

MINUTES

MEETING OF THE SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

September 7, 2023

[Clerk's note: Except where noted, public, staff and board member comments are paraphrased. The full comment can be heard by reviewing the recording at the [MTS website](#).]

1. **Roll Call**

Chair Whitburn called the Executive Committee meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. A roll call sheet listing Executive Committee member attendance is attached.

2. **Public Comment**

Connor Proctor – Representing Ride SD made a verbal statement to the Board during the meeting. Proctor advocated for open payment fares, citing his positive experience in Europe and London.

Leif Gensert – Representing Ride SD made a verbal statement to the Board during the meeting. Gensert shared their agreement and support for the item based on their own experience in London and Portland.

3. **Approval of Minutes**

Board Member Hall moved to approve the minutes of the July 13, 2023, MTS Executive Committee meeting. Vice Chair Goble seconded the motion, and the vote was 6 to 0 in favor with Board Member Vargas absent.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

4. **Project Labor Agreement (PLA) and Monitoring Support Services (Samantha Leslie)**

Board Member Leyba-Gonzalez acknowledged a potential conflict of interest and recused himself from participation on this item.

Samantha Leslie, MTS Deputy General Counsel, presented on PLA and Monitoring Support Services. She outlined: the contract background, legal authority, negotiation/summary of PLA, applicability to upcoming PLA construction projects, procurement for PLA monitoring support services, next steps and staff's recommendation.

Public Comment

Cori Schumacher – Provided a verbal statement to the Board during the meeting. Schumacher, a representative of IBEW 569, supported the item and urged the committee to forward a recommendation to the Board. Schumacher stated that PLAs ensured financial, local hire provisions and safety.

Ansermio Estrada – Provided a verbal statement to the Board during the meeting. Estrada, a representative of the San Diego County Building & Construction Trades Council supported the item and urged the committee to forward a recommendation to the Board.

Committee Comment

Vice Chair Goble asked staff to clarify the bidding process for a non-union contractor on a PLA contract. He had questions about the limitation to only onboard three non-union employees from their firm, all other employees would be union workers. Ms. Leslie confirmed that the statement was correct. She expanded that unless the referral request to the union hiring hall was not

completed within the stated 48-hour period, the non-union contractor is then allowed to use an additional core employee. Vice Chair Goble continued to ask for clarification on the contract language section 4.9 for non-union contractors that does not require them to be a union employee. He believed that both provisions created a contradiction since contractors were limited to onboard core employees. Ms. Leslie re-confirmed that there was not a requirement that a non-union contractor must join the union. She added that the intent of the PLA is to use the maximum extent possible of the union labor's dispatch from hiring halls. She added that this was standard language found in many PLAs. Vice Chair Goble asked if the agency was comfortable with the legality of the language. Ms. Leslie replied that this was standard language found in various PLAs and the language as it stood, met the minimum requirements of the program.

Board Member Hall expressed similar concerns about the three-employee limitation and asked how those three non-union employees would be onboarded. Ms. Leslie explained a non-union contractor's first employee could be a non-union core employee, the second employee would then be from the union hiring hall, the third employee would be non-union core employee, the fourth would be union, followed by the final non-union core employee, all subsequent employees would have to be solicited from the union hiring hall unless the hiring hall was unable to provide that amount of needed staff. Board Member Hall asked if the 48-hour requirement applied for each employee. Ms. Leslie added that 30 days before the project it would be required to disclose how many employees and core employees the project needs. The 30-day timeline is meant to reduce the delay in employee designation, prior to the project. She added that a discussion about the anticipated project labor need is conducted between the project labor contractor and union. Board Member Hall stated he was not against union labor, but was rather concerned with the proposed hiring model that could create project delays by only relying on hiring halls for a workforce. He noted that the language required further clarification. Sharon Cooney, MTS Chief Executive Officer, added that the PLA sets expectation requirements during the bidding process, so contractors understand the standards they must comply with. Karen Landers, MTS General Counsel, added that by law, the agency must comply with a separate requirement for a skilled and trained workforce. The number and the qualification for skilled and trained workers has increased under the statute annually, and contractors have stated the difficulty to comply with such requirements. This skilled and trained requirement does not have a way to cure or clear the inability to meet the requirement to continue on with the project. Contractor feedback for the PLA process expressed more contractor support because the project labor agreement offers alternative solutions if the union cannot provide an employee after the 48-hour window. Board Member Hall asked if the union could retroactively offer union employees after the 48-hour period closed. Ms. Landers replied that in practice, this would not happen. Ms. Leslie added that this was a 48-hour strict rule that if the union could not provide an employee with the requested experience, the contractor would be able to designate their core employee. Board Member Hall asked if the Black Contractors Association was involved in the proposed language process. Ms. Leslie replied that they were not involved in the process.

