year option periods beginning on July 1, 2015 and ending on June 30, 2027
- Authorize the CEO to exercise option periods at his discretion, if in the best interest of MTS



16

Recommendations

- Waive Policy 41 and authorize the CEO to execute amendments to increase the not-to-exceed amount of the contract to pay for unanticipated increased service levels as long as the increases have either been:
 - a) Approved by the Board as part of the MTS Operating Budget or Capital Improvement Project budget process, or
 - b) Will be reimbursed by a third party



17

Questions?



18



AGENDA ITEM NO.

30

REQUEST TO SPEAK FORM

ORDER REQUEST RECEIVED

1

PLEASE SUBMIT THIS COMPLETED FORM (AND YOUR WRITTEN STATEMENT) TO THE CLERK OF THE BOARD PRIOR TO DISCUSSION OF YOUR ITEM

1. INSTRUCTIONS

This Request to Speak form must be filled out and submitted in advance of the discussion of your item to the Clerk of the Board (please attach any written statement to this form). Communications on hearings and agenda items are generally limited to three minutes per person unless the Board authorizes additional time; however, the Chairperson may limit comment to one or two minutes each if there are multiple requests to speak on a particular item. General public comments on items not on the agenda are limited to three minutes. Please be brief and to the point. No yielding of time is allowed. Subjects of previous hearings or agenda items may not again be addressed under General Public Comments.

(PLEASE PRINT)

Date	1-28-15		
Name	Lorraine M. Leighton		
Address	1034 N. Magnolia Ave El Cerrito Ca. 92020		
Telephone			
Organization Represented	Disabled Public		
Subject of Your Remarks	The fixed route for East county		
Regarding Agenda Item No.	30		
Your Comments Present a Position of:	☐	SUPPORT	☒ OPPOSITION

2. TESTIMONY AT NOTICED PUBLIC HEARINGS

At Public Hearings of the Board, persons wishing to speak shall be permitted to address the Board on any issue relevant to the subject of the Hearing.

3. DISCUSSION OF AGENDA ITEMS

The Chairman may permit any member of the public to address the Board on any issue relevant to a particular agenda item.

4. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENTS ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Public comment on matters not on the agenda will be limited to five speakers with three minutes each, under the Public Comment Agenda Item. Additional speakers will be heard at the end of the Board's Agenda.

REMEMBER: Subjects of previous hearings or agenda items may not again be addressed under General Public Comments.



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 31

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION (SDTC) EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLAN'S
ACTUARIAL VALUATION AS OF JULY 1, 2014 (ROBERT MCCRORY AND ANNE
HARPER OF CHEIRON INC. AND LARRY MARINESI)

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors receive the SDTC Employee Retirement Plan's (Plan) actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2014 (Attachment A), and adopt the pension contribution amount of \$12,489,757 (38.65 percent) for fiscal year 2016.

Budget Impact

Board adoption would result in the annual pension contribution of \$12,489,757 for fiscal year 2016.

DISCUSSION:

The actuarial valuation of the Plan as of July 1, 2014 has recently been completed and the entire report is included in Attachment A. The purpose of the actuarial valuation is to compute the annual pension contribution amount and rate and to provide disclosures necessary for Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 25 and 67.

This valuation was completed in January 2015, by Cheiron, Inc. and has produced a decrease in the contribution amount and rate as compared to the previous valuation. The previous valuation (July 1, 2013) recommended a contribution rate of 39.15 percent of covered payroll and a contribution amount of \$12,804,008. The July 1, 2014 valuation recommends a \$12,489,757 contribution amount (a 38.65 percent contribution rate). This contribution amount would be used for the fiscal year 2016 budget.



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000, San Diego, CA 92101-7490 • (619) 231-1466 • www.sdmts.com

Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) is a California public agency comprised of San Diego Transit Corp., San Diego Trolley, Inc., San Diego and Arizona Eastern Railway Company (nonprofit public benefit corporations), and San Diego Vintage Trolley, Inc., a 501(c)(3) nonprofit corporation, in cooperation with Chula Vista Transit. MTS is the taxicab administrator for seven cities. MTS member agencies include the cities of Chula Vista, Coronado, El Cajon, Imperial Beach, La Mesa, Lemon Grove, National City, Poway, San Diego, Santee, and the County of San Diego.

There are many factors that have an effect on the annual contribution rate. These factors include changes such as demographic and salary experience as well as investment gains and losses. The plan's actuarial experience during fiscal year 2014 resulted in a \$314,251 decrease in cost compared to the previous year primarily due to investment gains in excess of actuarial targets. Under the new GASB standard (GASB 67), the long-term expected rate of return is determined net of plan investment expenses, excluding administrative expenses. The itemization of administrative expenses is therefore included as an increase in dollars as this is the first year of the GASB modification.

The following table details how the cost of the plan has changed since the last actuarial valuation:

Total Contribution Reconciliation		
Item	Cost in Dollars	Cost as % of Payroll
July 1, 2013	12,804,008	39.15%
Change due to effect of closed payroll on amortization		0.48%
Change due to investment experience	(373,789)	-1.16%
Change due to demographic and salary experience	(12,254)	-0.04%
Change due to contributions greater than anticipated	(196,958)	-0.61%
Change due to administrative expense assumption	268,750	0.83%
July 1, 2014	12,489,757	38.65%

Robert McCrory and Anne Harper of Cheiron, Inc. will provide an overview of the report in more detail and be available for any questions.

At its meeting on January 22, 2015, the Executive Committee reviewed this action item and voted 5 to 0 to recommend that the Board of Directors adopt the staff recommendation.



Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachment: A. Actuarial Report (Board Only Due to Volume)



**Retirement Plans of San Diego
Transit Corporation**

**Actuarial Valuation
as of
July 1, 2014**

Produced by *Cheiron*

January 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Letter of Transmittal	i
Foreword	ii
Section I Executive Summary	1
Section II Assets	12
Section III Liabilities	17
Section IV Contributions.....	21
Appendix A Membership Information	23
Appendix B Actuarial Assumptions and Methods.....	40
Appendix C Summary of Plan Provisions.....	46
Appendix D Glossary	62

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

January 9, 2015

Mr. Larry Marinesi
San Diego Transit Corporation
1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490

Dear Mr. Marinesi:

At your request, we have conducted an actuarial valuation of the Retirement Plans of San Diego Transit Corporation (SDTC) as of July 1, 2014. This report contains information on the Plan's assets, liabilities, and contribution levels. Your attention is called to the Foreword, in which, we refer to the general approach employed in the preparation of this report.

The purpose of this report is to present the results of the annual actuarial valuation of the Plan. This report was prepared solely for the Retirement Board in accordance with applicable law for the purposes described herein. It is not intended to benefit any third party, and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to any such party.

To the best of our knowledge, this report and its contents have been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board. Furthermore, as credentialed actuaries, we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this report. This report does not address any contractual or legal issues. We are not attorneys and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.

Sincerely,
Cheiron



Robert T. McCrory, FSA, FCA, EA, MAAA
Principal Consulting Actuary



Anne D. Harper, ASA, EA, MAAA
Consulting Actuary



RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

FOREWORD

Cheiron has performed the actuarial valuation of the Retirement Plans of San Diego Transit Corporation as of July 1, 2014. The valuation is organized as follows:

- In Section I, the **Executive Summary**, we describe the purpose of an actuarial valuation, summarize the key results found in this valuation, and disclose important trends;
- The **Main Body** of the report presents details on the Plan's
 - Section II - Assets
 - Section III - Liabilities
 - Section IV- Contributions
- In the **Appendices**, we conclude our report with detailed information describing plan membership (Appendix A), actuarial assumptions and methods employed in the valuation (Appendix B), a summary of pertinent plan provisions (Appendix C), and a glossary of key actuarial terms (Appendix D).

The results of this report rely on future plan experience conforming to the underlying assumptions. To the extent that actual plan experience deviates from the underlying assumptions, the results would vary accordingly.

In preparing our report, we relied on information (some oral and some written) supplied by the Plan Administrator. This information includes, but is not limited to, the plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No.23.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The primary purpose of the actuarial valuation and this report is to measure, describe, and identify the following as of the valuation date:

- The financial condition of the Plan,
- Past and expected trends in the financial progress of the Plan, and
- Contribution Rates for Fiscal Year 2015-2016.

In the balance of this Executive Summary, we present (A) the basis upon which this year's valuation was completed, (B) the key findings of this valuation including a summary of all key financial results, (C) changes in Plan cost, (D) an examination of historical trends, and (E) the future expected financial trends for the Plan.

A. Valuation Basis

This valuation determines total employer and employee contributions for the plan year.

The Plan's funding policy is to contribute an amount equal to the sum of:

- The normal cost under the Entry Age Normal Cost Method,
- Assumed Administrative Expenses, and
- Amortization of the unfunded actuarial liability.

The employee will contribute according to the Plan schedules below. It will be the responsibility of the employer to contribute the remaining portion of the contribution determined in this report.

IBEW members contributed 2% of Compensation to the Plan prior to April 2013. The IBEW member contribution increased to 3% of Compensation in April 2013 and 4% of Compensation in April 2014. The contribution rate will increase to 6% of Compensation in April of 2015 and 8% of Compensation in April 2016.

ATU and clerical members contributed 2% of Compensation to the Plan prior to July 2013. The ATU and clerical member contributions increased to 3% of Compensation in July 2013 and to 5% in July 2014. Future contribution rates may change in response to collective bargaining.

Non-contract members hired before July 1, 2013, will contribute 2% of Compensation to the Plan. This Non-contract member contribution increased to 4% in January 2014 and will increase to 6% of Compensation in January 2015, as reviewed and adjusted annually by the MTS Board.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

PEPRA: New Members must contribute half of the normal cost of the Plan, rounded to the nearest 0.25%. Currently, PEPRA members are paying 6.25% of pay and the employer pays the remaining cost of the Plan.

This valuation was prepared based on the plan provisions shown in Appendix C. There have been no changes in plan provisions since the prior valuation.

A summary of the assumptions and methods used in the current valuation is shown in Appendix B.

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

B. Key Findings of this Valuation

The key results of the July 1, 2014 actuarial valuation are as follows:

- The actuarial contribution determined in this report is the total contribution required from both the employer and the employees.
- The actuarially determined contribution rate decreased from 39.15% of payroll last year to 38.65% of payroll predominantly due to investment gains; these gains were partially offset by a new assumption for Plan administrative expenses. More detail regarding the new assumption can be found throughout the report.
- The Plan's funded ratio, the ratio of actuarial (smoothed) assets over the actuarial liability, increased from 61.5% last year to 64.4% as of July 1, 2014. This increase was primarily due to a rate of return on the actuarial value of assets substantially higher than the assumed return of 7.50%.
- The unfunded actuarial liability (UAL) is the excess of the Plan's actuarial liability over the actuarial value of assets. The Plan experienced a decrease in the UAL from \$92,879,948 to \$89,111,073 as of July 1, 2014. This decrease in UAL was primarily due to the higher than assumed rate of return on the actuarial value of assets.
- During the year ending June 30, 2014, the return on Plan assets was 12.30% on a market value basis as compared to the 7.50% assumption. This resulted in a market value gain on investments of \$6,581,435. The Actuarial Value of Assets recognizes 20% of the difference between the expected and actual return on the market value of assets (MVA). This method of smoothing the asset gains and losses returned 10.08% on the smoothed value of assets, an actuarial asset gain of \$3,299,488.
- The Plan experienced a loss on the actuarial liability of \$2,905,692 due primarily to fewer than expected deaths within the retired population, fewer active members leaving employment than expected, and inactive members with a deferred vested benefit retiring earlier than anticipated.
- The total contributions made to the Plan were higher than expected resulting in a gain of \$1,738,572. In aggregate, the Plan experienced a total gain of \$2,132,368 from all sources combined. See Table III-3.
- Overall participant membership decreased compared to last year since the Plan is closed to most new entrants. Total projected payroll decreased 1.20% from \$32,707,265 for FYE June 30, 2014 to \$32,313,553 for FYE June 30, 2015. However, average pay per Plan member actually increased by 6.1%. These figures do not include payroll for members participating in the defined contribution plans.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Below we present Table I-1 which summarizes all the key results of the valuation with respect to membership, assets and liabilities, and contributions. The results are presented and compared for both the current and prior plan year.

Table I-1 Summary of Principal Plan Results			
	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014	% Change
<u>Participant Counts</u>			
Active Participants	722	648	-10.25%
Participants Receiving a Benefit	827	873	5.56%
Inactive Participants	<u>239</u>	<u>229</u>	-4.18%
Total	1,788	1,750	-2.13%
Projected Plan Member Payroll* for FYE June 30, 2014 and 2015	\$ 32,707,265	\$ 32,313,553	-1.20%
<u>Assets and Liabilities</u>			
Actuarial Liability (AL)	\$ 241,331,470	\$ 250,491,593	3.80%
Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA)	<u>148,451,522</u>	<u>161,380,520</u>	8.71%
Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)	\$ 92,879,948	\$ 89,111,073	-4.06%
Market Value of Assets (MVA)	\$ 148,576,976	\$ 164,797,330	10.92%
Funded Ratio (AVA)	61.5%	64.4%	4.73%
Funded Ratio (MVA)	61.6%	65.8%	6.86%
<u>Contributions</u>			
Total Normal Cost**	\$ 3,908,376	\$ 3,840,766	-1.73%
Total UAL Contribution	<u>8,002,329</u>	<u>7,777,613</u>	-2.81%
Total Contribution, Beginning of Year	\$ 11,910,705	\$ 11,618,379	-2.45%
Total Contribution, End of Year***	\$ 12,804,008	\$ 12,489,757	-2.45%
Total Contribution as a % of payroll	39.15%	38.65%	-0.50%

* Based on valuation data projected using a full year of salary increases but excludes payroll for member's expected to leave employment or retire during the year.

** Includes assumed administrative expenses of \$250,000 for the 2014 valuation.

*** Adjusted with interest to end of the year.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

C. Changes in Plan Cost

Table I-2 below summarizes the impact of actuarial experience on Plan cost.

Table I-2 Total Contribution Reconciliation		
Item	Cost in Dollars	Cost as % of Payroll
July 1, 2013	\$ 12,804,008	39.15%
Change due to effect of closed payroll on amortization	-	0.48%
Change due to investment experience	(373,789)	-1.16%
Change due to demographic and salary experience	(12,254)	-0.04%
Change due to contributions greater than anticipated	(196,958)	-0.61%
Change due to administrative expense assumption*	268,750	0.83%
July 1, 2014	\$ 12,489,757	38.65%

* Assumed administrative expenses of \$250,000 adjusted with interest to the end of the year.

An analysis of the cost changes from the prior valuation reveals the following:

- The Plan cost in dollars decreased slightly as did the cost as a percentage of payroll. A declining cost in dollars is expected for a closed plan and is discussed in more detail below. The lower cost as a percentage of payroll was caused by a favorable investment return; increases in future costs as a percentage of payroll are likely.
- Closing the Plan to most new entrants increases the amortization payment as a percentage of payroll.

Members who retire or otherwise leave the Plan are no longer being replaced by new members and the payroll decreases. The unfunded liability is being amortized as a level dollar amount. Therefore, a decrease in total projected payroll will increase the UAL payment as a percentage of payroll. This effect is particularly strong in a Plan with a comparatively low funded ratio, which is the case for the SDTC Plan. The decrease in payroll this year increased the contribution rate by 0.48% of pay. This change has no impact on the *dollar* amount of the contribution.

- Investment experience produced an investment gain on both a market basis and an actuarial basis.

The assets of the Plan returned 12.30% on a market basis, higher than the assumed rate of 7.50%. The actuarial return on assets was 10.08%, higher than the assumed rate of

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

7.50%. This resulted in a decrease of 1.16% in the contribution rate as a percentage of payroll.

The market value of assets is higher than the actuarial value; there are approximately \$3.4 million in deferred investment gains. These gains will be recognized in future years, offsetting possible losses and stabilizing Plan contribution rates somewhat.

- Demographic experience differed from what was expected.

The demographic experience of the Plan – rates of retirement, death, disability, and termination – was slightly more positive than predicted by the actuarial assumptions in aggregate, causing a decrease in the contribution rate by 0.04% of payroll.

- Plan contributions were higher than expected.

The employer and employee contributions of \$13.5 million exceeded expected contributions of \$12.8 million. Fewer active members left employment or retired than were anticipated based on the actuarial assumptions. This results in a higher than expected Plan payroll. Since contributions are based on a percentage of this payroll, Plan contributions were higher as well.

- An explicit assumption for administrative expenses was added.

Under the new GASB standards, the long-term expected rate of return is determined net of plan investment expenses but without reduction for plan administrative expenses. Thus, a separate explicit expense assumption is required to comply with GASB accounting standards.

Every year, there are ongoing costs associated with the operation of the Plan that are paid directly from the Plan assets. Contributions, consisting of the normal cost and the amortization of the UAL, and investment earnings are used to fund member benefits. In order to pay for the administrative expenses, an explicit load is necessary. Based on the actual administrative expenses of \$258,142 for the Plan year ending June 30, 2014, we are assuming annual administrative expenses of \$250,000, increased with interest to the end of the year, which increases the contribution rate by 0.83%.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

One of the most important measures of a plan's risk is the ratio of plan assets to payroll shown in Table I-3 below.

This ratio indicates the sensitivity of the plan to the returns earned on plan assets. We note in the table that plan assets currently are over 5 times covered payroll for the Plan; as funding improves and the Plan reaches 100% funding, the ratio of asset to payroll will increase to nearly 8 times payroll, perhaps higher depending on the Plan's future demographic makeup. Although both of these ratios are lower than those of many other public plans, which typically range from 8 to 11 times payroll, the increase in the asset to payroll ratio that is expected to accompany an improvement in the Plan's funding still represents a substantial increase in the volatility of the contributions.

Table I-3		
Asset to Payroll Ratio as of July 1, 2014		
Active Member Payroll	\$	32,313,553
Assets (Market Value)	\$	164,797,330
Ratio of Assets to Payroll		5.10
Ratio with 100% Funding		7.75

To appreciate the impact of the ratio of assets to payroll on plan cost, consider the situation for a new plan with almost no assets. Even if the assets suffer a bad year of investment returns, the impact on the plan cost is nil, because the assets are so small.

On the other hand, consider the situation for this Plan. Suppose the Plan's assets lose 10% of their value in a year. Since they were assumed to earn 7.50%, there is an actuarial loss of 17.50% of plan assets. Based on the current ratio of asset to payroll (5.10), that means the loss in assets is about 89% of active payroll (510% of the 17.50% loss). There is only one source of funding to make up for this loss: contributions. Consequently, barring future offsetting investment gains, the loss must be made up with future contributions. The loss would be even larger – over 136% of payroll – if the Plan were 100% funded.

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY


D. Historical Trends

Despite the fact that for most retirement plans the greatest attention is given to the current valuation results – in particular the size of the current unfunded actuarial liability and the total contribution – it is important to remember that each valuation is merely a snapshot in the long-term progress of a pension fund. It is important to judge a current year's valuation result relative to historical trends, as well as trends expected into the future.

In the graphs below we observe a steady increase in cost and a declining funded ratio until 2014; the funded ratio improved and the contribution decreased a bit with the 2014 valuation.

Assets and Liabilities

The chart below compares the Market Value of Assets (MVA) and Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) to the Actuarial Liabilities. The percentage shown at the top of each bar is the ratio of the Actuarial Value of Assets to the Actuarial Liability (the funded ratio). The funded ratio increased in 2014, from 61.5% to 64.4%, for the first time in over a decade. The main reason for this increase is the compound investment return over the last 5 years of approximately 10%, higher than the 7.5% assumed return. The market losses from 2008 have now been fully recognized in the Actuarial Value of Assets used to compute plan contribution rates and funded status.




**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**


Contributions

The chart below shows a history of the Plan's actuarially determined total contribution rates, as a percentage of payroll. The contribution rate has increased over the ten years prior to 2014, primarily as a result of asset losses and recently the closing of the Plan to new entrants. The contribution rate decreased slightly in 2014.



Participant Trends

Another significant factor in the increasing Plan cost has been the shrinking and aging of the covered workforce. The number and average age of Plan members for the last ten years is shown in the chart below. We can see that membership has declined from 881 actives on July 1, 2005, to 648 on July 1, 2014, a decrease of 26%. In addition, the average age of an active member has increased by over 2 years. These trends can be expected to continue, as new employees participate instead in the defined contribution plan.



SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

E. Future Expected Financial Trends

The analysis of projected financial trends is perhaps the most important component of this valuation. In this Section, we present our assessment of the implications of the July 1, 2014 valuation results in terms of benefit security (assets over liabilities) and contribution rates over the next 20 years. All the projections in this section are based on the assumption that the Plan will exactly achieve the 7.50% investment return assumption each year, which is clearly an impossibility. The current funding method and amortization policy adopted in 2012 remain in place throughout the projection period.

Projection of Total Plan Contributions, 7.50% return each year




The contribution rate graph shows that the Plan's contributions are expected to steadily decline from a starting point of \$12.5 million to \$9.1 million at the end of the 20-year period. There are two reasons for the gradual decline in the dollar cost of the plan. First, the normal cost or cost of benefits accruing gradually decreases as the number of active members declines. In addition, the amortization policy is a level dollar schedule that prevents amortization payments from increasing with payroll. However, while dollar contributions will decline, the contribution rate as a percentage of covered payroll is expected to increase over the same period as the Plan payroll declines when members leave employment or retire. The contribution rate is approximately 38.7% of payroll in 2014 and increases to 136.1% of a much smaller payroll in 2034.

SECTION I
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Asset and Liability Projections:

The following graph shows the projection of assets and liabilities assuming that assets will earn the 7.50% assumption each year during the projection period.

Projection of Assets and Liabilities, return each year



The graph shows that the projected funded status reaches 99% in the next 20 years assuming the actuarial assumptions are achieved. However, it is the actual return on Plan assets that will determine the future funding status and contribution rate to the Plan.

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

**SECTION II
ASSETS**

Pension Plan assets play a key role in the financial operation of the Plan and in the decisions the Board may make with respect to future deployment of those assets. The level of assets, the allocation of assets among asset classes, and the methodology used to measure assets will likely impact benefit levels, contributions, and the ultimate security of participants' benefits.

In this section, we present detailed information on Plan assets including:

- **Disclosure** of Plan assets as of June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2014;
- Statement of the **changes** in market values during the year;
- Development of the **Actuarial Value of Assets**;

Disclosure

There are two types of asset values disclosed in the valuation, the market value of assets and the actuarial value of assets. The market value represents “snap-shot” or “cash-out” values which provide the principal basis for measuring financial performance from one year to the next. Market values, however, can fluctuate widely with corresponding swings in the marketplace. As a result, market values are usually not as suitable for long-range planning as are the Actuarial Value of Assets which reflect smoothing of annual investment returns.

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

SECTION II
ASSETS

Table II-1 discloses and compares each component of the market value of assets as of June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2014.

Table II-1			
Statement of Assets at Market Value			
Investments	6/30/2013		6/30/2014
Common Stock	\$ 28,597,465	\$	30,881,813
Mutual Funds	65,049,173		91,149,529
REIT Mutual Funds	6,387,061		0
Corporate Debt / Bond Funds	35,191,515		25,570,674
Closely Held Instruments	7,855,115		10,065,898
US Treasury Obligations	5,537,227		6,823,063
Preferred Stock	0		0
Short-Term Investments	466,144		701,651
Total Investments	\$ 149,083,700	\$	165,192,628
Receivables:			
Interest	\$ 5	\$	9
Dividends	19,300		17,051
Employer Contributions	0		0
Other Receivables	580		1,330
Total Receivables	\$ 19,885	\$	18,390
Payables			
Due to Plan Sponsor	\$ 408,689	\$	265,068
Other Payables	117,920		148,620
Total Payables	\$ 526,609	\$	413,688
Market Value of Assets	\$ 148,576,976	\$	164,797,330

Changes in Market Value

The components of asset change are:

- Contributions (employer and employee)
- Benefit payments
- Expenses (other)
- Investment income (realized and unrealized)

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION II
ASSETS**

Table II-2 shows the components of a change in the market value of assets during 2013 and 2014.

Table II-2		
Changes in Market Values		
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Contributions		
Employer's Contribution	10,957,255	12,628,190
Members' Contributions	<u>401,274</u>	<u>899,791</u>
Total Contributions	11,358,529	13,527,981
Investment Income		
Interest	1,229,029	959,345
Dividends	1,256,284	5,653,193
REIT Mutual Funds	150,160	19,797
Miscellaneous	751	750
Realized & Unrealized Gain/(Loss)	10,202,720	12,339,979
Trustee Fees	<u>(99,258)</u>	<u>(92,299)</u>
Net Investment Income	12,739,686	18,880,765
Disbursements		
Benefit Payments	(14,638,924)	(15,466,924)
Investment Expenses	N/A	(463,326)
Administrative Expenses*	<u>(754,131)</u>	<u>(258,142)</u>
Total Disbursements	(15,393,055)	(16,188,392)
Net increase (Decrease)	8,705,160	16,220,354
Net Assets Held in Trust for Benefits:		
Beginning of Year	<u>139,871,816</u>	<u>148,576,976</u>
End of Year	<u>148,576,976</u>	<u>164,797,330</u>
Approximate Return	9.24%	12.30%

* Administrative Expenses in 2013 included Investment Expenses

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

**SECTION II
ASSETS**

Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA)

The Actuarial Value of Assets represents a “smoothed” value developed by the actuary to reduce the volatile results which could develop due to short-term fluctuations in the market value of assets. For this Plan, the actuarial value of assets is calculated on a modified market-related value. The market value of assets is adjusted to recognize, over a five-year period, investment earnings which are greater than (or less than) the assumed investment return. The actuarial value is constrained to fall within 20% of the market value.

**Table II-3
Development of Actuarial Value of Assets
as of June 30, 2014**

	(a)	(b)	(c) = (b) – (a)	(d)	(c) x (d)
	Expected	Actual	Unexpected	Phase-In	Phase-In
<u>Plan Year</u>	<u>Earnings</u>	<u>Earnings</u>	<u>Earnings</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>
2009 -10	9,529,082	16,170,202	6,641,120	0%	0
2010 -11	9,689,332	27,361,358	17,672,026	20%	3,534,405
2011 -12	11,416,386	(5,002,447)	(16,418,833)	40%	(6,567,533)
2012 -13	10,765,036	12,739,686	1,974,650	60%	1,184,790
2013 -14	11,577,862	18,159,297	6,581,435	80%	<u>5,265,148</u>
1. Total Unrecognized Asset Gains/(Losses)					3,416,810
2. Market Value of Assets as of June 30, 2014					164,797,330
3. Actuarial Value of Assets as of June 30, 2014: [(2) - (1)]					161,380,520
4. Ratio of Actuarial Value to Market Value [(3) ÷ (2)]					97.93%

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION II
ASSETS**

Investment Performance

The following table calculates the investment related gain/loss for the plan year on both a Market Value and an Actuarial Value basis. The Market Value gain/loss is an appropriate measure for comparing the actual asset performance to the previous valuation's long-term 7.50% assumption.

Table II-4		
Asset Gain/(Loss)		
	Market Value	Actuarial Value
As of June 30, 2013	\$ 148,576,976	\$ 148,451,522
Employer Contributions	12,628,190	12,628,190
Employee Contributions	899,791	899,791
Benefit Payments	(15,466,924)	(15,466,924)
Expected Investment Earnings (7.50%)	11,577,862	11,568,453
Expected Value as of July 1, 2014	\$ 158,215,895	\$ 158,081,032
Investment Gain / (Loss)	6,581,435	3,299,488
As of June 30, 2014	\$ 164,797,330	\$ 161,380,520
Return	12.30%	10.08%

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

**SECTION III
LIABILITIES**

In this section, we present detailed information on Plan liabilities including:

- **Disclosure** of Plan liabilities at July 1, 2013 and July 1, 2014;
- Statement of **changes** in these liabilities during the year.

Disclosure

Several types of liabilities are calculated and presented in this report. Each type is distinguished by the people ultimately using the figures and the purpose for which they are using them.

- **Present Value of Future Benefits:** Used for measuring all future Plan obligations, represents the amount of money needed today to fully pay off all benefits of the Plan both earned as of the valuation date and those to be earned in the future by current plan participants, under the current Plan provisions.
- **Actuarial Liability:** Used for funding calculations, this liability is calculated taking the total Projected Value of Future Benefits and subtracting all future Normal Costs. The method used for this Plan is called the Entry Age Normal (EAN) funding method.
- **Unfunded Actuarial Liability:** The excess of the Actuarial Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION III
LIABILITIES**

Table III-1 discloses each of these liabilities for the current and prior valuations.

Table III-1		
Liabilities and Unfunded Actuarial Liability		
	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
(1) Present Value of Future Benefits		
Active Participant Benefits		
ATU/Drivers	\$ 64,046,707	\$ 62,035,855
IBEW/Mechanics	25,876,081	25,356,537
Clerical	2,739,075	2,538,272
Non-Contract/Admin*	21,977,598	22,504,671
Total	\$ 114,639,461	\$ 112,435,335
(2) Inactive Actuarial Liability		
ATU/Drivers	\$ 85,370,630	\$ 90,410,489
IBEW/Mechanics	15,042,417	17,538,279
Clerical	3,173,329	3,659,149
Non-Contract/Admin	49,166,164	50,233,839
Total	\$ 152,752,540	\$ 161,841,756
(3) Active Actuarial Liability		
ATU/Drivers	\$ 47,092,829	\$ 46,906,804
IBEW/Mechanics	20,395,244	20,366,206
Clerical	2,295,436	2,160,589
Non-Contract/Admin*	18,795,421	19,216,238
Total	\$ 88,578,930	\$ 88,649,837
(4) Total Actuarial Liability (2) + (3)	\$ 241,331,470	\$ 250,491,593
(5) Plan Assets (Actuarial Value)	148,451,522	161,380,520
(6) Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL), (4) - (5)	\$ 92,879,948	\$ 89,111,073

* Includes PEPPRA members

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION III
LIABILITIES**

Table III-2 below analyzes the increases or decreases in the liabilities since the last valuation.

Changes in Liabilities

Each of the liabilities disclosed in the prior table are expected to change at each valuation. The components of that change (as shown in Table III-2 below), depending upon which liability is analyzed, can include:

- New hires since the last valuation
- Benefits accrued since the last valuation
- Plan amendments changing benefits (None for the 2014 Valuation)
- Passage of time which adds interest to the prior liability
- Benefits paid to retirees since the last valuation
- Participants retiring, terminating, or dying at rates different than expected
- A change in actuarial or investment assumptions
- A change in the actuarial funding method or software

Table III-2		
Changes in Actuarial Liability		
Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2013	\$	241,331,470
Actuarial Liability at July 1, 2014	\$	250,491,593
Liability Increase (Decrease)		9,160,123
Change due to:		
Actuarial Methods / Software Changes	\$	0
Assumption Change		0
Accrual of Benefits		3,908,376
Actual Benefit Payments		(15,466,924)
Interest		17,812,979
Actuarial (Gain)/Loss		2,905,692

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION III
LIABILITIES**

Unfunded liabilities will change (as shown in Table III-3 below) because of all of the above, and also due to changes in Plan assets resulting from:

- Contributions different than expected
- Investment earnings different than expected
- A change in the method used to measure plan assets

TABLE III-3 Development of Actuarial Gain / (Loss)		
1. Unfunded Actuarial Liability at Start of Year (not less than zero)	\$	92,879,948
2. Normal Cost at Start of Year		3,908,376
3. Interest on 1. and 2. to End of Year		7,259,125
4. Expected Contributions for Prior Year		11,910,705
5. Interest on 4. to End of Year		893,303
6. Expected Unfunded Actuarial Liability at End of Year [1 + 2 + 3 - 4 - 5]	\$	91,243,441
7. Actual Unfunded Actuarial Liability at End of Year (not less than zero)		89,111,073
8. Actuarial Gain / (Loss) [6 - 7]	\$	2,132,368
(a) Liability Gain / (Loss)		(2,905,692)
(b) Asset Gain / (Loss)		3,299,488
(c) Contributions made to Plan more than expected		1,738,572

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION IV
CONTRIBUTIONS**

In the process of evaluating the financial condition of any pension plan, the actuary analyzes the assets and liabilities to determine what level (if any) of contributions are needed to properly maintain the funding status of the Plan. Typically, the actuarial process will use a funding technique that will result in a pattern of contributions that are both stable and predictable.

Based on the assumptions and cost method, Plan assets are currently below the target level of assets determined by the cost method; consequently, there is an unfunded actuarial liability. As a result, the required Plan contribution consists of three components: The Normal Cost, the amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL), and assumed administrative expenses.

The Normal Cost represents the cost of the additional benefits earned each year by active Plan members. The balance of the Plan contribution represents the amortization of the unfunded liability, which is a payment designed to bring the Plan's assets up to the target level set by the actuarial cost method. Currently, the amortization of UAL represents about two-thirds of the total contribution.

As the UAL is amortized, the Plan contribution will gradually decrease to a level near the Normal Cost, which itself will be changing due to recent Plan amendments, the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA), and actuarial experience.

The table on the following page presents the total Plan contributions for the current and prior valuations.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**SECTION IV
CONTRIBUTIONS**

Table IV-1		
Development of Annual Contribution		
	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
(1) Total Actuarial Liability	\$ 241,331,470	\$ 250,491,593
(2) Plan Assets (Actuarial Value)	<u>148,451,522</u>	<u>161,380,520</u>
(3) Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL), (1) - (2)	\$ 92,879,948	\$ 89,111,073
(4) Amortization Payments		
(a) 25-year payment of July 1, 2012 UAL	\$ 7,311,482	\$ 7,311,482
(b) 15-year payment of July 1, 2013 (Gain)/Loss	690,847	690,847
(c) 15-year payment of July 1, 2014 (Gain)/Loss	<u>0</u>	<u>(224,716)</u>
(d) Total	\$ 8,002,329	\$ 7,777,613
(5) Total Plan Normal Cost	\$ 3,908,376	\$ 3,590,766
(6) Projected Plan Member Payroll	32,707,265	32,313,553
(7) Normal Cost (% of Member Payroll)	11.95%	11.11%
(8) Expected Administrative Expenses	-	250,000
(9) Total Cost (4d) + (5) + (8)	\$ 11,910,705	\$ 11,618,379
(10) Total Cost (Interest Adjusted), (9) * 1.075	\$ 12,804,008	\$ 12,489,757
(11) Total Cost (% of Member Payroll), (10) / (6)	39.15%	38.65%

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

Data pertaining to active and inactive Members and their beneficiaries as of the valuation date was supplied by the Plan Administrator on electronic media. As is usual in studies of this type, Member data was neither verified nor audited; however, it was reviewed to ensure that it complies with generally accepted actuarial standards.