Board Member Montgomery Steppe thanked staff for including exemptions for small businesses and noted that the Black Contractors Associations would benefit from this PLA. Board Member Montgomery Steppe asked about the annual monitoring reporting mechanism noted in the presentation, and if it would be possible for the Board to request additional reporting. Ms. Leslie responded that the agency awards one to three construction projects annually. She clarified that an annual presentation was suggested due to the limited projects that would trigger PLA requirements. She noted that the frequency could be shorter and specific reporting items could also be monitored. Board Member Montgomery Steppe asked that apprenticeships and pre-

apprenticeships, particularly during the local hire requirements, be monitored. She was particularly concerned about deployment and the creation of a continuous employment pipeline aimed to hire locally. She noted a pre-apprenticeship program within District 4 at the educational cultural complex and the importance of such programs to reach folks that may otherwise not know about the opportunities. She asked if staff had any additional comments on apprenticeships that came up during negotiations around pre-apprenticeship and apprenticeship programming. Ms. Leslie added that the provision is meant to have a collaborative process between the Project Labor Coordinator, the Contractor and the Building Trades Union to develop the appropriate outreach. She believed that this portion would depend on the contractor and the length of the project to ensure a steady flow of participation into the programs.

Chair Whitburn asked about the contractors' comments that facilitated compliance with state regulations. He asks if both union and non-union contractors were part of the discussion. Ms. Landers explained that the agency invited all contractors that had recently performed million dollar or more projects to the agency that are able to complete projects for the agency, to understand their potential concerns. Ms. Leslie confirmed that there were both union and non-union contractors. He asked if they were comfortable with the proposed agreement. Ms. Leslie confirmed that they were. Chair Whitburn asked Carol Kim, with the San Diego County Building & Construction Trades Council, if the current question and answer portion of the committee conversation was consistent with her understanding of the agreement. Ms. Kim confirmed it was.

Board Member Montgomery Steppe asked for pre-apprenticeship and apprenticeship programs to include existing contractors' relationships. Ms. Leslie added that as part of the PLA requirement, contractors would have to work towards such programs. She added that the PLA Monitoring Support Services would help participate in conversations and coordination to assure that current contractors are part of the process.

Vice Chair Goble noted that the language was not clear that after the 48-hour window, core employees can assume job security for the remainder of the project. He asked that language be added to include that assurance. Ms. Kim stated that if the union hall is not able to meet the 48-hour dispatch requirement, then the core employees are able to be employed for the remainder of the scope of the contract. The union hall does not retroactively remove the core employee. Ms. Cooney asked the MTS Legal team if they believed that the language was explicit in the agreement to protect the 48-hour window. Ms. Leslie noted that there were not any exceptions to the rule and it does not state that the employee can be removed after the window closes, and believed the language was clear as it was written. Vice Chair Goble would like to clarify the interpretation uncertainty and suggested the language change. Ms. Landers added that the agency could have additional discussions with the San Diego County Building & Construction Trades Council to potentially add further clarifying language.

Action Taken

Chair Whitburn moved to forward a recommendation to the Board of Directors to: 1) Authorize the CEO to execute the negotiated PLA between MTS and Building Trades; and 2) Authorize the CEO to award and execute MTS Doc. No. G2540.0-22 with TSG Enterprises, Inc. dba The Solis Group, a DBE, for PLA Monitoring Support Services for a three (3) year base period with two (2) optional 1-year extensions in the amount of \$1,593,484.02; and 3) Authorize the CEO to exercise the options in MTS Doc. No. G2540.0-22 at their discretion; and 4) Direct staff to revisit the 48-hour stipulation for additional clarifying language with the San Diego County Building & Construction Trades Council and incorporate potential language changes to the Board. Board

Member Montgomery Steppe seconded the motion, and the motion passed with 3 in favor (Chair Whitburn, Board Member Montgomery Steppe, Board Member Moreno) and 2 opposed (Board Member Hall and Vice Chair Goble), with Board Member Leyba-Gonzalez recused and Board Member Vargas absent.