Summary of Participant Data

Active Participants

Non-Contract/Admin	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	75	68
Average Age	52.5	51.3
Average Service	15.9	16.6
Average Pay	\$ 64,349	\$ 68,881
Clerical	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	28	25
Average Age	47.6	48.4
Average Service	10.9	11.3
Average Pay	\$ 39,969	\$ 40,859
ATU/Drivers	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	456	398
Average Age	50.3	51.3
Average Service	11.5	12.4
Average Pay	\$ 46,847	\$ 49,643
IBEW/Mechanics	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	163	148
Average Age	47.7	48.6
Average Service	16.5	17.2
Average Pay	\$ 48,234	\$ 50,889
PEPRA	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	n/a	9
Average Age	n/a	42.5
Average Service	n/a	2.2
Average Pay	n/a	\$ 56,371
Total	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	722	648
Average Age	49.8	50.4
Average Service	13.1	13.8
Average Pay	\$ 48,712	\$ 51,701

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

Summary of Participant Data

Deferred Participants

Terminated Vested	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	239	229
Average Age	52.8	52.6
Average Annual Benefit	\$ 8,270	\$ 7,749

In-Pay Participants

Service Retired	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	594	639
Average Age	68.6	68.7
Average Annual Benefit	\$ 21,641	\$ 21,850
Beneficiaries	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	134	135
Average Age	71.9	71.5
Average Annual Benefit	\$ 8,406	\$ 8,821
Disabled	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	99	99
Average Age	66.9	67.2
Average Annual Benefit	\$ 9,289	\$ 9,477
Total	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014
Number	827	873
Average Age	68.9	68.9
Average Annual Benefit	\$ 18,018	\$ 18,432

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Data Summary as of July 1, 2014

Active Participants	Non-Contract/Administrative Non PEPR	PEPR	Sub-Total	Clerical	ATU/ Drivers	IBEW/ Mechanics	Chula Vista	Total
Number	68	9	77	25	398	148	0	648
Average Age	51.26	42.53	50.24	48.43	51.29	48.58	n/a	50.44
Average Service	16.6	2.19	14.92	11.25	12.43	17.21	n/a	13.77
Average Pay	\$70,569	\$59,465	\$69,271	\$41,977	\$51,207	\$52,222	n/a	\$53,229

Inactive Participants	Non-Contract/Administrative Non PEPR	PEPR	Sub-Total	Clerical	ATU/ Drivers	IBEW/ Mechanics	Chula Vista	Total
Service Retired								
Number	106	n/a	106	26	422	80	5	639
Average Age	66.39	n/a	66.39	72.02	68.92	69.30	65.72	68.65
Average Annual Benefit	\$35,293	n/a	\$35,293	\$12,688	\$19,699	\$19,455	\$4,318	\$21,850
Beneficiaries								
Number	25	n/a	25	4	88	18	0	135
Average Age	67.33	n/a	67.33	71.79	73.21	69.26	0.00	71.55
Average Annual Benefit	\$17,921	n/a	\$17,921	\$3,801	\$6,877	\$6,799	\$0	\$8,821
Disabled								
Number	2	n/a	2	3	82	12	0	99
Average Age	64.71	n/a	64.71	75.85	67.41	64.15	0.00	67.21
Average Annual Benefit	\$8,600	n/a	\$8,600	\$6,102	\$9,104	\$13,010	\$0	\$9,477
Terminated Vested								
Number	24	n/a	24	15	132	50	8	229
Average Age	51.89	n/a	51.89	53.24	52.28	52.96	57.62	52.64
Average Annual Benefit	\$16,487	n/a	\$16,487	\$6,196	\$7,413	\$5,708	\$2,732	\$7,749

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Status Reconciliation - All Divisions
Changes in Plan Membership as of July 1, 2014

	Active	Terminated Vested	Disabled	Retired	Beneficiaries	Total
Participant count as of July 1, 2013	722	239	99	594	134	1,788
New Entrants	5					5
Rehires						0
Disabilities	(2)		2			0
Retirements/ Domestic Relations Order (DRO)	(41)	(15)		56		0
Vested Terminations	(9)	9				0
Died, with Beneficiaries' Benefit Payable		(1)		(7)	8	0
Died, without Beneficiary, and Other Terminations	(24)	(3)	(3)	(6)	(8)	(36)
Beneficiary Deaths						(8)
Data Corrections	(3)		1	2	1	1
Total Change	(74)	(10)	0	45	1	(38)
Participant count as of July 1, 2014	648	229	99	639	135	1,750

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Status Reconciliation - Non-Contract/Administrative*
Changes in Plan Membership as of July 1, 2014

	Active	Terminated Vested	Disabled	Retired	Beneficiaries	Total
Participant count as of July 1, 2013	75	26	2	100	26	229
New Entrants	5					5
Rehires						0
Disabilities			0			0
Retirements/ DRO	(7)	(2)		9		0
Vested Terminations		0				0
Died, with Beneficiaries' Benefit Payable				(1)	1	0
Transfers	8			(1)		7
Died, without Beneficiary, and Other Terminations	(1)			(1)		(2)
Beneficiary Deaths					(2)	(2)
Data Corrections	(3)					(3)
Total Change	2	(2)	0	6	(1)	5
Participant count as of July 1, 2014	77	24	2	106	25	234

*Includes 9 active individuals participating in PEPR

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Status Reconciliation - Clerical
Changes in Plan Membership as of July 1, 2014

	Active	Terminated Vested	Disabled	Retired	Beneficiaries	Total
Participant count as of July 1, 2013	28	18	3	21	4	74
New Entrants						0
Rehires						0
Disabilities						0
Retirements/ DRO	(1)	(2)		3		0
Vested Terminations						0
Died, with Beneficiaries' Benefit Payable						0
Transfers	(2)			1		(1)
Died, without Beneficiary, and Other Terminations		(1)				(1)
Beneficiary Deaths					0	0
Data Corrections				1		1
Total Change	(3)	(3)	0	5	0	(1)
Participant count as of July 1, 2014	25	15	3	26	4	73

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Status Reconciliation - ATU/Drivers
Changes in Plan Membership as of July 1, 2014

	Active	Terminated Vested	Disabled	Retired	Beneficiaries	Total
Participant count as of July 1, 2013	456	135	83	397	86	1,157
New Entrants						0
Rehires						0
Disabilities	(2)		2			0
Retirements/ DRO	(25)	(7)		32		0
Vested Terminations	(7)	7				0
Died, with Beneficiaries' Benefit Payable		(1)		(4)	5	0
Transfers	(4)					(4)
Died, without Beneficiary, and Other Terminations	(20)	(2)	(3)	(4)		(29)
Beneficiary Deaths					(4)	(4)
Data Corrections				1	1	2
Total Change	(58)	(3)	(1)	25	2	(35)
Participant count as of July 1, 2014	398	132	82	422	88	1,122

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Status Reconciliation - IBEW/Mechanics
Changes in Plan Membership as of July 1, 2014

	Active	Terminated Vested	Disabled	Retired	Beneficiaries	Total
Participant count as of July 1, 2013	163	52	11	71	18	315
New Entrants						0
Rehires						0
Disabilities						0
Retirements/ DRO	(8)	(4)		12		0
Vested Terminations	(2)	2		(2)	2	0
Died, with Beneficiaries' Benefit Payable						0
Transfers	(2)					(2)
Died, without Beneficiary, and Other Terminations	(3)			(1)		(4)
Beneficiary Deaths					(2)	(2)
Data Corrections			1			1
Total Change	(15)	(2)	1	9	0	(7)
Participant count as of July 1, 2014	148	50	12	80	18	308

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Status Reconciliation - Chula Vista
Changes in Plan Membership as of July 1, 2014

	Active	Terminated Vested	Disabled	Retired	Beneficiaries	Total
Participant count as of July 1, 2013	0	8	0	5	0	13
New Entrants						0
Rehires						0
Disabilities						0
Retirements/ DRO						0
Vested Terminations						0
Died, with Beneficiaries' Benefit Payable						0
Transfers						0
Died, without Beneficiary, and Other Terminations						0
Beneficiary Deaths						0
Data Corrections						0
Total Change	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participant count as of July 1, 2014	0	8	0	5	0	13

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

Age / Service Distribution Of Active Participants - Non-Contract/Administrative* (Counts)
As of July 1, 2014

Age	Service													Total
	Under 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & up		
Under 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20 to 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
25 to 29	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
30 to 34	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
35 to 39	1	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
40 to 44	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	7	
45 to 49	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	4	1	2	0	0	12	
50 to 54	1	0	0	1	0	5	3	4	1	1	2	0	18	
55 to 59	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	3	4	2	5	1	19	
60 to 64	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	0	0	9	
65 to 69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
70 & up	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	5	2	1	4	3	14	11	15	7	7	7	1	77	

*Includes 9 active individuals participating in PEPR

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

**Age / Service Distribution Of Active Participants - Non-Contract/Administrative* (Average Salary)
As of July 1, 2014**

Age	Service													Total
	Under 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & up		
Under 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20 to 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
25 to 29	0	0	0	0	0	54,961	0	0	0	0	0	0	54,961	
30 to 34	62,754	0	0	56,786	0	60,826	0	0	0	0	0	0	60,789	
35 to 39	62,754	0	0	64,022	56,260	47,997	0	0	0	0	0	0	54,504	
40 to 44	40,000	0	0	0	57,078	58,826	75,972	71,042	0	0	0	0	64,276	
45 to 49	0	54,916	47,094	0	0	58,013	57,729	63,809	60,144	79,673	0	0	62,283	
50 to 54	60,008	0	0	64,958	0	57,481	65,047	66,483	50,877	74,704	103,112	0	66,958	
55 to 59	0	0	0	57,090	0	0	85,495	79,156	76,700	74,799	79,998	67,621	77,634	
60 to 64	0	0	0	0	63,917	54,288	65,904	67,495	97,406	72,651	0	0	69,746	
65 to 69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
70 & up	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	57,654	54,916	47,094	60,714	59,085	55,652	72,100	69,047	73,604	75,564	86,602	67,621	67,419	

*Includes 9 active individuals participating in PEPR/A

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION

Age / Service Distribution Of Active Participants - Clerical (Counts)
As of July 1, 2014

Age	Service													Total
	Under 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & up		
Under 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20 to 24	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
25 to 29	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
30 to 34	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
35 to 39	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
40 to 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
45 to 49	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	
50 to 54	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	
55 to 59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	
60 to 64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	
65 to 69	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	
70 & up	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	1	4	2	0	8	3	3	1	2	1	0	25	

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

**Age / Service Distribution Of Active Participants - Clerical (Average Salary)
As of July 1, 2014**

Age	Service													Total
	Under 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & up		
Under 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20 to 24	0	0	37,569	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37,569	
25 to 29	0	34,314	0	37,963	0	37,569	0	0	0	0	0	0	36,615	
30 to 34	0	0	42,311	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42,311	
35 to 39	0	0	0	0	0	38,928	0	0	0	0	0	0	38,928	
40 to 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
45 to 49	0	0	0	39,532	0	40,366	37,569	0	0	0	0	0	39,155	
50 to 54	0	0	39,531	0	0	42,190	50,302	52,378	0	0	0	0	44,796	
55 to 59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38,855	0	48,681	0	43,768	
60 to 64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,411	0	0	39,411	
65 to 69	0	0	0	0	0	45,930	37,756	37,641	0	0	0	0	39,742	
70 & up	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	34,314	40,430	38,747	0	41,036	41,876	42,553	38,855	39,411	48,681	0	40,859	

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

Age / Service Distribution Of Active Participants - ATU/Drivers (Counts)
As of July 1, 2014

Age	Service													Total
	Under 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & up		
Under 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20 to 24	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
25 to 29	0	3	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
30 to 34	0	0	7	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	
35 to 39	0	5	2	1	0	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	26	
40 to 44	0	1	6	2	0	18	9	6	0	0	0	0	42	
45 to 49	0	4	4	0	4	27	9	10	3	1	0	0	62	
50 to 54	0	2	5	6	2	20	12	9	20	8	0	0	84	
55 to 59	0	1	6	2	4	21	16	14	10	7	1	1	83	
60 to 64	0	0	1	1	2	18	7	7	8	7	1	6	58	
65 to 69	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	3	0	4	14	
70 & up	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	
Total	0	17	33	12	14	133	60	46	43	26	2	12	398	

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

**Age / Service Distribution Of Active Participants - ATU/Drivers (Average Salary)
As of July 1, 2014**

Age	Service													Total
	Under 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & up		
Under 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20 to 24	0	35,969	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35,969	
25 to 29	0	37,366	42,405	0	0	39,871	0	0	0	0	0	0	39,599	
30 to 34	0	0	37,621	0	39,760	46,947	0	0	0	0	0	0	42,262	
35 to 39	0	39,182	40,341	32,099	0	49,805	58,676	0	0	0	0	0	47,718	
40 to 44	0	34,802	35,135	43,459	0	47,982	54,036	50,227	0	0	0	0	47,236	
45 to 49	0	37,512	42,203	0	43,516	48,884	51,422	54,130	50,898	52,480	0	0	48,743	
50 to 54	0	35,166	36,562	38,570	42,626	45,658	52,847	51,758	56,866	57,324	0	0	49,748	
55 to 59	0	36,443	40,269	37,703	39,161	45,475	53,705	59,252	59,180	65,007	59,953	57,132	52,022	
60 to 64	0	0	50,888	42,843	35,124	44,542	49,343	54,068	61,657	60,422	83,766	59,110	52,487	
65 to 69	0	0	0	0	0	43,979	60,671	0	59,685	56,193	0	54,781	54,311	
70 & up	0	0	0	0	0	0	49,187	0	0	0	0	50,174	49,680	
Total	0	37,388	38,902	39,057	40,409	46,750	53,220	54,706	58,010	59,910	71,859	56,758	49,643	

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

**Age / Service Distribution Of Active Participants - IBEW/Mechanics (Counts)
As of July 1, 2014**

Age	Service													Total
	Under 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & up		
Under 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20 to 24	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
25 to 29	0	0	0	2	2	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	
30 to 34	0	0	0	2	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	
35 to 39	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	8	
40 to 44	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	3	6	0	0	0	14	
45 to 49	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	5	0	1	0	0	11	
50 to 54	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	2	10	6	4	0	34	
55 to 59	0	0	0	0	1	6	3	5	6	2	4	2	29	
60 to 64	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	6	2	0	5	21	
65 to 69	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3	
70 & up	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	6	7	32	25	23	28	12	8	7	148	

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX A
MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

**Age / Service Distribution Of Active Participants - IBEW/Mechanics (Average Salary)
As of July 1, 2014**

Age	Service													Total
	Under 1	1 to 2	2 to 3	3 to 4	4 to 5	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 & up		
Under 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20 to 24	0	0	0	28,767	42,334	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35,550	
25 to 29	0	0	0	28,767	37,442	55,251	0	0	0	0	0	0	49,715	
30 to 34	0	0	0	28,767	0	52,838	63,103	0	0	0	0	0	51,103	
35 to 39	0	0	0	0	42,334	0	63,103	48,878	0	0	0	0	51,616	
40 to 44	0	0	0	0	37,485	0	49,694	54,116	59,770	0	0	0	53,216	
45 to 49	0	0	0	28,767	0	29,283	59,942	56,309	0	56,438	0	0	46,776	
50 to 54	0	0	0	0	0	33,126	47,870	59,770	58,373	58,659	61,436	0	53,424	
55 to 59	0	0	0	0	42,334	39,793	49,694	46,960	58,975	56,438	63,103	61,028	51,937	
60 to 64	0	0	0	0	0	29,541	33,590	49,672	55,277	49,450	0	62,273	50,038	
65 to 69	0	0	0	0	0	0	32,841	0	0	42,463	0	0	36,048	
70 & up	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	28,767	39,551	45,169	48,921	51,810	58,138	55,219	62,269	61,917	50,889	

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX B
ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS**

The assumptions and methods used in the actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2014 are:

Actuarial Method

For the Retirement Plans of San Diego Transit Corporation (the Plan), the actuarial funding method used to determine the normal cost and the unfunded actuarial liability is the Individual Entry Age to Final Decrement cost method. This method is consistent with the method required under the new GASB accounting statements.

Under this Cost Method, the Normal Cost is calculated as the amount necessary to fund Members' benefits as a level percentage of total payroll over their projected working lives. At each valuation date, the Actuarial Liability is equal to the difference between the liability for the Members' total projected benefit and the present value of future Normal Cost contributions. The Normal Cost is determined for each member individually, based on the ratable value of each benefit expected to be accrued during the coming year. The total Normal Cost is calculated as the sum of the individual Normal Costs for all active members.

The excess of the Actuarial Liability over the smoothed value of Plan assets is the Unfunded Actuarial Liability; this liability as of July 1, 2012 is amortized in level dollar payments over a 25-year period ending June 30, 2037.

Amounts may be added to or subtracted from the Unfunded Actuarial Liability due to Plan amendments, changes in actuarial assumptions, and actuarial gains and losses. Each such addition or subtraction will be amortized over a separate period, of length from five to 30 years depending on the source. Actuarial gains and losses are amortized over closed separate 15-year periods. Though the Retirement Board may make exceptions, in general the intent is to follow the guidelines published by the California Actuarial Advisory Panel and the Government Finance Officers' Association.

The total Plan cost is the sum of the Normal Cost, assumed administrative expenses, and the amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Liability. The employer is responsible for contributing the difference between the total cost and member contributions.

Actuarial Value of Plan Assets

The Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) is determined using an adjusted Market Value. Under this method, a preliminary AVA is determined as the Market Value of Assets on the valuation date less a decreasing fraction (4/5, 3/5, 2/5, 1/5) of the gain or loss in each of the preceding four years. The gain or loss for a given year is the difference between the actual investment return (on a market-to-market basis) and the assumed investment return based on the Market Value of Assets at the beginning of the year and actual cash flow. The AVA is adjusted, if necessary, to remain between 80% and 120% of the Market Value.

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX B
ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Actuarial Assumptions

1. Valuation Date

All assets and liabilities are computed as of July 1, 2014.

2. Rate of Return

The annual rate of return on all Plan assets is assumed to be 7.50% net of investment expenses.

3. Cost of Living

The cost of living as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) will increase at the rate of 3.00% per year.

4. Post Retirement COLA

Benefits for Non-Contract retirees assumed to increase after retirement at the rate of 2.0% per year.

5. Pay for Benefits

In most cases, pay for benefits is based on each Participant's pay during the year preceding the valuation date. Special procedures are used in some cases, as noted below for full-time Participants.

<u>Unit</u>	<u>Pay for Continuing Participants</u>	<u>Pay for New Participants</u>
Drivers	The larger of gross pay or 1,800 hours times the member's hourly rate	
Mechanics	2,150 hours times the Participant's hourly rate	
Clerical	Gross pay	The larger of gross pay or 2,100 hours times the Participant's hourly rate
Non-Contract	Gross pay	The larger of gross pay or 2,080 hours times the Participant's hourly rate

Part-time Participants are assumed to work 1,040 hours in the calculations shown above.

APPENDIX B
ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

6. Increases in Pay

Assumed pay increases for active Participants consist of increases due to inflation (cost of living adjustments) and those due to longevity and promotion. Based on an analysis of pay levels and service, we developed the following assumptions:

For Drivers, we assume that pay increases due to longevity and promotion will be 7.5% per year for the first nine years of service and 0.5% per year thereafter.

For Mechanics, we assume that pay increases due to longevity and promotion will be 7.5% per year for the first ten years of service and 0.5% per year thereafter.

For Clerical Participants, we assume that pay increases due to longevity and promotion will be 11.0% per year for the first three years of service and 0.5% per year thereafter.

For Non-Contract Participants, we assume that pay increases due to longevity and promotion will be 9.0% per year for the first eight years of service and 0.25% thereafter.

In addition, annual adjustments in pay due to inflation will equal the CPI, for an additional annual increase of 3.0%. The combination of rates are compounded rather than using an additive method.

7. Active and Retired Participant Mortality

Rates of mortality for active and retired Drivers and Mechanics and their spouses, beneficiaries and survivors are given by the Retired Pensioners (RP) 2000 Combined Healthy Tables published by the Society of Actuaries, with a one year set-forward for females.

Rates of mortality for active and retired Clerical and Non-Contract Participants and their spouses, beneficiaries and survivors are given by the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality (GAM) Table published by the Society of Actuaries, weighting male rates by 50% and female rates by 50%.