5. Sorrento Valley Coaster Connection Service Update (Denis Desmond)

Denis Desmond, MTS Director of Planning, presented on the Sorrento Valley Coaster Connection Service (SVCC) update. He outlined the following information: service background, current services, ridership, performance and next steps.

Committee Comment

Vice Chair Goble asked if Paratransit services could continue to be accessible. Mr. Desmond replied that the requirement for MTS Access is contingent on the service area of operations. He acknowledged various other routes that allow Access Service to run in that region; however, there would be small parts of the area that would not be covered once this route was removed. Vice Chair Goble voiced concern that Paratransit riders would not have service as a result of the discontinuation of the service. Mr. Desmond assured the Committee that MTS did not show high or frequent ridership demand on Access for this area.

Board Member Moreno asked how much funding the agency has spent on this service. Mr. Denis replied that in past years, the agency has spent as much as \$1 million dollars on the service. He noted that the historical reduction of service over the years ultimately reduced cost. Board Member Moreno asked that staff bring the total amount of service cost to the Board. Ms. Cooney added that the vehicles have a 7-year lifespan and that cost would have to be incorporated. Board Member Moreno supported the discontinuation of service that was not supported by ridership or equity concerns. She thanked staff for their re-assessment of the service and expressed relief that the agency did not invest in a gondola capital project at the Sorrento Valley station that had been proposed in previous years. She noted her previous request for similar service at the Cross Border Express (CBX), but that the facility would have to pay for the service. She found it disheartening that the SVCC funding could have been servicing the riders that use CBX. She asked staff to explore minibus service connections to connect high demand locations to the trolley system, such as CBX.

Action Taken

Informational item only. No action taken.

6. Spring Street Station Transit-Oriented Development (Karen Landers and Sean Myott)

Ms. Landers and Sean Myott, MTS Manager of Real Estate Assets, presented on Spring Street Station Transit-Oriented Development. They presented on: Spring Street site, Spring Street affordable housing, parking, additional amenities and next steps.

Public Comment

The Original DRA – Provided a verbal statement to the Board during the meeting. The Original DRA expressed appreciation for the addition of a restroom to the project. They did not support the reduction of parking spaces at the site.

Committee Comment

Board Member Hall asked if the parking spaces could be dual use for residents and riders. Ms. Landers stated that the site developer (Affirmed) did not express concerns about limited residential parking. Ms. Landers noted that, in order to preserve the transit parking for riders, the ground lease agreement says that Affirmed cannot assume that residents will be entitled to use the transit parking. Ms. Landers anticipated the developer will adopt some type of restriction to limit residents from on transit parking spaces.

Vice Chair Goble asked about the assessment of anticipated parking needs. Ms. Landers noted that the 63-number allotment was a one-day parking study performed in July of 2021 by the developer. The Parking Study assessed a need of 159 spaces through several day counts. Mr. Desmond added that the 2035 model was the travel demand model from SANDAG, so data from the 2021 Regional Plan data is incorporated into the assessment, this plan envisioned a vast expansion of transit. That expansion vision increased the number of people using transit stations and the number of estimated parking spaces. Vice Chair Goble suggested the agency look to other TOD sites as parking model benchmarks. Ms. Landers added that staff can present on the data when the item is slated for the Board. She stated that a similar project to the site would be the TOD at the 62nd Street Station, and staff would have to report back on the parking ratio since all other project are in permitting stages. Unfortunately, the agency does not have active sites to make such comparisons, she added that the developer was confident that they would not have an issue renting the units without an assigned parking space.

Board Member Moreno acknowledged that her priority was ridership growth and was excited to see the parking study data be presented. She believed the report would assist in those efforts and expressed support for the item.

Action Taken

Informational item only. No action taken.

7. Real Estate and Joint Development Program Status Update (Sean Myott and Karen Landers)

Mr. Myott and Ms. Landers presented on Real Estate and Joint Development Program Status Update. They outlined: TOD sites within the City of San Diego including Grantville, Rancho Bernardo, 12th and Imperial, Beyer Boulevard, Palm Avenue, TOD sites within South Bay including, E Street, TOD sites within East County including El Cajon TOD, Spring Street, MTS real estate transactions benefiting TOD, development program and publicly available data.

Public Comment

The Original DRA – Provided a verbal statement to the Board during the meeting. The Original DRA did not support the TOD program due to cost, small living spaces, amenity accessibility and artificial intelligence.

Leif Gensert – Representing Ride SD made a verbal statement to the Board during the meeting. Gensert supported the TOD Programs and was in favor of high-density housing.

Committee Comment

Vice Chair Goble asked about the Grantville Transit Station and the parking capacity prior to construction. Ms. Landers added that the capacity declined by 50% with a dirt lot available for football games, which was not incorporated into the “before” capacity count. Vice Chair Goble

questioned why there was parking reduction at a site like Grantville, but an increase at Spring Street. Ms. Cooney added that the land acquisition accommodated to parking and several other needs. Ms. Landers explained that in 2018, the agency acquired parking data through monthly parking counts. She noted that Grantville used parking counts as a baseline, but has not used data to project for the future with some spaces leased out to a neighboring school. The Palm Avenue site was more difficult because the monthly parking counts at the station increased during the pandemic. Now the study allows the agency to project future counts through the parking study. Vice Chair Goble summarized that at some transit-oriented sites, the agency is replacing the current need and at others, there is projected demand. He asked staff how they determine what site assumed either methodology. Ms. Landers replied that various nuances such as connections, population density and parking study data created site dependent determinations.

Board Member Moreno thanked staff for the consolidated presentation.

Chair Whitburn thanked staff for the holistic presentation and looked forward to housing future transit riders.

Action Taken

Informational item only. No action taken.

8. Clean Transit Advancement Campus (CTAC) Update (Karen Landers and Denis Desmond)

Ms. Landers and Mr. Desmond presented an update on the Clean Transit Advancement Campus (CTAC). They outlined: project overview, MTS's preferred site, CEQA and Title VI, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and current project standing.

Public Comment

The Original DRA – Provided a verbal statement to the Board during the meeting. The Original DRA expressed concern for the health hazards that electric vehicle charging centers and potential radiation could affect workers, nearby residents and passengers. They expressed concerns for lithium battery fire safety.

Committee Comment

Board Member Montgomery Steppe acknowledged that community feedback has been taken into consideration on the project. She thanked constituents for their participation and involvement. She was encouraged that the project is intentional about the community benefits.

Action Taken

Informational item only. No action taken.

OTHER ITEMS

9. Review of Draft September 14, 2023 Board Agenda

Recommended Consent Items

3. Approval of Minutes

Action would approve the July 27, 2023 Board of Directors meeting minutes.

- 4. Investment Report – Quarter Ending June 30, 2023**
- 5. Fiscal Year (FY) 2022-2023 and FY 2023-2024 California Senate Bill (SB) 1 State of Good Repair Funding**

Action would approve Resolution No. 23-10 in order to: 1) Authorize the use of, and application for, \$5,272,017 in FY 2023-24 State of Good Repair (SGR) funding to be used for the SD100 Light Rail Vehicle (LRV) Replacement Project; 2) Approve the acceptance of additional FY 2023-24 SB1-SGR funding if made available to MTS; and 3) Approve the reprogramming of FY 2022-23 SB1 SGR funding in the amount of \$5,095,907 to the FY24 Bus Procurement Project as approved in the FY 2024 Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
- 6. Policy 44: MTS Travel Expense – Policy Revision**

Action would 1) Approve the proposed revisions to MTS Board Policy No. 44, “MTS Travel Expense Policy” (Attachment A, B); 2) Authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to modify MTS Board Policy No. 44, “MTS Travel Expense Policy” Attachment A, B, C, D, E and F as necessary to reflect changes in annual IRS mileage reimbursement rates and IRS determinations of High Cost Localities, and to make minor changes to document design or formatting; and 3) Repeal MTS Board Policy No. 29, “Attendance at Transit-Related Conferences” (Attachment C);
- 7. Grantville Transit Center Hardscape and Landscape Improvements – Work Order Agreement**

Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Work Order MTSJOC347-05 under Job Order Contract (JOC) MTS Doc. No. PWG347.0-22 with ABC General Contracting, Inc. (ABCGC), in the amount of \$968,743.63, for rehabilitating the hardscape and landscape currently present at the Grantville Transit Center.
- 8. Grantville Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) Painting – Contract Award**

Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to: 1) Rescind the award and direction to execute MTS Doc. No. PWL370.0-23 to Prime Painting Contractors Inc. for Grantville Station Painting Improvements, approved by Agenda Item No. 13 at the July 27, 2023 MTS Board of Directors Meeting; 2) Execute MTS Doc. No. PWL370.0-23 , with All Source Coatings Inc., a certified Small Business, for the Grantville Station Painting Improvements in the amount of \$1,746,000.00; and 3) Authorize the CEO to execute amendments or change orders up to a 20% contingency (\$349,200) for this construction contract, bringing total expenditure authority to \$2,095,200.00.
- 9. San Diego State University (SDSU) Tunnel Smoke Control Upgrades – Sole Source Contract Award**

Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. No. PWL378.0-24, a Sole Source agreement, with Drake Integrations LLC (Drake Integrations), for provision of Smoke Control Upgrades at the SDSU tunnel, for a five (5) year period, in the amount of \$299,000.

- 10. Construction Management (CM) Services for South Bay Zero Emission Bus (ZEB) Overhead (OH) Charging Infrastructure Installation – Work Order Amendment**
Action would 1) Ratify Work Order WOA2501-CM01.2 under MTS Doc No. G2501.0-21 with TRC Engineers, Inc. (TRC) totaling \$40,305.00, to provide additional survey and inspection staff; 2) Ratify Work Order WOA2501-CM01.3 under MTS Doc No. G2501.0-21 with TRC totaling a savings adjustment of \$6,942.44, for the revision of the estimated work hours for each task; and 3) Authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Work Order WOA2501-CM01.4 under MTS Doc. No. G2501.0-21, with TRC, for additional CM services for the ZEB OH Charging Infrastructure Construction Project in the amount of \$421,142.48.
- 11. PRONTO Fare Collection System – Contract Amendment**
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Amendment 17 to MTS Doc. No. G2091.0-18 with Innovations in Transportation, Inc. (INIT), for Open Payment and Inspection App Solution, in the amount of \$1,224,387.98.
- 12. Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Support and As-Needed Best Management Practices (BMP) Repair and Consulting Services – Contract Award**
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc No. PWG367.0-23, with WSP USA (WSP), in the amount of \$1,079,270.68 for a period of five (5) years to provide MTS support and as-needed repair and consulting services related to Phase II MS4 General Order.
- 13. Light Rail Vehicle (LRV) Tire Kits - Contract Award**
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. No. L1648.0-23 with Penn Machine, in the amount of \$5,142,681.23 for LRV Tire Kits.
- 14. Mobile Column Lifts – Contract Award**
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. No. L1643.0-23, with Southwest Lift & Equipment, Inc., a Small Business (SB) in the amount of \$182,382.56 for mobile column lifts.
- 15. Tenable Software Renewal Service – Contract Award**
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. No. G2748.0-23, with Data Impressions Technology Group, in the amount of \$368,725.00, for a period of three (3) years for the provision of Tenable Software subscriptions.
- 16. Legal Services – Tort Liability – Contract Award**
Action would 1) Authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. G2782.0-24 with Kahana & Feld, LLP (Kahana & Feld) to provide legal services through December 31, 2026 in the amount of \$677,725; and 2) Authorize the CEO to execute MTS Doc. G2783.0-24 with McDougal Boehmer Foley Lyon Mitchell & Erickson (McDougal) to provide legal services through December 31, 2026 in the amount of \$677,725.