8. Disabled Participant Mortality

Rates of mortality for disabled Drivers and Mechanics are given by the Retired Pensioners (RP) 2000 Combined Healthy Tables published by the Society of Actuaries, with a seven year set-forward for males.

Rates of mortality for Clerical and Non-Contract disabled Participants are given by the Mortality Table for Female Participants Receiving Social Security Benefits published by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC), with no age adjustment.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX B
ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS**

9. Mortality Improvement

No explicit provision for mortality improvement is included in this study. The mortality tables assumed for Plan funding were compared with actual experience over the years 2001 through 2010. We found that the actual number of deaths was 30% higher than the expected number for the total Plan. This means that there is a conservative implicit margin for future mortality improvement. Similar margins were also found when the retired population only was examined.

10. Disability

Among Drivers and Mechanics, 0.70% of Participants eligible for a disability benefit are assumed to become disabled each year. Disabled Participants are assumed not to return to active service.

11. Plan Expenses

Plan administrative expenses of \$250,000 are included in the annual cost calculated.

12. Family Composition

100% of active Participants are assumed to be married. Male spouses are assumed to be four years older than their wives.

13. Service Retirement

Retirement is assumed to occur in accordance with the rates shown in the following table:

Age	ATU	IBEW	Clerical/Non Contract
53-54	0%	0%	15%
55-58	10%	5%	15%
59	10%	10%	15%
60	15%	10%	15%
61	15%	10%	15%
62-64	30%	30%	60%
65	40%	55%	60%
66-69	30%	30%	60%
70 and older	100%	100%	100%

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX B
ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS**

14. Termination

Termination for ATU and IBEW Participants is assumed to occur in accordance with the rates shown in the following table:

Age	0-1 Years	2-3 Years	4-9 Years	10+ Years
20-24	25.0%	14.0%	8.0%	1.3%
25-29	25.0%	14.0%	8.0%	1.3%
30-34	25.0%	14.0%	8.0%	1.3%
35-39	25.0%	14.0%	8.0%	1.3%
40-44	25.0%	14.0%	8.0%	1.3%
45-49	25.0%	14.0%	8.0%	1.3%
50-54	25.0%	14.0%	8.0%	1.3%
55 and older	25.0%	14.0%	8.0%	0.0%

Termination for Non-Contract Participants is assumed to occur in accordance with the rates shown in the following table:

Age	0-3 Years	4-9 Years	10+ Years
20-24	20.0%	10.0%	3.0%
25-29	20.0%	10.0%	3.0%
30-34	20.0%	10.0%	3.0%
35-39	20.0%	10.0%	3.0%
40-44	20.0%	10.0%	3.0%
45-49	20.0%	10.0%	3.0%
50-54	20.0%	10.0%	3.0%
55-59	20.0%	10.0%	3.0%
60 and older	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Termination for Clerical Participants is assumed to occur in accordance with the rates shown in the following table:

Age	Rate
20-24	25.0%
25-29	11.0%
30-34	13.0%
35-39	17.0%
40-44	12.0%
45-49	8.0%
50-52	5.0%
53-54	5.0%
55 and older	0.0%

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX B
ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS**

15. Employment Status

No future transfers among Participant groups are assumed.

16. Changes in Actuarial Methods and Assumptions since Prior Valuation

An explicit assumption for annual administrative expenses of \$250,000 is included in the Plan cost.

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

A. Definitions

Average Monthly

Final Earnings: Average Monthly Final Earnings means the average monthly compensation during the consecutive months that produces a Participant's highest average compensation, computed by dividing the Compensation Earnable for such period by the number of months in such period.

- For ATU, IBEW, and Clerical Participants, the averaging period is thirty-six (36) consecutive months.
- For Non-Contract Participants, the number of consecutive months is twelve (12).
- Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA): For Non-Contract Participants hired on and after July 1, 2013, the number of consecutive months is thirty-six (36).
- Those months during which the Participant did not receive Compensation from the Employer equivalent to one half the regular working days will be excluded. The average is then based on that portion of the averaging period remaining after the excluded months.
- PEPRA: It is possible that exclusions for months in which the Participant did not work full-time may be subject to change.
- Use the total of the Periodic Pensionable Earnings from the highest three calendar (payroll) years. These years need not be consecutive years. There shall be no skips and drops within the three calendar (payroll) years. Add the total Periodic Pensionable Earnings to Terminal Earnings and then divide by 36.

Compensation: Compensation means the remuneration for services paid by the Employer. The monetary value of board, lodgings, fuel, car allowance, laundry or other advantages furnished to a Participant is not included.

PEPRA: For Participants joining the Plan on and after July 1, 2013, only base compensation up to the Social Security Taxable Wage Base (\$115,064 for 2014 and \$117,020 for 2015) will count for computing Plan benefits and employee contributions; in particular, all or most overtime will be excluded.

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Compensation

Earnable:

Compensation Earnable is the Compensation actually received by a Participant during a period of employment. For ATU and Non-Contract Participants, any bonus or retroactive wage increases are treated as compensation when received rather than when the services are performed. For IBEW Participants, Compensation Earnable is limited to 2,140 hours of straight time equivalent hours in any 12-month period.

In addition, the value of any vacation or sick leave accumulated but unused when benefits begin is excluded from Compensation Earnable and from Average Monthly Final Earnings.

PEPRA: For Participants joining the Plan on and after July 1, 2013, it is likely that some sources of compensation, such as those underlined above, may be excluded from benefit and contribution computations for these new Participants.

Credited Years

Of Service:

In general, Credited Years of Service is continuous Service with the San Diego Transit Corporation and its predecessor company from the last date of employment through the date of retirement, death, disability, or other termination of service.

As of November 10, 1997, part-time ATU employees receive one Credited Year of Service for every 2,080 Hours of Service worked as a part-time employee after December 1, 1990.

For Non-Contract Participants, Credited Years of Service includes any year commencing on or after July 1, 1982 in which the Participant completes at least 1,000 Hours of Service. In addition, Credited Years of Service for Non-Contract Participants will exclude any period of Service after the Participant's Normal Retirement Date.

A Participant who is disabled and recovers from disability and reenters the Plan as an active Participant will not receive Credited Years of Service for the period of disability.

B. Membership

All full-time and certain part-time IBEW employees hired prior to May 1, 2011 will become Participants on their date of hire. IBEW employees hired on and after May 1, 2011 will become Participants of a separate defined contribution plan and will not be Participants of this Plan.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

All full-time and certain part-time ATU employees hired prior to November 1, 2012 will become Participants on their date of hire. ATU employees hired on and after November 1, 2012 will become Participants of a separate defined contribution plan and will not be Participants of this Plan.

All Non-Contract employees become Participants after earning one Credited Year of Service.

PEPRA: Any Participant joining the Plan for the first time on or after January 1, 2013 is a New Participant.

C. Retirement Benefit

Eligibility: Clerical and Non-Contract Participants are eligible for normal service retirement upon attaining age 63 and completing five or more Credited Years of Service and eligible for early service retirement upon attaining age 53 and completing five or more Credited Years of Service.

ATU and IBEW Participants are eligible for normal service retirement upon attaining age 63 (65 for IBEW) and completing five or more Credited Years of Service and eligible for early service retirement upon attaining age 55 and completing five or more Credited Years of Service.

PEPRA: New Participants are eligible to retire upon attaining age 52 and completing five or more Credited Years of Service.

Benefit Amount: The monthly service retirement benefit is the Participant's Average Monthly Final Earnings multiplied by the percentage figures shown in the tables below.

- For ATU and Clerical Participants terminating prior to October 1, 2005, ATU/Clerical Table A-1 is used; for ATU and Clerical Participants terminating on and after October 1, 2005, ATU/Clerical Table A-2 is used. Prior to July 1, 2006, the benefit from the table is limited to 60%.
- For IBEW Participants terminating prior to January 1, 2007, IBEW Table A-1 is used; for IBEW Participants terminating on and after January 1, 2007, IBEW Table A-2 is used.
- For Non-Contract participants terminating prior to July 1, 2000, Non-Contract Table A-1 is used; for Non-Contract participants terminating on and after July 1, 2000, Non-Contract Table A-2 is used.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

For Participants with fractions of a year of age or service, the Participant's age or service will be rounded to the completed quarter year, and the percentage multiplier will be computed from the table using interpolation.

ATU participants who are active from November 10, 1997 to December 31, 1998 and from November 10, 1997 to December 31, 1999 receive an additional 2.5% and 2.5%, respectively. However, the multiplier from Table A-1 or A-2, as augmented by the additional 2.5% increments, is still limited to 60% prior to July 1, 2006 and 70% thereafter.

Non-Contract Participants who are active as of July 1, 1994 and July 1, 1995 receive an additional 6% and 2%, respectively. However, the benefit multiplier, as augmented by the additional 6% and 2% increments, is still limited to 60% under Table A-1 and 70% under Table A-2.

A Participant who is disabled and recovers from disability and reenters the Plan as an active Participant will have this benefit amount reduced by the actuarial equivalent of the benefits paid during the period of disability.

PEPRA: For New Participants, the benefit multiplier will be 1% at age 52, increasing by 0.1% for each year of age to 2.5% at 67. In between exact ages, the multiplier will increase by 0.025% for each quarter year increase in age.

Form of Benefit: The normal form of benefit is an annuity payable for the life of the Participant, with no continuation of benefits to a beneficiary after death. The retirement benefit will be paid as a 50% Joint and Survivor benefit actuarially equivalent to the normal form for participants who have been married for at least one year. Otherwise, the normal form will be paid.

Because Participants will be making employee contributions, the Participant's beneficiaries may be eligible to receive a refund of accumulated contributions that exceed the benefits paid out to the Participant (if any) upon death.

The ATU and IBEW benefits have been amended from time to time to remove the actuarial reduction in benefits for previously retired Participants whose spouses have died before them. However, these adjustments are retroactive only, and they do not apply to benefits paid to currently active Participants.

ATU and IBEW Participants may elect an Alternative Retirement Formula if they terminate employment before early retirement but after 10 Credited Years of Service or were hired between April 1, 1968 and March 31, 1971

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

and desire to retire at their Normal Retirement Date. These Participants are eligible for a deferred benefit commencing at age 65 based on Table B.

Tables A-1 and A-2 for each employee group, as well as Table B, can be found at the end of Appendix C herein.

D. Disability Retirement Benefit

Eligibility: A Participant is eligible for a Disability Retirement Benefit if:

- The Participant has earned five Credited Years of Service (ATU, IBEW, Clerical and Non-Contract), and
- The Participant is unable to perform the duties of his or her job with the Corporation, cannot be transferred to another job with the Corporation, and has submitted satisfactory medical evidence of permanent disqualification from his or her job.

Benefit Amount: The Disability Retirement Benefit is a monthly benefit equal to the lesser of:

1. $1\frac{1}{2}\%$ times Credited Years of Service at Disability Retirement Date times the Participant's Average Monthly Final Earnings; and,
2. The Normal Retirement Benefit calculated using the Average Monthly Final Earnings at Disability Retirement Date and the projected Credited Years of Service to Normal Retirement Date.

The benefit is reduced by 50% of the amount of any earned income from other sources in excess of 50% of the Participant's Average Monthly Earnings during the 12 months prior to disability; this reduction applies to all IBEW and Non-Contract Participants, but only to ATU Participants hired after June 30, 1983.

PEPRA: Note that the Disability Retirement Benefit for New Participants is based on the new definition of Compensation, which is subject to a maximum and excludes overtime.

Form of Benefit: The normal form of benefit is an annuity commencing at disability and payable for the life of the Participant, with no continuation of benefits to a beneficiary after death. The Disability Retirement Benefit will be paid as a 50% Joint and Survivor benefit actuarially equivalent to the normal form

APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

for participants who have been married for at least one year. Otherwise, the normal form will be paid.

Because Participants will be making employee contributions, the Participant's beneficiaries may be eligible to receive a refund of accumulated contributions that exceed the benefits paid out to the Participant (if any) upon death.

The ATU and IBEW benefits have been amended from time to time to remove the actuarial reduction in benefits for previously retired Participants whose spouses have died before them. However, these adjustments are retroactive only, and they do not apply to benefits paid to currently active Participants.

E. Pre-Retirement Death Benefit

Eligibility: A vested Participant is entitled to elect coverage of a pre-retirement spouse's benefit.

For years a Participant is age 55 or under, the cost of the coverage is paid by the Company. For the years a Participant is over age 55 and has elected this coverage the cost of this coverage is paid by the Participant in the form of a reduced benefit upon retirement. The reduction is 3.5¢ per \$10 of monthly benefit for each year of coverage.

There is no cost for this benefit for any ATU, Clerical, or Non-Contract Participant whose monthly benefit commences after November 27, 1990. There is no cost for this benefit for any IBEW Participant whose monthly benefit commences after December 3, 1996.

In order for the spouse to be eligible for this benefit, the participant must be married to the spouse for one year prior to death, unless death occurs from accidental causes.

Benefit Amount: For a Participant who is eligible to retire at death, the pre-retirement death benefit is 50% of the benefit that would have been payable had the Participant retired immediately prior to his or her death and elected to receive a 50% Joint and Survivor annuity.

For a Participant who dies before being eligible to retire, the pre-retirement death benefit is 50% of the benefit that would have been payable had the Participant survived to his or her earliest retirement date, retired, elected to receive a 50% Joint and Survivor annuity, and died immediately.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

PEPRA: Note that the Pre-Retirement Death Benefit for New Participants is based on the new definition of Compensation, which is subject to a maximum and excludes overtime.

Form of Benefit: For a Participant who is eligible to retire at death, the death benefit begins when the Participant dies and continues for the life of the surviving spouse.

For a Participant who dies before being eligible to retire, the death benefit begins when the Participant would have reached his or her earliest retirement date and continues for the life of the surviving spouse.

Because Participants will be making employee contributions, the Participant's beneficiaries may be eligible to receive a refund of accumulated contributions that exceed the benefits paid out to the Participant or spouse (if any) upon death.

F. Termination Benefit

Eligibility: A Participant is eligible for a termination benefit after earning five Credited Years of Service.

Benefit Amount: The termination benefit is computed in the same manner as the Normal Retirement Benefit, but it is based on Credited Years of Service and Average Monthly Final Earnings on the date of termination.

Effective July 1, 2000, Non-Contract participants who terminate prior to eligibility for early service retirement will have their benefits actuarially reduced if they begin receiving benefits before Normal Retirement Age.

PEPRA: For New Participants, the benefit multiplier will be 1% at age 52, increasing by 0.1% for each year of age to 2.5% at 67. In between exact ages, the multiplier will increase by 0.025% for each quarter year increase in age. Note also that the Termination Benefit for New Participants is based on the new definition of Compensation, which is subject to a maximum and excludes overtime.

We assume a refund of employee contributions, with no interest, if termination occurs before five years of service.

Form of Benefit: The Participant will be eligible to commence benefits at the later of termination and earliest retirement eligibility age.

APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

The normal form of benefit is an annuity payable for the life of the Participant, with no continuation of benefits to a beneficiary after death. The retirement benefit will be paid as a 50% Joint and Survivor benefit actuarially equivalent to the normal form for participants who have been married for at least one year. Otherwise, the normal form will be paid.

Because Participants will be making employee contributions, the Participant's beneficiaries may be eligible to receive a refund of accumulated contributions that exceed the benefits paid out to the (if any) upon death.

The ATU and IBEW benefits have been amended from time to time to remove the actuarial reduction in benefits for previously retired Participants whose spouses have died before them. However, these adjustments are retroactive only, and they do not apply to benefits paid to currently active Participants.

G. Cost of Living Adjustments

Eligibility: An annual Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) has been added for Non-Contract Participants who were actively employed on or after June 30, 1999. One time only (ad hoc) COLAs were granted to ATU and IBEW Participants in 1991 and 1992.

Benefit Amount: For Non-Contract Participants, the cumulative COLA is the increase in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) since the Participant began receiving benefits.

The COLA is subject to the following limits for Non-Contract Participants:

- The cumulative COLA cannot exceed 2% compounded annually for all years since the Participant's benefits began;
- The annual COLA is zero if the CPI increase in that year is less than 1%;
- The annual COLA is limited to 6% of the initial benefit amount in any year; and,
- A Participant's benefit cannot be reduced below the benefit level when payments commenced.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

H. Voluntary Early Retirement Program

The Plan provided enhanced benefits to ATU participants who voluntarily elected early retirement during the window period from July 1, 1998 through February 20, 1998.

The Plan provided enhanced benefits to certain IBEW participants who voluntarily elected early retirement during the window period from July 1, 2004 through December 31, 2004.

I. DROP Program

The Plan provided DROP benefits to a number of ATU participants who elected retirement from July 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002.

J. Funding

IBEW members contributed 2% of Compensation to the Plan prior to April, 2013. The IBEW member contribution increased to 3% of Compensation in April 2013 and 4% of Compensation in April 2014. The contribution rate will increase to 6% of Compensation in April of 2015 and 8% of Compensation in April 2016.

ATU and clerical members contributed 2% of Compensation to the Plan prior to July, 2013. The ATU and clerical member contributions increased to 3% of Compensation in July 2013 and to 5% in July 2014. Future contribution rates may change in response to collective bargaining.

Non-contract members hired before July 1, 2013, will contribute 2% of Compensation to the Plan. This Non-contract member contribution increased to 4% in January 2014 and will increase to 6% of Compensation in January 2015, as reviewed and adjusted annually by the MTS Board.

PEPRA: New Members must contribute half of the normal cost of the Plan, rounded to the nearest 0.25%. Currently, PEPRA members are paying 6.25% of pay and the employer pays the remaining cost of the Plan.

The Corporation pays the actuarial cost of the Plan as reduced by Member contributions.

K. Changes in Plan Provisions since Prior Valuation

None.

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

ATU/Clerical Table A-1: Retirement Benefit Multipliers

Credited Years Of Service	Age at Retirement								
	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63+
5	5.9%	6.3%	6.7%	7.2%	7.8%	8.3%	8.9%	9.5%	10.1%
6	7.1%	7.5%	8.1%	8.7%	9.3%	10.0%	10.7%	11.4%	12.1%
7	8.2%	8.8%	9.4%	10.1%	10.9%	11.7%	12.4%	13.3%	14.1%
8	9.4%	10.1%	10.8%	11.6%	12.4%	13.3%	14.2%	15.1%	16.1%
9	10.6%	11.3%	12.1%	13.0%	14.0%	15.0%	16.0%	17.0%	18.1%
10	11.8%	12.6%	13.5%	14.4%	15.5%	16.7%	17.8%	18.9%	20.1%
11	12.9%	13.8%	14.8%	15.9%	17.1%	18.3%	19.5%	20.8%	22.2%
12	14.1%	15.1%	16.2%	17.3%	18.6%	20.0%	21.3%	22.7%	24.2%
13	15.3%	16.3%	17.5%	18.8%	20.2%	21.7%	23.1%	24.6%	26.2%
14	16.5%	17.6%	18.9%	20.2%	21.7%	23.3%	24.9%	26.5%	28.2%
15	17.6%	18.9%	20.2%	21.7%	23.3%	25.0%	26.7%	28.4%	30.2%
16	18.8%	20.1%	21.5%	23.1%	24.8%	26.7%	28.4%	30.3%	32.2%
17	20.0%	21.4%	22.9%	24.5%	26.4%	28.3%	30.2%	32.2%	34.3%
18	21.2%	22.6%	24.2%	26.0%	27.9%	30.0%	32.0%	34.1%	36.3%
19	22.3%	23.9%	25.6%	27.4%	29.5%	31.7%	33.8%	36.0%	38.3%
20	23.5%	25.2%	26.9%	28.9%	31.0%	33.3%	35.5%	37.9%	40.3%
21	24.7%	26.4%	28.3%	30.3%	32.6%	35.0%	37.3%	39.7%	42.3%
22	25.9%	27.7%	29.6%	31.8%	34.1%	36.7%	39.1%	41.6%	44.3%
23	27.0%	28.9%	31.0%	33.2%	35.7%	38.3%	40.9%	43.5%	46.3%
24	28.2%	30.2%	32.3%	34.6%	37.2%	40.0%	42.6%	45.4%	48.4%
25	29.4%	31.4%	33.7%	36.1%	38.8%	41.7%	44.4%	47.3%	50.4%
26	30.6%	32.7%	35.0%	37.5%	40.3%	43.3%	46.2%	49.2%	52.4%
27	31.7%	34.0%	36.4%	39.0%	41.9%	45.0%	48.0%	51.1%	54.4%
28	32.9%	35.2%	37.7%	40.4%	43.4%	46.7%	49.8%	52.0%	56.4%
29	34.1%	36.5%	39.1%	41.9%	45.0%	48.3%	50.0%	55.0%	58.4%
30	35.3%	37.7%	40.4%	43.4%	46.5%	50.0%	51.0%	55.5%	60.0%
31	36.5%	39.0%	41.7%	44.8%	48.1%	51.0%	51.5%	56.0%	60.0%
32	37.6%	40.2%	43.1%	46.2%	49.6%	51.5%	52.0%	56.5%	60.0%
33	38.8%	41.5%	44.4%	47.6%	50.0%	52.0%	52.5%	57.0%	60.0%
34	40.0%	42.8%	45.8%	49.1%	51.0%	52.5%	53.0%	57.5%	60.0%
35 or more	41.2%	44.0%	47.1%	50.0%	51.5%	53.0%	53.5%	58.0%	60.0%

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

ATU/Clerical Table A-2: Retirement Benefit Multipliers

Credited Years Of Service	Age at Retirement										
	Clerical										
	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63+
5	8.71%	9.33%	10.00%	10.26%	10.52%	10.78%	11.05%	11.31%	11.57%	11.83%	12.09%
6	10.45%	11.20%	12.00%	12.31%	12.62%	12.94%	13.26%	13.57%	13.88%	14.20%	14.51%
7	12.19%	13.06%	14.00%	14.36%	14.73%	15.09%	15.47%	15.83%	16.20%	16.56%	16.93%
8	13.94%	14.93%	16.00%	16.42%	16.83%	17.25%	17.68%	18.10%	18.51%	18.93%	19.34%
9	15.68%	16.79%	18.00%	18.47%	18.94%	19.40%	19.89%	20.36%	20.83%	21.29%	21.76%
10	17.42%	18.66%	20.00%	20.52%	21.04%	21.56%	22.10%	22.62%	23.14%	23.66%	24.18%
11	19.16%	20.53%	22.00%	22.57%	23.14%	23.72%	24.31%	24.88%	25.45%	26.03%	26.60%
12	20.90%	22.39%	24.00%	24.62%	25.25%	25.87%	26.52%	27.14%	27.77%	28.39%	29.02%
13	22.65%	24.26%	26.00%	26.68%	27.35%	28.03%	28.73%	29.41%	30.08%	30.76%	31.43%
14	24.39%	26.12%	28.00%	28.73%	29.46%	30.18%	30.94%	31.67%	32.40%	33.12%	33.85%
15	26.13%	27.99%	30.00%	30.78%	31.56%	32.34%	33.15%	33.93%	34.71%	35.49%	36.27%
16	27.87%	29.86%	32.00%	32.83%	33.66%	34.50%	35.36%	36.19%	37.02%	37.86%	38.69%
17	29.61%	31.72%	34.00%	34.88%	35.77%	36.65%	37.57%	38.45%	39.34%	40.22%	41.11%
18	31.36%	33.59%	36.00%	36.94%	37.87%	38.81%	39.78%	40.72%	41.65%	42.59%	43.52%
19	33.10%	35.45%	38.00%	38.99%	39.98%	40.96%	41.99%	42.98%	43.97%	44.95%	45.94%
20	34.84%	37.32%	40.00%	41.04%	42.08%	43.12%	44.20%	45.24%	46.28%	47.32%	48.36%
21	36.58%	39.19%	42.00%	43.09%	44.18%	45.28%	46.41%	47.50%	48.59%	49.69%	50.78%
22	38.32%	41.05%	44.00%	45.14%	46.29%	47.43%	48.62%	49.76%	50.91%	52.05%	53.20%
23	40.07%	42.92%	46.00%	47.20%	48.39%	49.59%	50.83%	52.03%	53.22%	54.42%	55.61%
24	41.81%	44.78%	48.00%	49.25%	50.50%	51.74%	53.04%	54.29%	55.54%	56.78%	58.03%
25	43.55%	46.65%	50.00%	51.30%	52.60%	53.90%	55.25%	56.55%	57.85%	59.15%	60.45%
26	45.29%	48.52%	52.00%	53.35%	54.70%	56.06%	57.46%	58.81%	60.16%	61.52%	62.87%
27	47.03%	50.38%	54.00%	55.40%	56.81%	58.21%	59.67%	61.07%	62.48%	63.88%	65.29%
28	48.78%	52.25%	56.00%	57.46%	58.91%	60.37%	61.88%	63.34%	64.79%	66.25%	67.70%
29	50.52%	54.11%	58.00%	59.51%	61.02%	62.52%	64.09%	65.60%	67.11%	68.61%	70.00%
30	52.26%	55.98%	60.00%	61.56%	63.12%	64.68%	66.30%	67.86%	69.42%	70.00%	70.00%
31	54.00%	57.85%	62.00%	63.61%	65.22%	66.84%	68.51%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
32	55.74%	59.71%	64.00%	65.66%	67.33%	68.99%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
33	57.49%	61.58%	66.00%	67.72%	69.43%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
34	59.23%	63.44%	68.00%	69.77%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
35 or more	60.97%	65.31%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

IBEW Table A-1: Retirement Benefit Multipliers

Credited Years Of Service	Age at Retirement										
	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65+
5	5.2%	5.5%	5.9%	6.3%	6.7%	7.2%	7.8%	8.3%	8.9%	9.5%	10.1%
6	6.2%	6.6%	7.1%	7.5%	8.1%	8.7%	9.3%	10.0%	10.7%	11.4%	12.1%
7	7.2%	7.7%	8.2%	8.8%	9.4%	10.1%	10.9%	11.7%	12.4%	13.3%	14.1%
8	8.2%	8.8%	9.4%	10.1%	10.8%	11.6%	12.4%	13.3%	14.2%	15.1%	16.1%
9	9.3%	9.9%	10.6%	11.3%	12.1%	13.0%	14.0%	15.0%	16.0%	17.0%	18.1%
10	10.2%	11.0%	11.8%	12.6%	13.5%	14.4%	15.5%	16.7%	17.8%	18.9%	20.1%
11	11.2%	12.1%	12.9%	13.8%	14.8%	15.9%	17.1%	18.3%	19.5%	20.8%	22.2%
12	12.3%	13.2%	14.1%	15.1%	16.2%	17.3%	18.6%	20.0%	21.3%	22.7%	24.2%
13	13.3%	14.3%	15.3%	16.3%	17.5%	18.8%	20.2%	21.7%	23.1%	24.6%	26.2%
14	14.4%	15.4%	16.5%	17.6%	18.9%	20.2%	21.7%	23.3%	24.9%	26.5%	28.2%
15	15.4%	16.5%	17.6%	18.9%	20.2%	21.7%	23.3%	25.0%	26.7%	28.4%	30.2%
16	16.4%	17.6%	18.8%	20.1%	21.5%	23.1%	24.8%	26.7%	28.4%	30.3%	32.2%
17	17.5%	18.7%	20.0%	21.4%	22.9%	24.5%	26.4%	28.3%	30.2%	32.2%	34.3%
18	18.5%	19.8%	21.2%	22.6%	24.2%	26.0%	27.9%	30.0%	32.0%	34.1%	36.3%
19	19.6%	20.9%	22.3%	23.9%	25.6%	27.4%	29.5%	31.7%	33.8%	36.0%	38.3%
20	20.6%	22.0%	23.5%	25.2%	26.9%	28.9%	31.0%	33.3%	35.5%	37.9%	40.3%
21	21.6%	23.1%	24.7%	26.4%	28.3%	30.3%	32.6%	35.0%	37.3%	39.7%	42.3%
22	22.7%	24.2%	25.9%	27.7%	29.6%	31.8%	34.1%	36.7%	39.1%	41.6%	44.3%
23	23.7%	25.3%	27.0%	28.9%	31.0%	33.2%	35.7%	38.3%	40.9%	43.5%	46.3%
24	24.8%	26.4%	28.2%	30.2%	32.3%	34.6%	37.2%	40.0%	42.6%	45.4%	48.4%
25	25.8%	27.5%	29.4%	31.4%	33.7%	36.1%	38.8%	41.7%	44.4%	47.3%	50.4%
26	26.9%	28.6%	30.6%	32.7%	35.0%	37.5%	40.3%	43.3%	46.2%	49.2%	52.4%
27	27.9%	29.7%	31.7%	34.0%	36.4%	39.0%	41.9%	45.0%	48.0%	51.1%	54.4%
28	29.0%	30.9%	32.9%	35.2%	37.7%	40.4%	43.4%	46.7%	49.8%	52.0%	56.4%
29	30.0%	32.0%	34.1%	36.5%	39.1%	41.9%	45.0%	48.3%	50.0%	55.0%	58.4%
30	31.1%	33.1%	35.3%	37.7%	40.4%	43.4%	46.5%	50.0%	51.0%	55.5%	60.0%
31	32.1%	34.2%	36.5%	39.0%	41.7%	44.8%	48.1%	51.0%	51.5%	56.0%	60.0%
32	33.2%	35.3%	37.6%	40.2%	43.1%	46.2%	49.6%	51.5%	52.0%	56.5%	60.0%
33	34.3%	36.5%	38.8%	41.5%	44.4%	47.6%	50.0%	52.0%	52.5%	57.0%	60.0%
34	35.4%	37.6%	40.0%	42.8%	45.8%	49.1%	51.0%	52.5%	53.0%	57.5%	60.0%
35 or more	36.5%	38.7%	41.2%	44.0%	47.1%	50.0%	51.5%	53.0%	53.5%	58.0%	60.0%

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

IBEW Table A-2: Retirement Benefit Multipliers

Credited Years Of Service	Age at Retirement								
	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63+
5	10.00%	10.26%	10.52%	10.78%	11.05%	11.31%	11.57%	11.83%	12.09%
6	12.00%	12.31%	12.62%	12.94%	13.26%	13.57%	13.88%	14.20%	14.51%
7	14.00%	14.36%	14.73%	15.09%	15.47%	15.83%	16.20%	16.56%	16.93%
8	16.00%	16.42%	16.83%	17.25%	17.68%	18.10%	18.51%	18.93%	19.34%
9	18.00%	18.47%	18.94%	19.40%	19.89%	20.36%	20.83%	21.29%	21.76%
10	20.00%	20.52%	21.04%	21.56%	22.10%	22.62%	23.14%	23.66%	24.18%
11	22.00%	22.57%	23.14%	23.72%	24.31%	24.88%	25.45%	26.03%	26.60%
12	24.00%	24.62%	25.25%	25.87%	26.52%	27.14%	27.77%	28.39%	29.02%
13	26.00%	26.68%	27.35%	28.03%	28.73%	29.41%	30.08%	30.76%	31.43%
14	28.00%	28.73%	29.46%	30.18%	30.94%	31.67%	32.40%	33.12%	33.85%
15	30.00%	30.78%	31.56%	32.34%	33.15%	33.93%	34.71%	35.49%	36.27%
16	32.00%	32.83%	33.66%	34.50%	35.36%	36.19%	37.02%	37.86%	38.69%
17	34.00%	34.88%	35.77%	36.65%	37.57%	38.45%	39.34%	40.22%	41.11%
18	36.00%	36.94%	37.87%	38.81%	39.78%	40.72%	41.65%	42.59%	43.52%
19	38.00%	38.99%	39.98%	40.96%	41.99%	42.98%	43.97%	44.95%	45.94%
20	40.00%	41.04%	42.08%	43.12%	44.20%	45.24%	46.28%	47.32%	48.36%
21	42.00%	43.09%	44.18%	45.28%	46.41%	47.50%	48.59%	49.69%	50.78%
22	44.00%	45.14%	46.29%	47.43%	48.62%	49.76%	50.91%	52.05%	53.20%
23	46.00%	47.20%	48.39%	49.59%	50.83%	52.03%	53.22%	54.42%	55.61%
24	48.00%	49.25%	50.50%	51.74%	53.04%	54.29%	55.54%	56.78%	58.03%
25	50.00%	51.30%	52.60%	53.90%	55.25%	56.55%	57.85%	59.15%	60.45%
26	52.00%	53.35%	54.70%	56.06%	57.46%	58.81%	60.16%	61.52%	62.87%
27	54.00%	55.40%	56.81%	58.21%	59.67%	61.07%	62.48%	63.88%	65.29%
28	56.00%	57.46%	58.91%	60.37%	61.88%	63.34%	64.79%	66.25%	67.70%
29	58.00%	59.51%	61.02%	62.52%	64.09%	65.60%	67.11%	68.61%	70.00%
30	60.00%	61.56%	63.12%	64.68%	66.30%	67.86%	69.42%	70.00%	70.00%
31	62.00%	63.61%	65.22%	66.84%	68.51%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
32	64.00%	65.66%	67.33%	68.99%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
33	66.00%	67.72%	69.43%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
34	68.00%	69.77%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
35 or more	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

Non-Contract Table A-1: Retirement Benefit Multipliers

Credited Years Of Service	Age at Retirement										
	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63+
5	5.2%	5.5%	5.9%	6.3%	6.7%	7.2%	7.8%	8.3%	8.9%	9.5%	10.1%
6	6.2%	6.6%	7.1%	7.5%	8.1%	8.7%	9.3%	10.0%	10.7%	11.4%	12.1%
7	7.2%	7.7%	8.2%	8.8%	9.4%	10.1%	10.9%	11.7%	12.4%	13.3%	14.1%
8	8.2%	8.8%	9.4%	10.1%	10.8%	11.6%	12.4%	13.3%	14.2%	15.1%	16.1%
9	9.3%	9.9%	10.6%	11.3%	12.1%	13.0%	14.0%	15.0%	16.0%	17.0%	18.1%
10	10.2%	11.0%	11.8%	12.6%	13.5%	14.4%	15.5%	16.7%	17.8%	18.9%	20.1%
11	11.2%	12.1%	12.9%	13.8%	14.8%	15.9%	17.1%	18.3%	19.5%	20.8%	22.2%
12	12.3%	13.2%	14.1%	15.1%	16.2%	17.3%	18.6%	20.0%	21.3%	22.7%	24.2%
13	13.3%	14.3%	15.3%	16.3%	17.5%	18.8%	20.2%	21.7%	23.1%	24.6%	26.2%
14	14.4%	15.4%	16.5%	17.6%	18.9%	20.2%	21.7%	23.3%	24.9%	26.5%	28.2%
15	15.4%	16.5%	17.6%	18.9%	20.2%	21.7%	23.3%	25.0%	26.7%	28.4%	30.2%
16	16.4%	17.6%	18.8%	20.1%	21.5%	23.1%	24.8%	26.7%	28.4%	30.3%	32.2%
17	17.5%	18.7%	20.0%	21.4%	22.9%	24.5%	26.4%	28.3%	30.2%	32.2%	34.3%
18	18.5%	19.8%	21.2%	22.6%	24.2%	26.0%	27.9%	30.0%	32.0%	34.1%	36.3%
19	19.6%	20.9%	22.3%	23.9%	25.6%	27.4%	29.5%	31.7%	33.8%	36.0%	38.3%
20	20.6%	22.0%	23.5%	25.2%	26.9%	28.9%	31.0%	33.3%	35.5%	37.9%	40.3%
21	21.6%	23.1%	24.7%	26.4%	28.3%	30.3%	32.6%	35.0%	37.3%	39.7%	42.3%
22	22.7%	24.2%	25.9%	27.7%	29.6%	31.8%	34.1%	36.7%	39.1%	41.6%	44.3%
23	23.7%	25.3%	27.0%	28.9%	31.0%	33.2%	35.7%	38.3%	40.9%	43.5%	46.3%
24	24.8%	26.4%	28.2%	30.2%	32.3%	34.6%	37.2%	40.0%	42.6%	45.4%	48.4%
25	25.8%	27.5%	29.4%	31.4%	33.7%	36.1%	38.8%	41.7%	44.4%	47.3%	50.4%
26	26.9%	28.6%	30.6%	32.7%	35.0%	37.5%	40.3%	43.3%	46.2%	49.2%	52.4%
27	27.9%	29.7%	31.7%	34.0%	36.4%	39.0%	41.9%	45.0%	48.0%	51.1%	54.4%
28	29.0%	30.9%	32.9%	35.2%	37.7%	40.4%	43.4%	46.7%	49.8%	52.0%	56.4%
29	30.0%	32.0%	34.1%	36.5%	39.1%	41.9%	45.0%	48.3%	50.0%	55.0%	58.4%
30	31.1%	33.1%	35.3%	37.7%	40.4%	43.4%	46.5%	50.0%	51.0%	55.5%	60.0%
31	32.1%	34.2%	36.5%	39.0%	41.7%	44.8%	48.1%	51.0%	51.5%	56.0%	60.0%
32	33.2%	35.3%	37.6%	40.2%	43.1%	46.2%	49.6%	51.5%	52.0%	56.5%	60.0%
33	34.3%	36.5%	38.8%	41.5%	44.4%	47.6%	50.0%	52.0%	52.5%	57.0%	60.0%
34	35.4%	37.6%	40.0%	42.8%	45.8%	49.1%	51.0%	52.5%	53.0%	57.5%	60.0%
35 or more	36.5%	38.7%	41.2%	44.0%	47.1%	50.0%	51.5%	53.0%	53.5%	58.0%	60.0%

**RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014**

**APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

Non-Contract Table A-2: Retirement Benefit Multipliers

Credited Years Of Service	Age at Retirement										
	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63+
5	8.71%	9.33%	10.00%	10.26%	10.52%	10.78%	11.05%	11.31%	11.57%	11.83%	12.09%
6	10.45%	11.20%	12.00%	12.31%	12.62%	12.94%	13.26%	13.57%	13.88%	14.20%	14.51%
7	12.19%	13.06%	14.00%	14.36%	14.73%	15.09%	15.47%	15.83%	16.20%	16.56%	16.93%
8	13.94%	14.93%	16.00%	16.42%	16.83%	17.25%	17.68%	18.10%	18.51%	18.93%	19.34%
9	15.68%	16.79%	18.00%	18.47%	18.94%	19.40%	19.89%	20.36%	20.83%	21.29%	21.76%
10	17.42%	18.66%	20.00%	20.52%	21.04%	21.56%	22.10%	22.62%	23.14%	23.66%	24.18%
11	19.16%	20.53%	22.00%	22.57%	23.14%	23.72%	24.31%	24.88%	25.45%	26.03%	26.60%
12	20.90%	22.39%	24.00%	24.62%	25.25%	25.87%	26.52%	27.14%	27.77%	28.39%	29.02%
13	22.65%	24.26%	26.00%	26.68%	27.35%	28.03%	28.73%	29.41%	30.08%	30.76%	31.43%
14	24.39%	26.12%	28.00%	28.73%	29.46%	30.18%	30.94%	31.67%	32.40%	33.12%	33.85%
15	26.13%	27.99%	30.00%	30.78%	31.56%	32.34%	33.15%	33.93%	34.71%	35.49%	36.27%
16	27.87%	29.86%	32.00%	32.83%	33.66%	34.50%	35.36%	36.19%	37.02%	37.86%	38.69%
17	29.61%	31.72%	34.00%	34.88%	35.77%	36.65%	37.57%	38.45%	39.34%	40.22%	41.11%
18	31.36%	33.59%	36.00%	36.94%	37.87%	38.81%	39.78%	40.72%	41.65%	42.59%	43.52%
19	33.10%	35.45%	38.00%	38.99%	39.98%	40.96%	41.99%	42.98%	43.97%	44.95%	45.94%
20	34.84%	37.32%	40.00%	41.04%	42.08%	43.12%	44.20%	45.24%	46.28%	47.32%	48.36%
21	36.58%	39.19%	42.00%	43.09%	44.18%	45.28%	46.41%	47.50%	48.59%	49.69%	50.78%
22	38.32%	41.05%	44.00%	45.14%	46.29%	47.43%	48.62%	49.76%	50.91%	52.05%	53.20%
23	40.07%	42.92%	46.00%	47.20%	48.39%	49.59%	50.83%	52.03%	53.22%	54.42%	55.61%
24	41.81%	44.78%	48.00%	49.25%	50.50%	51.74%	53.04%	54.29%	55.54%	56.78%	58.03%
25	43.55%	46.65%	50.00%	51.30%	52.60%	53.90%	55.25%	56.55%	57.85%	59.15%	60.45%
26	45.29%	48.52%	52.00%	53.35%	54.70%	56.06%	57.46%	58.81%	60.16%	61.52%	62.87%
27	47.03%	50.38%	54.00%	55.40%	56.81%	58.21%	59.67%	61.07%	62.48%	63.88%	65.29%
28	48.78%	52.25%	56.00%	57.46%	58.91%	60.37%	61.88%	63.34%	64.79%	66.25%	67.70%
29	50.52%	54.11%	58.00%	59.51%	61.02%	62.52%	64.09%	65.60%	67.11%	68.61%	70.00%
30	52.26%	55.98%	60.00%	61.56%	63.12%	64.68%	66.30%	67.86%	69.42%	70.00%	70.00%
31	54.00%	57.85%	62.00%	63.61%	65.22%	66.84%	68.51%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
32	55.74%	59.71%	64.00%	65.66%	67.33%	68.99%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
33	57.49%	61.58%	66.00%	67.72%	69.43%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
34	59.23%	63.44%	68.00%	69.77%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%
35 or more	60.97%	65.31%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%	70.00%

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

APPENDIX C
SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Table B: Alternate Retirement Formula Multipliers

Credited Years Of Service	Percentage
10	20.1%
11	22.2%
12	24.2%
13	26.2%
14	28.2%
15	30.2%
16	32.2%
17	34.3%
18	36.3%
19	38.3%
20	40.3%
21	42.3%
22	44.3%
23	46.3%
24	48.4%
25	50.4%
26	52.4%
27	54.4%
28	56.4%
29	58.4%
30	60.4%
31	62.5%
32	64.5%
33	66.5%
34	68.5%
35 or more	70.5%

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

**APPENDIX D
GLOSSARY**

1. Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting pension costs such as mortality, withdrawal, disability, retirement, changes in compensation, and rates of investment return.

2. Actuarial Cost Method

A procedure for determining the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses and for developing an allocation of such value to each year of service, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Liability.

3. Actuarial Gain (Loss)

The difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions during the period between two Actuarial Valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular Actuarial Cost Method.

4. Actuarial Liability

The portion of the Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefits which will not be paid by future Normal Costs. It represents the value of the past Normal Costs with interest to the valuation date.

5. Actuarial Present Value (Present Value)

The value as of a given date of a future amount or series of payments. The Actuarial Present Value discounts the payments to the given date at the assumed investment return and includes the probability of the payment being made.

6. Actuarial Valuation

The determination, as of a specified date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for a pension plan.

RETIREMENT PLANS OF SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION
ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT AS OF JULY 1, 2014

**APPENDIX D
GLOSSARY**

7. Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan as used by the actuary for the purpose of an Actuarial Valuation. The purpose of an Actuarial Value of Assets is to smooth out fluctuations in market values.

8. Actuarially Equivalent

Of equal Actuarial Present Value, determined as of a given date, with each value based on the same set of actuarial assumptions.

9. Amortization Payment

The portion of the pension plan contribution which is designed to pay interest and principal on the Unfunded Actuarial Liability in order to pay for that liability in a given number of years.

10. Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method

A method under which the Actuarial Present Value of the Projected Benefits of each individual included in an Actuarial Valuation is allocated on a level basis over the earnings of the individual between entry age and assumed exit ages.

11. Funded Ratio

The ratio of the Actuarial Value of Assets to the Actuarial Liabilities.

12. Normal Cost

That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method.

13. Projected Benefits

Those pension plan benefit amounts which are expected to be paid in the future under a particular set of Actuarial Assumptions, taking into account such items as increases in future compensation and service credits.

14. Unfunded Actuarial Liability

The excess of the Actuarial Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.

Retirement Plans of
San Diego Transit Corporation


Classic Values. Innovative Advice.

Actuarial Valuation as of July 1, 2014

January 28, 2015

Anne Harper, ASA, MAAA, EA
Robert T. McCrory, FSA, CERA, EA



Today's Discussion



- Plan History
 - Change in Plan cost from last year
 - Cost and funding history
 - Cost by benefit and source
 - Ageing membership
- Plan Future
 - Plan membership is declining and growing older
 - Pattern of expected Plan cost
 - Role of investments
 - Changing investment horizon

 Classic Values. Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us 2

Plan History



- Change in Plan cost from last year
- Cost and funding history
- Cost by benefit and source
- Ageing membership



Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us

3

Current Status: Plan Cost



Total Contribution Reconciliation


Item	Cost in Dollars	Cost as % of Payroll
July 1, 2013	\$ 12,804,008	39.15%
Change due to effect of closed payroll on amortization	-	0.48%
Change due to investment experience	(373,789)	-1.16%
Change due to demographic and salary experience	(12,254)	-0.04%
Change due to contributions greater than anticipated	(196,958)	-0.61%
Change due to administrative expense assumption*	<u>268,750</u>	<u>0.83%</u>
July 1, 2014	\$ 12,489,757	38.65%



Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us

4


Plan Cost History



Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us



5


Plan Funding History



Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us

6






9

Plan Future


- Future costs
- Future funded ratios

CHEIRON Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us

10



11



12

Projections...



- ...Are Lies
 - Projected contributions and funding assume all actuarial assumptions will be realized exactly every year
 - This is clearly impossible.
 - Actual experience – particularly investment experience – will determine contribution and funding levels
- Investment Policy
 - Most important determinant of cost and funding levels
 - Returns pretty favorable since 2009 (10.5% on average). 2015? After 2015?
 - Is a change in policy warranted now that the Plan is closed?
 - Ageing, closed membership implies a shorter investment horizon
 - Do you change investment philosophy to reflect this? Some plans do, some don't.
 - Discuss with your investment consultant



Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us

13

Today's Takeaways



- Plan History
 - Plan cost was pretty stable over past year
 - Funding improved somewhat
 - This year: Who knows?
- Plan Future
 - Plan membership is declining and growing older
 - Cost is expected to increase as a percent of decreasing payroll, but decrease in dollars
 - Investments will drive actual cost
 - Ageing, closed membership could imply a shorter investment horizon



Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us

14

Appendix

- Actuarial funding



funny-joke-pictures.com

CHEIRON Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us

15

Actuarial Funding

- Estimate assets required at retirement to provide the promised retirement benefit
 - ✓ Requires economic assumptions (e.g., rate of return on assets) and demographic assumptions (e.g., life expectancy)
- Design a plan to accumulate those assets as the employee works
 - ✓ The Actuarial Funding Method (e.g., Entry Age Normal)
- Set a series of asset targets to achieve as the employee works
 - ✓ These asset targets are called the Actuarial Liability
- Set an annual contribution that will hit these asset targets if all the assumptions hold true
 - ✓ This is the Normal Cost
- Monitor funding progress every year
 - ✓ Compare actual assets against the asset targets in the annual actuarial valuation
- Adjust annual contribution for assets above or below the asset target
 - ✓ This is the amortization of the unfunded liability (if assets below target)

CHEIRON Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us

16

SDTC Funding Policies

- Return assumption: 7.5% for July 1, 2014 valuation
- Wage and price inflation: 3.0% per year
- Cost method: Entry Age Normal to Final Decrement
 - Classified as Model by CAAP
- Amortization
 - Closed 25-year amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) on July 1, 2012; 23 years remaining as of July 1, 2014
 - Closed 15 year amortization of actuarial gains and losses emerging in each year's valuation
 - Currently "Acceptable". As amortization period of UAL declines, will transition to Model by CAAP
- Asset smoothing method: 5-year smoothing using fixed periods, 80%/120% corridor
 - Classified as Model by CAAP



Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us

17

Reliance

- The purpose of this presentation is to discuss the current status and outlook for the Retirement Plans of San Diego Transit Corporation. This presentation is for the use of the Retirement Board in its education and outreach efforts.
- In preparing this presentation, we relied on information (some oral and some written) supplied by Staff at San Diego Transit and San Diego Metropolitan Transit System. This information includes, but is not limited to, the plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 23.
- To the best of our knowledge, this presentation has been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board. Furthermore, as credentialed actuaries, we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this presentation. This presentation does not address any contractual or legal issues. We are not attorneys and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.
- This presentation was prepared solely for the Board of the Retirement Plans of San Diego Transit Corporation for the purposes described herein. This presentation is not intended to benefit any third party and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to any such party.

Robert McCrory, FSA, FCA

Anne Harper, ASA, EA



Classic Values, Innovative Advice. www.cheiron.us

18

Contacts



- Bob McCrory
 - rmccrory@cheiron.us, (703) 893-1456 x1138
- Anne Harper
 - aharper@cheiron.us, (703) 893-1456 x1107



Classic Values, Innovative Advice www.cheiron.us

19



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 32

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING / TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT
IMPLEMENTATION SERVICES - CONTRACT AWARD (LARRY MARINESI AND FRED
LACROIX)

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board of Directors:

Authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. No. G1731.0-15, with Labyrinth Solutions, Inc. (in substantially the same format as Attachment A) for the provision of software implementation services (totaling \$7,612,500 including contingency) for the Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)/Transit Asset Management (TAM) Project with the option to exercise the Budget Planning module exclusively at the CEO's discretion.

Budget Impact

The total cost of this agreement is a not-to-exceed \$7,612,500.00 and is itemized as follows:

Description	Cost
Software Implementation Services	\$6,950,000
Budget Planning Module Option	\$300,000
Contingency	\$362,500
Grand Total Cost:	\$7,612,500

The software implementation services costs are funded through MTS Capital Improvement Program (CIP) project number 11345.



DISCUSSION:

MTS has been using two separate systems, Integrated Financial and Administrative Solution (IFAS), and Ellipse for accounting, budget management, inventory control, and maintenance of revenue vehicles and wayside infrastructure. These systems were originally installed in 1997 and 2003 respectively and were legacy systems prior to the consolidation of MTS in 2003.

These systems are inefficient and require a significant amount of internal resource time and paper-driven processes to produce necessary reporting and data. Furthermore, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) is formulating new State of Good Repair (SGR) requirements under the federal Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) legislation that the current systems cannot support. The FTA granted MTS \$3.0 million (matched with \$750,000 in local funds) as part of a pilot project to procure this TAM application.

MTS has taken the approach of splitting out this technology project by first selecting the best fit ERP/TAM software, and second selecting an implementation partner. The selection of the SAP software for this purpose was approved by the MTS Board of Directors at the July 10, 2014 Board meeting. This agenda item relates to the second phase of this project which is to select an implementation partner. This second phase will be funded through the 2015 and 2016 CIP budgets.

There are several goals with the procurement of this application including:

- The provision of a highly functional application with streamlined workflow to allow for the removal of intensive paper-based business processes;
- The technology distribution to maintenance employees in the field for live preventative maintenance inspections, improving efficiency;
- The improvement of reporting and quicker reference to important indicators (including SGR condition rating) for quicker management response; and
- The use of technology to drive more efficient business processes through automated workflow.

Staff created a project structure of a Project Manager overseeing the day-to-day aspects of this procurement and implementation, a Selection Committee made up of representatives from Bus Operations, Wayside Maintenance, Bus Maintenance, LRV Maintenance, IT, Finance, Procurement and Materials/Stores, and a Steering Committee made up of the CEO, Chief Operational Officers, Chief Technology Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

The Selection Committee participated in the development of the functional requirements and the Request for Proposals. The evaluation process included vendor requirement responses, vendor oral presentations of the applicability of their respective solution, on-site key staff member interviews and reference checking. Throughout the procurement process, the Project Manager briefed the Steering Committee as to the progress and received direction.

Functional Areas Within Project Scope

This project is to replace the legacy systems and includes the following functional areas:

Financial Management:

- General Ledger / Financial Accounting
- Budget Control
- Grants Management

Procurement and Materials Management:

- Contracts
- Purchasing / Receiving
- Inventory and Warehouse Control
- On-line Order / Fulfillment

Asset Management Areas:

- Equipment and Vehicle Maintenance Management
- Work Order Management
- Warranty Tracking
- Condition Monitoring (as required by the FTA SGR initiative)
- Linear Asset Management (Rail Track, Electric Power Grid & Related)

Customer Service Areas:

- Customer Service / Lost and Found
- Incidents, Accidents and Claims Management

During phase one of the proposal evaluations, the vendors' solutions were scored based on:

- Background/Experience
- References
- Requirements
- Cost

As a result, all three (3) vendors were invited to the second phase. During phase two of the evaluation, the vendors' solutions were scored based on:

- Detailed Project Plan
- Project Staffing
- Interview (Oral Presentations)
- Refined Cost Proposal

The selection of Labyrinth Solutions, Inc. (LSI) is the recommendation of the Selection Committee, has been approved by the Steering Committee, and best meets MTS' requirements at a competitive price. LSI has a strong record in successful SAP implementations in private and public sector organizations.

Therefore, staff recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the CEO to execute MTS Doc. No. G1731.0-15 with LSI for the provision of software implementation services (totaling \$7,612,500 including contingency) for the ERP/TAM Project with the option to exercise the Budget Planning module exclusively at the CEO's discretion.

At its meeting on January 22, 2015, the Executive Committee reviewed this action item and voted 5 to 0 to recommend that the Board of Directors adopt the staff recommendation.


Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachment: A. Draft Standard Services Agreement; Contract No. G1731.0-15

STANDARD SERVICES AGREEMENTG1731.0-15
CONTRACT NUMBER

FILE NUMBER(S)

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into this _____ day of _____, 2015, in the State of California by and between San Diego Metropolitan Transit System ("MTS"), a California public agency, and the following, hereinafter referred to as "Contractor":

Name: Labyrinth Solutions, Inc.Address: 401 B Street, Suite 2020Form of Business: Corporation
(Corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, etc.)San Diego, CA 92101Telephone: 858-342-6665Authorized person to sign contracts: Steven Roach Managing Partner
Name Title

The attached Standard Conditions are part of this Agreement. The Contractor agrees to furnish to MTS services and materials, as follows:

Enterprise Resource Planning System Implementation services, as specified in the MTS Statement of Work with appendices (attached as Exhibit A), the Pricing Document (attached as Exhibit B), and the Standard Conditions Services (attached as Exhibit C), and the Federal Requirements (attached as Exhibits D).

The anticipated period of performance is twenty-four (24) months from date of contract execution.