- 17. On-Call Marketing and Communication Services – Contract Award**
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to: 1) Execute MTS Doc. No. G2719.0-23, with Nuffer, Smith, Tucker, Inc. (Nuffer, Smith, Tucker), a Small Business (SB), for On-Call Marketing and Communication Services for a three (3) base year period in the amount of \$1,217,060, plus three (3) 1-year options in the amount of \$1,264,880 for a total contract amount of \$2,481,940; and 2) Exercise the option years at the CEO's discretion.
- 18. Clean Transit Advancement Campus (CTAC), Advanced Planning Services – Work Order Amendment**
Action would 1) Ratify Work Order WOA353-AE-20, under MTS Doc No. PWL353.0-22, with Dokken Engineering (Dokken), in the amount of \$37,323.27 for design services to perform a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA); and 2) Authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Work Order Amendment WOA353-AE-20.01, under MTS Doc No. PWL353.0-22, with Dokken, in the amount of \$1,238,671.08 to provide advanced planning services for a new MTS bus maintenance facility for the Clean Transit Advancement Campus (CTAC) Project, formally known as Division 6.
- 19. Communications (Comm) Cabinets HVAC Maintenance - Contract Award**
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. No. PWG365.0-23 with Comfort Mechanical, a Small Business (SB), at \$889,846, for HVAC preventative maintenance and inspection services for comm cabinets.

Network Equipment Refresh – Contract Award
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute MTS Doc. No. G2736.0-23 with Saitech Inc. (Saitech), a Minority Owned Business (MBE), for the purchase of network equipment, in the amount of \$845,296.21.

South Bay Maintenance Facility (SBMF) Building 3620 Roofing Reolacement – Work Order Agreement
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Work Order MTSJOC324-35 under Job Order Contract (JOC) to MTS Doc. No. PWG324.0-21, with ABC General Contractor, Inc. (ABCGC), in the amount of \$193,236.34 for replacing the roofing at Building 3620 at the SBMF. 22.
- 20. Additional Staffing – One (1) PRONTO Support Specialist and three (3) Call/Service Center Representatives**
Action would authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to add one (1) PRONTO Support Specialist and three (3) Call/Service Center Representatives to the position tables previously approved in the Fiscal Year 2024 budget.
- 21. Rail Welding Services – Work Order Agreement**

Ms. Cooney noted that agenda item number 11 would be removed from the consent calendar and incorporated into the recommendation during a Board discussion item. Ms. Landers also noted the addition of an agenda item that included non-substantive policy changes.

Zack Dedazio Farrell – Representing Ride SD submitted a written statement to the Board prior to the meeting.

Manny Rodriguez – Provided a verbal statement to the Board during the meeting. Rodriguez supported the approval of item 11 and explained the benefits to tourism and first-time transit riders.

The Original DRA – Provided a verbal statement to the Board during the meeting. The Original DRA expressed dissatisfaction with the amount of public commenting time in proportion to the amount of consent items.

10. Other Staff Communications and Business

There was no Other Staff Communications and Business discussion.

11. Committee Member Communications and Other Business

There was no Committee Member Communications and Other Business discussion.

12. Next Meeting Date

The next Executive Committee meeting is scheduled for October 12, 2023, at 9:00 a.m.

13. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 9:00 a.m..

/S/ Stephen Whitburn
Chairperson
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

/S/ Dalia Gonzalez
Clerk of the Board
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

Attachment: Roll Call Sheet

SAN DIEGO METROPOLITAN TRANSIT SYSTEM
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

ROLL CALL

MEETING OF (DATE): September 7, 2023 CALL TO ORDER (TIME): 9:00 am
 RECESS: _____ RECONVENE: _____
 CLOSED SESSION: _____ RECONVENE: _____
 PUBLIC HEARING: _____ RECONVENE: _____
 ORDINANCES ADOPTED: _____ ADJOURN: 10:56am

REPRESENTING	BOARD MEMBER	ALTERNATE	PRESENT (TIME ARRIVED)	ABSENT (TIME LEFT)
Chair	Whitburn <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Alternate <input type="checkbox"/>	9:00am	10:56am
City of San Diego	Elo-Rivera <input type="checkbox"/>	Montgomery Steppe <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9:00am	10:56am
County of San Diego	Vacant <input type="checkbox"/>	Vargas <input type="checkbox"/>	ABSENT	ABSENT
East County	Hall <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frank <input type="checkbox"/>	9:00am	10:56am
SANDAG Transportation Committee	Moreno <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bush <input type="checkbox"/>	9:00am	10:56am
South Bay	Bush <input type="checkbox"/>	Leyba-Gonzalez <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9:00am	10:56am
Vice Chair	Goble <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Alternate <input type="checkbox"/>	9:00am	10:56am

SIGNED BY THE CLERK OF THE BOARD: /S/ Dalia Gonzalez