Total contract amount shall not exceed \$ 6,950,000.00 for the base implementation project and \$300,000.00 for the Budget Planning module implementation option exercisable at MTS' sole discretion for a total of **\$7,250,000.00.**

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM		CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZATION
By: _____ Chief Executive Officer		Firm: <u>Labyrinth Solutions, Inc.</u>
Approved as to form:		By: _____ Signature
By: _____ Office of General Counsel		Title: _____
AMOUNT ENCUMBERED	BUDGET ITEM	FISCAL YEAR
\$7,250,000	11345	2015

By: _____
Chief Financial Officer Date

(XX total pages, each bearing contract number)

SA-SERVICES REVISED (REV 2-14)
DATE

**ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING (ERP)
TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT (TAM)
IMPLEMENTATION SERVICES
CONTRACT AWARD
(Larry Marinesi / Fred LaCroix)**

MTS Board of Directors
January 28, 2015



1



**ERP / TAM
Background**

- MTS has been using two separate systems:
 - Accounting, budget, inventory, maintenance tracking
 - Integrated Financial and Administrative Solution (IFAS) (1997)
 - Ellipse (2003)
- Both legacy systems are no longer supported by their vendors and are inefficient; causing labor-intensive, paper-driven processes to produce necessary reporting and data.



2



ERP / TAM FTA TAM Requirements

- FTA Passage of MAP-21:
 - Significant focus on nationwide “State of Good Repair”
 - Development of asset management plan, performance measures and condition rating at agency level
 - Very detailed look at assets covering all transit modes (Rail, Bus)
 - Emphasis of Transit Asset Management systems at the local level
 - FTA will be establishing a National Transit Asset Management System for reporting from all agencies
 - MTS was awarded \$3M with a \$750K match for a pilot project
 - Pilot awarded under SAFETEA-LU authorization
 - FTA Project Management Oversight on project deliverables



3



ERP / TAM Project Scope

- Financial Management and Budget
- Procurement and Materials Management
- Customer Service
- Transit Asset Management
 - Vehicle and Equipment Maintenance Tracking
 - Condition Monitoring (FTA State of Good Repair)
 - Work Order Management
 - Warranty Management
 - Linear Asset Management (Rail Track, Electric Power Grid, etc.)



4



ERP / TAM Project Goals

- Workflow: Streamlined workflow to allow for the removal of intensive paper-based business processes;
- Technology Distribution: Live preventative maintenance (PM) inspections in the field improving efficiency;
- Reporting: Improvement of reporting and quicker reference to important indicators (including State of Good Repair condition rating) for quicker management response; and
- Efficiency: Technology usage to drive more efficient business processes through automated workflow.
- Sustainability: System that is sustainable and supportable.



5



ERP / TAM Implementation Services Project Approach

- The project was broken into phases:
 - Phase 1: Acquire a software solution
 - Selection of SAP, Inc. awarded in July 2014
 - \$600,000 software licenses
 - \$385,000 training services
 - \$1,320,000 software support services (10 year amount)
 - Phase 2: Engage an experienced implementation firm
 - Provide a firm fixed price engagement
- The current recommendation is for Phase 2.



6



ERP / TAM Implementation Services Competitive RFP Process

- Initial Proposals: Three (3) vendors submitted proposals for software implementation services.
 - SAP Consulting
 - EPI-USE America
 - LSI Consulting / Quintel Management Consulting
- Evaluation Criteria:
 - Project Approach
 - References
 - Proposed Staffing
 - Cost
- Initial Proposal Review: Selection Committee selected all three (3) vendors for further consideration



7



ERP / TAM Implementation Services Competitive RFP Process

- Interviews: All proposed project teams participated in interviews and oral presentations for the MTS Selection Committee
- Reference Checking: Thorough vetting of proposers' qualifications
- Negotiations: Scope, staffing, approach clarifications, price tightening

* Process included assistance from QA firm



8



ERP / TAM Implementation Services Competitive RFP Process

- Final Scoring: Selection Committee, with Steering Committee review, recommends:
 - **LSI Consulting / Quintel Management Consulting**
 - Compatible approach with MTS requirements
 - Excellent project team
 - Strong references
 - Best approach for knowledge transfer to MTS staff post implementation
 - Competitive pricing
 - 32% below next lowest proposal



9



ERP / TAM Implementation Services Contract Summary

The total cost of this agreement is not-to-exceed \$7,612,500.00 and is itemized as follows:

Description	Cost
Software Implementation Services	\$6,950,000
Budget Planning Module Option	\$300,000
Contingency	\$362,500
Grand Total Cost:	\$7,612,500



10



ERP / TAM Software Contract Award Staff Recommendation

That the Board of Directors:

Authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. No. G1731.0-15, with Labyrinth Solutions, Inc. (in substantially the same format as Attachment A) for the provision of software implementation services (totaling \$7,612,500 including contingency) for the Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)/Transit Asset Management (TAM) Project with the option to exercise the Budget Planning module exclusively at the CEO's discretion.



11



ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING (ERP) TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT (TAM) IMPLEMENTATION SERVICES CONTRACT AWARD (Larry Marinesi / Fred LaCroix)

MTS Board of Directors
January 28, 2015



12





1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 45

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

PACIFIC IMPERIAL RAILROAD (PIR) DESERT LINE AGREEMENT – STATUS
UPDATE (KAREN LANDERS)

INFORMATIONAL ONLY

Budget Impact

None. To date, MTS has received \$2,000,000 in revenue related to this lease agreement. In addition, inspections have been undertaken of the Desert Line infrastructure by engineering firm JL Patterson, Inc. at no cost to MTS.

DISCUSSION:

Staff will give a status update on the PIR Desert Line agreement.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul C. Jablonski', is written over a horizontal line.

Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Karen Landers, 619.557.4512, Karen.Landers@sdmts.com





AGENDA ITEM NO.

45

REQUEST TO SPEAK FORM

ORDER REQUEST RECEIVED

1

PLEASE SUBMIT THIS COMPLETED FORM (AND YOUR WRITTEN STATEMENT) TO THE CLERK OF THE BOARD PRIOR TO DISCUSSION OF YOUR ITEM

1. INSTRUCTIONS

This Request to Speak form must be filled out and submitted in advance of the discussion of your item to the Clerk of the Board (please attach any written statement to this form). Communications on hearings and agenda items are generally limited to three minutes per person unless the Board authorizes additional time; however, the Chairperson may limit comment to one or two minutes each if there are multiple requests to speak on a particular item. General public comments on items not on the agenda are limited to three minutes. Please be brief and to the point. No yielding of time is allowed. Subjects of previous hearings or agenda items may not again be addressed under General Public Comments.

(PLEASE PRINT)

Date	January 28, 2015		
Name	Juan M Hernandez		
Address	2882 Ronch Gate Rd		
Telephone	(619) 710-5025		
Organization Represented	City Council District 8		
Subject of Your Remarks	Pacific Imperial Road		
Regarding Agenda Item No.	45		
Your Comments Present a Position of:	☐	SUPPORT	☐ OPPOSITION

2. TESTIMONY AT NOTICED PUBLIC HEARINGS

At Public Hearings of the Board, persons wishing to speak shall be permitted to address the Board on any issue relevant to the subject of the Hearing.

3. DISCUSSION OF AGENDA ITEMS

The Chairman may permit any member of the public to address the Board on any issue relevant to a particular agenda item.

4. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENTS ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Public comment on matters not on the agenda will be limited to five speakers with three minutes each, under the Public Comment Agenda Item. Additional speakers will be heard at the end of the Board's Agenda.

REMEMBER: Subjects of previous hearings or agenda items may not again be addressed under General Public Comments.



**DAVID ALVAREZ
COUNCILMEMBER**

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

January 27, 2015

Mr. Paul Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer
Metropolitan Transit System
1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92101

RE: Report Item #45 Pacific Imperial Railroad (PRI) Desert Line Agreement-Status Update

Mr. Jablonski:

On Wednesday, January 28th the Board will hear item #45: Pacific Imperial Railroad (PIR) Desert Line Agreements-Status Update.

At the May 2014 Board meeting when the proposed FY 15 Budget was approved, I requested that funding be set aside for an economic feasibility study of the Desert Line. There appeared to be a general consensus from the board that during the mid-year budget review, funding for an economic feasibility study would be seriously considered. Please provide an update on this request.

Also, in order to address persistent concerns about the financial resources of PIR, MTS staff should conduct a financial audit. As a public agency it is imperative for MTS to do business with honorable associates that are able to bring projects to fruition. Additionally, the accusations of potential fraud are a distraction which could easily be addressed by an audit.

I look forward to your response. My representative, Juan Manuel Hernandez will attend Wednesday's meeting. Should you have any concerns please do not hesitate to contact me at (619) 236-6688

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "David Alvarez", written over a horizontal line.

David Alvarez
San Diego Councilmember, Eighth District
DAA/vm



1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 46

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM BOARD OF DIRECTORS

January 28, 2015

SUBJECT:

OPERATIONS BUDGET STATUS REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 2014 (MIKE THOMPSON)

INFORMATIONAL ONLY

Budget Impact

None at this time.

DISCUSSION:

This report summarizes the year-to-date operating results for November 2014 compared to the fiscal year (FY) 2015 budget for San Diego Metropolitan Transit System (MTS). Attachment A-1 combines the operations', administration's and other activities' results for November 2014. Attachment A-2 details the November 2014 combined operations' results and Attachments A-3 to A-8 present budget comparisons for each MTS operation. Attachment A-9 details budget comparisons for MTS administration, and Attachment A-10 provides November 2014 results for MTS's other activities (Taxicab/San Diego and Arizona Eastern Railway Company).

MTS NET-OPERATING SUBSIDY RESULTS

As indicated within Attachment A-1, for the year-to-date period ending November 2014, MTS net-operating income unfavorable variance totaled \$23,000 (-0.0%). Operations produced a \$421,000 (-0.7%) unfavorable variance and the administrative/other activities areas were favorable by \$398,000.

MTS COMBINED RESULTS

Revenues. Year-to-date combined revenues through November 2014 were \$45,643,000, compared to the year-to-date budget of \$45,996,000, representing a \$353,000 (-0.8%) unfavorable variance.



Expenses. Year-to-date combined expenses through November 2014 were \$105,983,000, compared to the budget of \$106,313,000, resulting in a \$330,000 (0.3%) favorable variance.

Personnel Costs. Year-to-date personnel-related costs totaled \$49,615,000, compared to a budgetary figure of \$50,396,000, producing a favorable variance of \$781,000 (1.5%). The favorable variance is primarily due to personnel costs in Trolley operations.

Outside Services and Purchased Transportation. Total outside services for the first five months of FY 2015 totaled \$35,709,000, compared to a budget of \$36,030,000, resulting in a favorable variance of \$322,000 (0.9%). This is primarily due to a favorable variance of repairs/maintenance costs within operations, partially offset by increased purchased transportation costs for ADA Paratransit services.

Materials and Supplies. Total year-to-date materials and supplies expenses were \$4,106,000, compared to a budgetary figure of \$4,277,000, resulting in a favorable expense variance of \$170,000 (4.0%). This favorable variance is primarily due to revenue vehicle parts costs within operations.

Energy. Total year-to-date energy costs were \$13,315,000, compared to the budget of \$12,147,000 resulting in an unfavorable variance of \$1,168,000 (-9.6%). The unfavorable variance is due to increased electricity rates that were higher than expected.

Risk Management. Total year-to-date expenses for risk management were \$1,701,000, compared to the budget of \$1,849,000, resulting in a favorable variance totaling \$149,000 (8.0%).

General and Administrative. The year-to-date general and administrative costs, including vehicle and facilities leases, were \$1,538,000 through November 2014, compared to a budget of \$1,614,000, resulting in a favorable variance of \$76,000 (4.9%).

YEAR-TO-DATE SUMMARY

The November 2014 year-to-date net-operating income totaled an unfavorable variance of \$23,000 (-0.0%). These factors include unfavorable variances in energy costs and operating revenue; partially offset by favorable variances in personnel, outside services, materials, risk management and general and administrative costs.



Paul C. Jablonski
Chief Executive Officer

Key Staff Contact: Sharon Cooney, 619.557.4513, Sharon.Cooney@sdmts.com

Attachment: A. Comparison to Budget

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
MTS
CONSOLIDATED
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - FISCAL YEAR 2015
NOVEMBER 30, 2014
(in \$000's)

	YEAR TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR. %
Passenger Revenue	\$ 42,114	\$ 42,371	\$ (257)	-0.6%
Other Revenue	3,529	3,625	(96)	-2.7%
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 45,643	\$ 45,996	\$ (353)	-0.8%
Personnel costs	\$ 49,615	\$ 50,396	\$ 781	1.5%
Outside services	35,709	36,030	322	0.9%
Transit operations funding	-	-	-	-
Materials and supplies	4,106	4,277	170	4.0%
Energy	13,315	12,147	(1,168)	-9.6%
Risk management	1,701	1,849	149	8.0%
General & administrative	1,003	1,063	61	5.7%
Vehicle/facility leases	535	551	16	2.9%
Amortization of net pension asset	-	-	-	-
Administrative Allocation	-	-	-	0.0%
Depreciation	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 105,983	\$ 106,313	\$ 330	0.3%
Operating income (loss)	\$ (60,340)	\$ (60,318)	\$ (23)	0.0%
Total public support and nonoperating revenues	144	95	49	51.2%
Income (loss) before capital contributions	\$ (60,196)	\$ (60,222)	\$ 26	0.0%

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
OPERATIONS
CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - FISCAL YEAR 2015
NOVEMBER 30, 2014
(in \$000's)

	YEAR TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR. %
Passenger Revenue	\$ 42,114	\$ 42,371	\$ (257)	-0.6%
Other Revenue	234	295	(61)	-20.7%
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 42,348	\$ 42,666	\$ (318)	-0.7%
Personnel costs	\$ 41,905	\$ 42,303	\$ 398	0.9%
Outside services	30,220	30,380	160	0.5%
Transit operations funding	-	-	-	-
Materials and supplies	4,078	4,264	186	4.4%
Energy	12,967	11,806	(1,161)	-9.8%
Risk management	1,474	1,689	215	12.7%
General & administrative	66	145	79	54.5%
Vehicle/facility leases	410	430	21	4.8%
Amortization of net pension asset	-	-	-	-
Administrative Allocation	12,150	12,150	-	0.0%
Depreciation	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 103,270	\$ 103,167	\$ (103)	-0.1%
Operating income (loss)	\$ (60,921)	\$ (60,501)	\$ (421)	-0.7%
Total public support and nonoperating revenues	844	846	(2)	-0.2%
Income (loss) before capital contributions	\$ (60,078)	\$ (59,655)	\$ (423)	0.7%

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
OPERATIONS
TRANSIT SERVICES (SAN DIEGO TRANSIT CORPORATION)
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - FISCAL YEAR 2015
NOVEMBER 30, 2014
(in \$000's)

	YEAR TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR. %
Passenger Revenue	\$ 11,215	\$ 11,366	\$ (151)	-1.3%
Other Revenue	1	2	(1)	-47.0%
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 11,216	\$ 11,368	\$ (152)	-1.3%
Personnel costs	\$ 28,327	\$ 28,147	\$ (180)	-0.6%
Outside services	653	742	89	12.0%
Transit operations funding	-	-	-	-
Materials and supplies	1,921	2,065	144	7.0%
Energy	2,752	2,949	197	6.7%
Risk management	669	804	134	16.7%
General & administrative	24	76	52	68.2%
Vehicle/facility leases	137	153	16	10.3%
Amortization of net pension asset	-	-	-	-
Administrative Allocation	4,605	4,605	-	0.0%
Depreciation	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 39,090	\$ 39,541	\$ 452	1.1%
Operating income (loss)	\$ (27,874)	\$ (28,173)	\$ 300	1.1%
Total public support and nonoperating revenues	(481)	(479)	(2)	0.4%
Income (loss) before capital contributions	\$ (28,355)	\$ (28,652)	\$ 297	-1.0%

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
OPERATIONS
RAIL OPERATIONS (SAN DIEGO TROLLEY, INCORPORATED)
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - FISCAL YEAR 2015
NOVEMBER 30, 2014
(in \$000's)

	YEAR TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR. %
Passenger Revenue	\$ 17,738	\$ 17,687	\$ 51	0.3%
Other Revenue	233	293	(60)	-20.5%
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 17,971	\$ 17,981	\$ (9)	-0.1%
Personnel costs	\$ 13,084	\$ 13,663	\$ 580	4.2%
Outside services	1,504	1,659	155	9.4%
Transit operations funding	-	-	-	-
Materials and supplies	2,128	2,161	32	1.5%
Energy	5,941	4,834	(1,107)	-22.9%
Risk management	798	879	81	9.2%
General & administrative	38	57	20	34.3%
Vehicle/facility leases	149	153	3	2.3%
Amortization of net pension asset	-	-	-	-
Administrative Allocation	6,721	6,721	-	0.0%
Depreciation	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 30,363	\$ 30,127	\$ (236)	-0.8%
Operating income (loss)	\$ (12,392)	\$ (12,146)	\$ (246)	-2.0%
Total public support and nonoperating revenues	-	-	-	-
Income (loss) before capital contributions	\$ (12,392)	\$ (12,146)	\$ (246)	2.0%

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
OPERATIONS
MULTIMODAL OPERATIONS (FIXED ROUTE)
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - FISCAL YEAR 2015
NOVEMBER 30, 2014
(in \$000's)

	YEAR TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR. %
Passenger Revenue	\$ 11,020	\$ 11,216	\$ (196)	-1.7%
Other Revenue	0	-	0	-
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 11,020	\$ 11,216	\$ (196)	-1.7%
Personnel costs	\$ 189	\$ 142	\$ (47)	-33.4%
Outside services	19,526	19,820	294	1.5%
Transit operations funding	-	-	-	-
Materials and supplies	25	34	9	27.2%
Energy	2,953	2,834	(119)	-4.2%
Risk management	-	-	-	-
General & administrative	2	2	0	1.9%
Vehicle/facility leases	6	8	1	18.6%
Amortization of net pension asset	-	-	-	-
Administrative Allocation	602	602	-	0.0%
Depreciation	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 23,303	\$ 23,442	\$ 139	0.6%
Operating income (loss)	\$ (12,282)	\$ (12,225)	\$ (57)	-0.5%
Total public support and nonoperating revenues	-	-	-	-
Income (loss) before capital contributions	\$ (12,282)	\$ (12,225)	\$ (57)	0.5%

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
OPERATIONS
MULTIMODAL OPERATIONS (PARATRANSIT)
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - FISCAL YEAR 2015
NOVEMBER 30, 2014
(in \$000's)

	YEAR TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR. %
Passenger Revenue	\$ 999	\$ 923	\$ 76	8.2%
Other Revenue	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 999	\$ 923	\$ 76	8.2%
Personnel costs	\$ 42	\$ 53	\$ 11	20.3%
Outside services	5,879	5,483	(396)	-7.2%
Transit operations funding	-	-	-	-
Materials and supplies	-	-	-	-
Energy	1,094	982	(112)	-11.4%
Risk management	6	6	-	0.0%
General & administrative	0	6	6	99.6%
Vehicle/facility leases	117	117	0	0.0%
Amortization of net pension asset	-	-	-	-
Administrative Allocation	184	184	-	0.0%
Depreciation	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 7,322	\$ 6,832	\$ (491)	-7.2%
Operating income (loss)	\$ (6,323)	\$ (5,908)	\$ (415)	-7.0%
Total public support and nonoperating revenues	-	-	-	-
Income (loss) before capital contributions	\$ (6,323)	\$ (5,908)	\$ (415)	7.0%

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
OPERATIONS
CONSOLIDATED CHULA VISTA TRANSIT OPERATIONS
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - FISCAL YEAR 2015
NOVEMBER 30, 2014
(in \$000's)

	YEAR TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR. %
Passenger Revenue	\$ 1,142	\$ 1,178	\$ (36)	-3.1%
Other Revenue	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 1,142	\$ 1,178	\$ (36)	-3.1%
Personnel costs	\$ 75	\$ 109	\$ 34	31.5%
Outside services	2,423	2,440	17	0.7%
Transit operations funding	-	-	-	-
Materials and supplies	4	4	0	11.7%
Energy	227	208	(20)	-9.5%
Risk management	-	-	-	-
General & administrative	2	4	1	31.1%
Vehicle/facility leases	-	-	-	-
Amortization of net pension asset	-	-	-	-
Administrative Allocation	38	38	-	0.0%
Depreciation	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 2,769	\$ 2,802	\$ 33	1.2%
Operating income (loss)	\$ (1,627)	\$ (1,624)	\$ (3)	-0.2%
Total public support and nonoperating revenues	1,234	1,234	-	0.0%
Income (loss) before capital contributions	\$ (393)	\$ (390)	\$ (3)	0.7%

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
OPERATIONS
CORONADO FERRY
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - FISCAL YEAR 2015
NOVEMBER 30, 2014
(in \$000's)

	YEAR TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR. %
Passenger Revenue	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
Other Revenue	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Revenue	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
Personnel costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
Outside services	79	79	-	0.0%
Transit operations funding	-	-	-	-
Materials and supplies	-	-	-	-
Energy	-	-	-	-
Risk management	-	-	-	-
General & administrative	-	-	-	-
Vehicle/facility leases	-	-	-	-
Amortization of net pension asset	-	-	-	-
Administrative Allocation	-	-	-	-
Depreciation	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 79	\$ 79	\$ -	0.0%
Operating income (loss)	\$ (79)	\$ (79)	\$ -	0.0%
Total public support and nonoperating revenues	90	90	-	0.0%
Income (loss) before capital contributions	\$ 11	\$ 11	\$ -	0.0%

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATION
CONSOLIDATED
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - FISCAL YEAR 2015
NOVEMBER 30, 2014
(in \$000's)

	YEAR TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR. %
Passenger Revenue	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
Other Revenue	3,175	3,171	3	0.1%
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 3,175	\$ 3,171	\$ 3	0.1%
Personnel costs	\$ 7,389	\$ 7,786	\$ 396	5.1%
Outside services	5,449	5,607	158	2.8%
Transit operations funding	-	-	-	-
Materials and supplies	27	10	(17)	-180.8%
Energy	343	336	(7)	-2.0%
Risk management	221	154	(67)	-43.8%
General & administrative	892	874	(18)	-2.1%
Vehicle/facility leases	126	121	(5)	-4.1%
Amortization of net pension asset	-	-	-	-
Administrative Allocation	(12,196)	(12,196)	-	0.0%
Depreciation	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 2,250	\$ 2,689	\$ 439	16.3%
Operating income (loss)	\$ 925	\$ 482	\$ 443	-91.9%
Total public support and nonoperating revenues	(700)	(751)	51	-6.8%
Income (loss) before capital contributions	\$ 225	\$ (268)	\$ 494	-183.8%

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
OTHER ACTIVITIES
CONSOLIDATED
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - FISCAL YEAR 2015
NOVEMBER 30, 2014
(in \$000's)

	YEAR TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR. %
Passenger Revenue	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
Other Revenue	120	158	(38)	-24.3%
Total Operating Revenue	\$ 120	\$ 158	\$ (38)	-24.3%
Personnel costs	\$ 320	\$ 308	\$ (13)	-4.1%
Outside services	40	44	4	9.4%
Transit operations funding	-	-	-	-
Materials and supplies	1	3	2	73.4%
Energy	5	4	(0)	-9.6%
Risk management	6	7	1	12.3%
General & administrative	45	45	(0)	-1.0%
Vehicle/facility leases	-	-	-	-
Amortization of net pension asset	-	-	-	-
Administrative Allocation	46	46	-	0.0%
Depreciation	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 464	\$ 457	\$ (6)	-1.4%
Operating income (loss)	\$ (344)	\$ (299)	\$ (45)	-15.0%
Total public support and nonoperating revenues	-	-	-	-
Income (loss) before capital contributions	\$ (344)	\$ (299)	\$ (45)	15.0%

Metropolitan Transit System FY 2015 - November 2014 Financial Review

MTS Board of Directors Meeting
January 28, 2015



1



COMBINED MTS TRANSIT OPERATORS COMPARISON TO BUDGET - NOVEMBER 30, 2014 - FY 2015 (in \$000's)

	ACTUAL	BUDGET	VARIANCE	VAR %
Fare Revenue	\$ 42,114	\$ 42,371	(\$257)	-0.6%
Other Revenue	234	295	(61)	-20.7%
Total Operating Revenue	\$42,348	\$42,666	(\$318)	-0.7%

- Fare Revenue - Increased over the prior year by \$1,182K (2.9%)



2



COMBINED MTS TRANSIT OPERATORS
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - NOVEMBER 30, 2014 - FY 2015
(in \$000's)

	<u>ACTUAL</u>	<u>BUDGET</u>	<u>VARIANCE</u>	<u>VAR %</u>
Personnel Costs	\$ 41,905	\$ 42,303	\$ 398	0.9%
Purchased Transportation	27,364	27,041	(324)	-1.2%
Other Outside Services	2,855	3,339	483	14.5%
Energy	12,967	11,806	(1,161)	-9.8%
Other Expenses	18,177	18,678	501	2.7%
Total Expenses	\$103,270	\$103,167	\$ (103)	-0.1%
Operating Income/(Loss)	(\$60,921)	(\$60,501)	(\$421)	-0.7%

Purchased Transportation

- Higher than expected ADA Paratransit services

Energy

- Higher than expected SDG&E electricity rates

Other Outside Services

- Favorable variances within Repairs/Maintenance costs



3



METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - NOVEMBER 30, 2014 - FY 2015
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE LESS EXPENSES (\$000's)

Combined Net Operating Variance

MTS Operating Revenue	\$ (318)	
MTS Operating Expenses	<u>(103)</u>	
Combined MTS Operators		\$ (421)
MTS Administration / Other Activities		<u>398</u>
Total Combined Net Operating Variance		\$ (23)
Variance Percentage		0.0%



4



METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
COMPARISON TO BUDGET - NOVEMBER, 2014 - FY 2015
ON-GOING CONCERNS

	Budget	YTD Actual	Projection
Sales Tax Subsidy Revenue	\$401.1 M	\$203.1 M	\$401.1 M
State of California Budget	\$18.0M	\$4.1M	\$18.0M
Passenger Levels	98.0 M	41.4 M	98.0 M
Energy Prices			
CNG	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.91	\$ 0.86
Diesel	\$ 3.18	\$ 3.17	\$ 2.45
Gas	\$ 3.15	\$ 3.11	\$ 2.37
Electricity	\$ 0.182	\$ 0.200	\$ 0.196





1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 1000
San Diego, CA 92101-7490
(619) 231-1466 • FAX (619) 234-3407

Agenda Item No. 62

Chief Executive Officer's Report

ADM 121.7

January 28, 2015

In accordance with Board Policy No. 52, Procurement of Goods and Services, attached are listings of contracts, purchase orders, and work orders that have been approved within the CEO's authority (up to and including \$100,000) for the period December 5, 2014 through January 20, 2015.



EXPENSE CONTRACTS

Doc #	Organization	Subject	Amount	Day
G1528.1-13	INTELLICHOICE - EFORCE	2 ADDITIONAL DAYS ON SITE	\$2,990.00	12/5/2014
G1729.0-14	SANDAG	GRAFFITI TRACKER INC (see G1775.0-15)	\$20,000.00	12/15/2014
G1775.0-15	SANDAG	GRAFFITI TRACKER BILLING AND SUPPORT	\$20,000.00	12/15/2014
G1728.0-15	NOBLE AMERICAS ENERGY SOLUTION	ELECTRIC SERVICE PROVIDER	\$80,640.00	12/19/2014
L0856.4-09	CANON BUSINESS SOLUTIONS	TIME EXTENSION	\$20,010.70	12/23/2014
PWB133.2-11	SOUTHCOAST HVAC	HVAC MAINTENANCE SVCS FOR KMD AND IAD	\$18,880.00	1/7/2015
PWG148.2-14	MOBILE RELAY ASSOCIATES	ADD 8TH DISPATCH STATION	\$7,238.00	1/12/2015
PWG148.1-14	MOBILE RELAY ASSOCIATES	INCREASE MOBILE RADIOS, ANTENA FINS, BRA	\$25,485.00	1/15/2015
G1679.3-14	SIGNA DIGITAL SOLUTIONS INC	ADDS NEW ERP GROUP COPIER IN 2ND FLR TAX	\$1,000.00	1/16/2015

REVENUE CONTRACTS

Doc #	Organization	Subject	Amount	Day
L1219.0-15	ARCADIS US, INC.	DURABLE ROE - PIPELINE CITY OF SD	(\$1,500.00)	12/8/2014
L1242.0-15	SAN DIEGO YOUNG ARTISTS MUSIC	ROE PERMIT FOR HOLIDAY ENTERTAINMENT	\$0.00	12/8/2014
G1773.0-15	COMPONENT CONTROL	COMPASS CARD EMPLOYER PROGRAM	\$0.00	12/11/2014
L1242.1-15	SAN DIEGO YOUNG ARTIST MUSIC	ROE PERMIT EXTENSION AMENDMENT		12/19/2014
L5755.0-14	CITY OF SAN DIEGO PUBLIC UTILITY	DROE PERMIT - INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE	\$0.00	12/22/2014
G1443.3-12	ROWLBERTO PRODUCTIONS	PARTNERSHIP RENEWAL W/ A TROLLEY SHOW	\$0.00	12/23/2014
G1777.0-15	CITY OF CHULA VISTA	MOU RE DIVESTMENT OF CHULA VISTA TRANSIT	\$0.00	12/30/2014
G1754.0-15	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	YOUTH TRANSIT PASS GRANT	(\$9,000.00)	12/31/2014
G1771.0-15	BALBOA PARK CONSERVANCY	BALBOA PARK CENTENNIAL CELEBRATION PARTN	\$0.00	12/31/2014
PWL155.1-14	KRATOS PUBLIC SAFETY & SECURITY	CCTV INSTALLATION LOCATION FROM THE PYRA	\$0.00	1/2/2015
G1759.0-15	CHANNEL ISLANDS, INC	ONBOARD ENTERTAINMENT SYSTEM PILOT PROJECT	(\$7,000.00)	1/5/2015
L1159.1-14	R.D. ENGINEERING & CONSTRUCTION	AMNDMT 1 TO ROE PERMIT	(\$500.00)	1/7/2015
L1243.0-15	AM ORTEGA CONSTRUCTION INC	ROE PERMIT SDGE PIPELINE INTEGRITY	(\$1,500.00)	1/7/2015
S200-15-607	FISHEL CO	ROE PERMIT - UNDERGROUND COX COMM FIBER	(\$2,500.00)	1/8/2015
L5773.0-15	DAVEY TREE EXPERT CO	ROE PERMIT- TREE MAINTENANCE NCTD OPS	\$0.00	1/12/2015
S200-15-612	HMS CONSTRUCTION INC	ROE PERMIT- ORANGE LINE FIBER OPTION CAB	\$0.00	1/12/2015
B0570.5-12	NEW FLYER INDUSTRIES, INC.	ASSIGNMENT OF BUSES TO SANTA MONICA	\$0.00	1/15/2015
L1183.1-14	TC CONSTRUCTION CO INC	ROE PERMIT AMNDMT 1 TIME EXTENSION	\$0.00	1/15/2015

REVENUE CONTRACTS				
Doc #	Organization	Subject	Amount	Day
G1793.0-15	VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS	TRNSFR OF OWNERSHIP FOR RETIRED PARATRAN	\$0.00	1/16/2015
L1239.0-15	HP COMMUNICATIONS INC	ROE PERMIT - TRENCH AND CONDUIT ATT FIBER	(\$1,500.00)	1/16/2015
L1240.0-15	KLEINFELDER GROUP, INC.	ROE PERMIT - ENV PLANNING ARCHIT AND ENG	\$0.00	1/16/2015
L1244.0-15	SOCAL CONSTRUCTION & CONSULTING	ROE PERMIT - INSTALL AT&T TRENCH & CONDU	(\$1,500.00)	1/16/2015
L6704.0-15	TC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC	ROE PERMIT - INSTALL CITY SD 18" SEWER	(\$750.00)	1/16/2015
L1226.0-15	SDG&E	LEASE AGMT FOR APN 384-311-38-00	(\$30,480.00)	1/20/2015

PURCHASE ORDERS			
DATE	Organization	Subject	AMOUNT
12/8/2014	SAP AMERICA	BUSINESS PROCESSES IN PLANT LIFECYC	\$3,250.00
12/8/2014	SUNGARD BI-TECH INC	IFAS ANNUAL SUPPORT	\$22,219.75
12/8/2014	MADDEN CONSTRUCTION INC	IAD ROOM ELECTRICAL AND CARPETING	\$16,140.70
12/9/2014	CEMENT CUTTING INC.	DETECTABLE WARNING PAVERS DOMES MOV	\$17,834.00
12/11/2014	CDW GOVERNMENT INC	VMWARE VSPHERE 5 ENT PLUS 1 PRO	\$13,584.00
12/11/2014	SUPERIOR READY MIX CONCRETE LP	ROCK CONCRETE AND RED DRY MIX	\$5,570.64
12/11/2014	PERFORMANCE UTILITY SERVICE IN	WIRING MATERIAL AND CONDUIT 43 ST P	\$92,331.14
12/11/2014	ONE SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS LLC	TOOLS AND EQUIPMENT	\$56,609.79
12/17/2014	ABTECH SYSTEMS INC	ELLIPSE IFAS MAINTENANCE SERVICES	\$89,637.00
12/17/2014	PROCLIPS USA INC	CHARGING HOLDER PEDESTAL MOUNT	\$5,233.09
12/17/2014	CELICO PARTNERSHIP DBA VERIZON	SAMSUNG GALAXY TABLETS	\$7,834.75
12/23/2014	SAP AMERICA, INC.	SAP FIN CLASS	\$17,390.00
12/23/2014	CALIFORNIA TRANSIT ASSOCIATION	PUBLIC TRANSIT SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP DU	\$40,483.00
12/23/2014	SOLARWINDS INC	ORION IP ADDRESS MANAGER	\$799.00
12/23/2014	SUNGARD BI-TECH INC	IFAS ANNUAL SUPPORT	\$3,278.18
12/23/2014	PRESSNET EXPRESS	MTS EMPLOYEES NEWSLETTER	\$8,316.00
12/23/2014	NETWRIX CORPORATION	NETWRIX AUDITOR ALL 1 YR STANDARD	\$2,090.00
1/2/2015	DELL COMPUTER CORP	MODEM, WIRELESS, MINICARD, HALOGEN	\$176.23
1/6/2015	DANE PRAIRIE SYSTEMS LLC	WIN2PDF TERMINAL SERVER EDITION	\$2,050.00
1/6/2015	CDW GOVERNMENT INCORPORATED	SAMSUNG 55" LED HOSPITALITY	\$2,690.56
1/8/2015	AT&T	SWITCHES FOR VARIOUS BUILDINGS	\$21,763.57

PURCHASE ORDERS			
DATE	Organization	Subject	AMOUNT
1/9/2015	J&R CONCRETE PRODUCTS	FIREWALL ELECTRICAL BOTTOM	\$6,966.00
1/9/2015	SAP AMERICA INC	MANAGING TECHNICAL OBJECTS IN PLANT	\$3,000.00
1/9/2015	CDW GOVERNMENT INC	MAXELL LTO5 ULTRIUM 1.5/3	\$2,700.00
1/9/2015	CALIFORNIA COMMERCIAL SECURITY	KANTECH ANNUAL SUPPORT	\$900.00
1/12/2015	COSTCO	80 VIZIO TV RECIEVER AND WALL MOUNT	\$3,216.23
1/12/2015	IPSWITCH INC	WHATSUP GOLD PREMIUM 500 SVC	\$2,123.75
1/13/2015	DELL COMPUTER CORP	LATITUDE E7440 BTX-GSA	\$23,385.84
1/13/2015	CDW GOVERNMENT INC	SYM EV RNW/3873798	\$3,887.68
1/14/2015	FISHER WIRELESS SERVICES	BASE RADIO EQUIP AND ANTENNA	\$2,065.27
1/15/2015	CDW GOVERNMENT INC	ELECTRONIC EQUIP	\$2,917.65
1/15/2015	TOOLFETCH	EAGLE SAFETY CABINET	\$1,197.05
1/15/2015	DRUG TESTING NETWORK INC	ADDITIONAL TESTING SVCS	\$1,000.00

WORK ORDERS			
Doc #	Organization	Subject	Amount Day
G1493.0-13.09.01	KIMLEY-HORN	NORTH AVENUE PROPOSED GRADE CROSSING	\$6,900.00 12/19/2014
G1493.0-13.10	KIMLEY-HORN	SOLAR POWERED LED LIGHT AND BATTERY	\$7,000.00 12/19/2014
G1493.0-13.05.02	KIMLEY-HORN	GREEN LINE COMM UPGRADE GENERAL ENG	\$28,000.00 12/23/2014
PWL134.0-12.27.2	HERZOG CONSTRUCTION	SUPPLEMENTAL - ADDITIONAL UNDERGROUND	\$12,908.56 12/31/2014